Nottinghamshire Minerals
Local Plan

Late Representations received to the
Minerals Local Plan Publication Version
Please log as a late rep.

Steve

From: Karen Johnson  
Sent: 14 October 2019 11:08  
To: Stephen Pointer  
Subject: RE: Nottinghamshire Minerals Plan representation  
Importance: High

Hi Stephen

Please find attached Bassetlaw’ s representation to the Publication version of the Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan.

Our apologies for the slightly late response.

Thanks

Karen

Karen Johnson, MRTPI
Planning Policy Manager
Bassetlaw District Council
Queens Buildings
Potter Street
Worksop S80 2AH
Tel:

From: Stephen Pointer  
Sent: 11 October 2019 17:13  
To: Karen Johnson  
Subject: RE: Nottinghamshire Minerals Plan representation

Hi Karen
Sorry I have only just picked your email up.

Whilst you can send in your rep on Monday, we will need to classify it as rep submitted after the deadline and see how the Inspector wishes to treat these. No doubt there will others. Our online system has closed now so you will need to email with a form from our website etc.

I do appreciate the workload situation having often needed to run things down to the wire with consultation deadlines ourselves.

Steve

---

From: Karen Johnson
Sent: 11 October 2019 16:02
To: Stephen Pointer
Subject: Nottinghamshire Minerals Plan representation
Importance: High

Hi Stephen

Would it be possible to send in our rep on the Minerals Plan on Monday? I’m very sorry but we’re very busy and time has run away from us. It will be a supporting rep.

Thanks for your help

Karen

Karen Johnson, MRTPI
Planning Policy Manager
Bassetlaw District Council
Queens Buildings
Potter Street
Worksop S80 2AH

Tel: 

---

Karen Johnson
Planning Policy Manager
, Potter Street, Worksop, Nottinghamshire, S80 2AH

W: www.bassetlaw.gov.uk

This email is only for the use of the addressee. It may contain information which is legally privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient you must not copy, distribute or disseminate this email or any enclosure to anyone other than the addressee. If you receive this communication in error please delete it.
The following message has been applied automatically, to promote news and information from Nottinghamshire County Council about events and services:

Got a question about recycling in Nottinghamshire? Check out our Check out our frequently asked questions. #RecycleForNotts

Emails and any attachments from Nottinghamshire County Council are confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to the email, and then delete it without making copies or using it in any other way. Senders and recipients of email should be aware that, under the Data Protection Act 2018 and the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the contents may have to be disclosed in response to a request.

Although any attachments to the message will have been checked for viruses before transmission, you are urged to carry out your own virus check before opening attachments, since the County Council accepts no responsibility for loss or damage caused by software viruses.

You can view our privacy notice at: https://www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/global-content/privacy

Nottinghamshire County Council Legal Disclaimer.

Karen Johnson
Planning Policy Manager
21, Potter Street, Worksop, Nottinghamshire, S80 2AH
W: www.bassetlaw.gov.uk

This email is only for the use of the addressee. It may contain information which is legally privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient you must not copy, distribute or disseminate this email or any enclosure to anyone other than the addressee. If you receive this communication in error please delete it.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by Mimecast Ltd on behalf of Bassetlaw District Council.
Representation Form

This is the representation form for the Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan - Publication Version published by Nottinghamshire County Council. The Publication Version and the supporting information can be found online at www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/minerals. You can submit your representations online via our interactive system by using this link.

The formal representation period is open from Friday 30th August 2019 to 4.30pm Friday 11 October. All representations must be received during this period.

If you wish to submit a representation to the Plan using this form, please complete all parts and then send it to us via email or post, using the addresses below. Please note:

- **All respondents need to provide their personal details.** It is not possible for representations to be anonymous. All responses will be made public.
- **Representations must be on the basis of the ‘soundness’ of the plan or its legal and Duty to Co-operate compliance.** Please read the guidance note on this for further information.
- **Part B of the form contains your representations.** Please fill in a separate Part B for each representation you wish you make. You only need to fill in Part A once.
- **If you are part of a group that share a common view,** it would be helpful for that group to send a single representation rather than multiple copies stating the same point. Please indicate how many people are represented and how it has been authorised (e.g. by means of a list with contact details for each person or by a committee vote). This holds the same weight as separately submitted representations.

If you have any queries please contact us as below or ring us on 0300 500 80 80.

Please return completed forms to:

- Planning Policy Team
  County Hall, West Bridgford, Nottingham, NG2 7QP
  planning.policy@nottscc.gov.uk

We must receive your representations before 4.30pm, Friday 11th October 2019.
Representations received after this cannot be accepted.

All of the representations received will be submitted with the Plan and will be examined by a planning inspector who will consider whether the Plan is ‘sound’ and complies with the legal requirements.

Nottinghamshire County Council’s Planning Policy Service is committed to protecting your privacy and ensuring all personal information is kept confidential and safe. View our privacy notice at www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/privacy
### Part A – Personal details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Personal details</th>
<th>2. Agent details (where applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First name</strong></td>
<td>Karen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Last name</strong></td>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address line 1</strong></td>
<td>Bassetlaw District Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address line 2</strong></td>
<td>Queen’s Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address line 3</strong></td>
<td>Potter Street, Worksop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postcode</strong></td>
<td>S80 2AH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Email</strong></td>
<td>[Redacted]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For those replying on behalf of an organisation or group:*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Bassetlaw District Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Job title</strong></td>
<td>Planning Policy Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part B – Your representation

Please read the guidance note before completing this section.

Name or organisation: _Bassetlaw District Council________________________________________

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>MP2 MP3</th>
<th>Site code</th>
<th>MP2k, MP2l, MP2m, MP3e</th>
<th>Map/Plan</th>
<th>Paragraph</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Appendix 2 Site Allocation Development Briefs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4. Do you consider the identified part of the Local Plan to be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legally compliant?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sound?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complies with the Duty to co-operate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please tick as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the identified part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound, or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.
6. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the identified part of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. (please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)
The Council support Policy MP2 Sand and Gravel Provision including the allocation of sites MP2k Bawtry Road west, MP2l Scrooby Thompson land and MP2m Scrooby north as contributing to the County’s supply of sand and gravel for the plan period.

Additionally the Council support Policy MP3: Sherwood Sandstone Provision including the allocation of site MP3e Scrooby Top north as contributing to the County’s supply of Sherwood Sandstone over the plan period.

The Council fully supports Policy SP2 which promotes a biodiversity led approach to the restoration of sites to meet relevant targets in the Nottinghamshire Biodiversity Action Plan and to contribute to the delivery of the Water Framework Directive. Appendix 2 sets out Site Allocation Development Briefs to identify the key site-specific issues that will need to be addressed as part of the detailed planning application process – this includes biodiversity led restoration – which the Council considers to be a key element of ensuring the sites can be positively integrated into the landscape in the long term.

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.
7. If your representation is seeking modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in the examination hearing session(s)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No, I do not wish to participate in the hearing session(s)</th>
<th>x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, I wish to participate in the hearing session(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in the hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14/10/19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karen Johnson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To whom it may concern

Name: Mrs Clare Ashton
Address: 
Postcode: 

In my personal view, the Minerals Local Plan is unsound & unjustified and should be withdrawn in its current form. In addition, the strategic objective S01 is unsound and unjustified. The allocation of site MP2p at Mill Hill near Barton in Fabis (under MP2 Sand and Gravel Provision) is also unsound and unjustified and should be removed.

The County Council has failed to conform to its own Statement of Community Involvement. Having been involved in the health service all my working life & now as a volunteer in health related activities, I am particularly concerned about the impact of the plan on the health of the local people in the surrounding areas including Barton in Fabis, Larkhill, Clifton & the wider Nottingham area. There are many older people with various respiratory problems including COPD. (Clifton has one of the highest populations of older people in Nottingham)

These conditions will be exacerbated by the dust created by the extraction of sand & gravel as well as from the lorries distributing these items along our roads. Mill Hill overlooks the surrounding area including the Greater Nottingham area. When the wind blows, this dust will be blown for miles across the Nottingham area, with wider health consequences.

I have real concerns that, whilst the A453 has been widened into a dual carriageway, it will not cope with the anticipated increase in the number of additional lorries on the road. At certain times of the day, this road is already very congested. In addition, such lorries can create a safety hazard for school children walking to and from 2 local primary schools.

The proposed gravel and sand extraction will have a severe impact on the environment which is near an ancient wood & SSSI site.

Clare Ashton
Dear Sir/Madam,

I’m writing to you to object to the Minerals Local Plan:Publication Version.

I believe that the Minerals Local Plan is unsound, unjustified and should be withdrawn in it’s current version. The county council has failed to undertake any meaningful analysis of the pattern of demand for both gravel and sand across market areas to allow it to justify the ‘spatial pattern of mineral development’ or geographical spread of sites that they propose. Compounding this issue, sites should be judged on their own merits and all factors must be taken into account, including the impact on local communities, and the natural environment and not just how far minerals are transported. I do not believe that these factors have been properly taken into account.

I am particularly concerned with strategic objective SO1, which I feel is unsound and unjustified. Furthermore the allocation of the site MP2p at Mill Hill near Barton In Fabis (this is under MP2 Sand and Gravel Provision) is also unsound and unjustified and should be removed. The removal of the alternative site at Shelford, I’m afraid, is also unsound and unjustified. My other concerns with MP2 and the removal of the Shelford site follow. The county council have failed to allocate any sites which utilise more sustainable forms of transport as they should do under SP4 in order to - 'maximise the use of sustainable forms of transport including barge'. The Shelford site, for example, would provide an excellent opportunity to use Colwick wharf for delivery of minerals to the river, away from communities, use of sustainable river transport and be closer to major markets. I also believe that the assertion that the Shelford site is too large and would lead to 'provision (being) limited in other parts of the County supply' has not been support by any evidence. It seems baffling to me that the Shelford site has been removed given that the council's own sustainability appraisal found the Barton In Fabis site both less sustainable and more environmentally damaging. At the very least the reasoning behind this decision should be given so that it can be understood and challenged.

I also believe that the county council has failed to conform to its own statement of community involvement. Specifically, they have not complied with paragraph 3.14 /3.15 of Statement of Community Involvement and responsibility to provide information on specific proposals to local communities. They haven't attempted to engage directly with communities in Nottingham City, Clifton and Clifton Village, who are geographically very close and would be severely impacted, but who lie outside the county council's area. I'm particularly frustrated by the lack of engagement with previous objections and strategic issues raised in hundreds of responses of residents of local communities like mine, who've had their letters dismissed and characterised as 'large number of pro-forma letters'. I appreciate the need for a minerals plan and am not a 'nimby'. Our communities are reasonable but feel that the County Council are keen to push ahead with the Barton In Fabis site as it’s the easier option for them and not because it’s the right thing for the county and all our communities. If the county council can make this case then that'd be a different matter. The suspicion is that they can't and so are trying to avoid having to try.
Thanks for taking the time to look at my objections.

Yours faithfully,

David Millington
Dear Steven O-J

Re Notts Mineral Plan, Barton-in-Fabis

I am writing inordinately behind-time, my second Objection, for **reasons of my old-age mental discordancy**. Your letter of late August went missing ‘for ages’. I have only just uncovered it by chance in a pile-up of en-massed papers; in this last hour. But better late than never.

The grounds of my objection, singularly exist outside all bounds of any Detailed Guidance Notes. And, further, I am wholly, wholly e-mail unsavvy.

The grounds of my objection are that since some time in early autumn, ’71, I have everyday run/walked the 4-5 miles woodlands-riverside circle from Clifton Village to Barton-and-back. From the age of 75 years, I have downgraded my daily circuit from running to walking. Perhaps I should add that every day includes Christmas Day; I specially enjoy doing it, on my own on that one day.

My eccentric c. 50 years running/walking the bridle-and riverside-way have, in my mind, re-constituted ‘Council’s Quarry-Extraction-Site as a Trees-Sound-Proofed-Oasis. Every user senses the oasis quality of that enclave, in their own way. No Council-speak could say what that walk-way-cycle-way brings alive in human beings, And that poetry-dimension will be forever lost in the years to come.

The only poet-words I can think of, that speaks what’s lost to human-beings is the French poet Albert Camus (1913-60), “L’Intelligence du Silence”.

I say the loss for bridleway-riverside users can’t be said. But the vehemence against what NCC loses us is said in the words of a lady dog-walker, who walks the way most days, “If the Council allows a quarry, I’ll never walk here again.” It isn’t poetry; but not Council-Speak either.

When you finally celebrate “ London to quarry Barton”, I will dedicate a gravestone to Barton Silence.

Thank You, Mr Osbourne-James for the hard job you do so well.

Dr Geoff Matthews [ ... but better known around these parts as “Jogger Jeff”]

* Sound-proofed against the 12-wheeler bumper-to-bumper low-emissive traffic on the A453 above
Dear sirs,

I am writing in response to the local minerals plan.

I wish to have my view made that I feel this Minerals Local Plan is

- unsound and unjustified and should be withdrawn in its current form.
- the strategic objective SO1 is unsound and unjustified
- the allocation of site MP2p at Mil Hill in the Parish Barton-in-Fabis (under MP2 Sand & Gravel Provision) is also Unsound and unjustified and should be removed.
- the county Council has failed to conform to its own statement of community involvement.

some of these reason for this are as follow;

SO1; improving the sustainability of minerals development:-

- the County Council has failed to undertake any meaningful analysis of the pattern of demand fro sand an gravel across the market areas to justify the proposed 'spatial pattern of mineral development' or the geographic spread of the sites.
- also in allocating the sites they should be judged on their own merots and take into account all factors including their impact on communities and the natural environment, not just how far minerals are transported.

MP@; Sand and Gravel Provision:-

- The CC has failed to allocate any sites which utilise more sustainable forms of transport in line with SP4 "maximise the use of sustainable forms of transport including barge"
- The inclusion of MP2p Mill Hill nr Barton in Fabis and the removal of an alternative site at Shelford in the new Minerals Local Plan is unsound and unjustified.
- The Mill Hill nr Barton in Fabis site is less sustainable and more environmentally damaging than Shelford site according to the Council's own sustainability appraisal.
- Deliveries of minerals to Colwick wharf from Shelford would be closer to major markets and provide a more sustainable form of transport.
- No evidence has been presented to justify the assertion that Shelford site is too large and would lead to "provision (being) limited in other parts of the County" supply.

Regards statement of community involvement, the CC has failed to comply with paragraph 3.14/3.15 of Statement of Community Involvement and responsibility to provide information on specific proposals to local communities, with no attempt to engage directly with communities in Nottingham City, Clifton and Clifton Village which will be directly impacted by the proposals but outside the CC area. This discriminates against the residents in the local area, including those at Lark Hill Retirement Village, whom live extremely close to the proposed quarry, but unable to access information boards at the Clifton Library due to restricted mobility.
It is disgusting that no meaningful response to strategic issues raise in over 1000 responses from local people to Draft Minerals Local Plan have been properly accounted for and the responses incorrectly characterised as "a large number of pro-forma letters". The Local people have taken the effort to send these letters and may have been advised what to put for the correct jargon, but this no means put's them into "pro-forma" letters, its just they only way you will listen to our complaints, as you will not listen to normal talk, just technicalities!

And talking of technicalities, how is it that when a councillor gets elected into power, they can over turn previous work and though out there villages sites, just to suit there own person requirements, there should be a personal interest statement on this!

Regards,

Jonathan Harrison

Tel:  
Mob:

The information included in this e-mail is of a confidential nature and is only intended for the addressee. If you are not the intended addressee, any disclosure, copying or distribution by you is prohibited and may be unlawful. Disclosure to any party other than the addressee, whether inadvertent or otherwise is not intended to waive privilege or confidentiality. This email has been scanned and while we believe it to be free from any virus, or other defect which might affect any system into which it is opened or received, it is the responsibility of the recipient to check that it is virus free and that it will in no way affect their systems and data. Fabis Ltd accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage arising in any way from its receipt, opening or use.
From: Katie Mills
Sent: 14 October 2019 09:27
To: Stephen Pointer
Subject: MDC reg 19 comments

Hi Steve

I apologise in the delay in getting these comments to you, I was hoping to get these signed off by the Portfolio Holder. Please see the attached officer comments. I will confirm if these reps needs to be removed.

Kind Regards

Katie

Team Leader
Planning Policy, Mansfield District Council

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender.

Click here to report this email as spam.

The following message has been applied automatically, to promote news and information from Nottinghamshire County Council about events and services:

GSupporting National Adoption Week 14 – 20 October. We currently have 33 children waiting for adoption. Could you offer a forever home to children who so desperately need one? Find out more about adopting with Adoption East Midlands, #RecycleForNotts
Representation Form

This is the representation form for the Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan - Publication Version published by Nottinghamshire County Council. The Publication Version and the supporting information can be found online at www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/minerals. You can submit your representations online via our interactive system by using this link.

The formal representation period is open from Friday 30th August 2019 to 4.30pm Friday 11th October. All representations must be received during this period.

If you wish to submit a representation to the Plan using this form, please complete all parts and then send it to us via email or post, using the addresses below. Please note:

- **All respondents need to provide their personal details.** It is not possible for representations to be anonymous. All responses will be made public.
- **Representations must be on the basis of the ‘soundness’ of the plan or its legal and Duty to Co-operate compliance.** Please read the guidance note on this for further information.
- **Part B of the form contains your representations.** Please fill in a separate Part B for each representation you wish you make. You only need to fill in Part A once.
- **If you are part of a group that share a common view,** it would be helpful for that group to send a single representation rather than multiple copies stating the same point. Please indicate how many people are represented and how it has been authorised (e.g. by means of a list with contact details for each person or by a committee vote). This holds the same weight as separately submitted representations.

If you have any queries please contact us as below or ring us on 0300 500 80 80.

Please return completed forms to:

✘ Planning Policy Team
County Hall, West Bridgford,
Nottingham, NG2 7QP

✘ planning.policy@nottscc.gov.uk

We must receive your representations before 4.30pm, Friday 11th October 2019.
Representations received after this cannot be accepted.

All of the representations received will be submitted with the Plan and will be examined by a planning inspector who will consider whether the Plan is ‘sound’ and complies with the legal requirements.

Nottinghamshire County Council’s Planning Policy Service is committed to protecting your privacy and ensuring all personal information is kept confidential and safe. View our privacy notice at www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/privacy
Emails and any attachments from Nottinghamshire County Council are confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to the email, and then delete it without making copies or using it in any other way. Senders and recipients of email should be aware that, under the Data Protection Act 2018 and the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the contents may have to be disclosed in response to a request.

Although any attachments to the message will have been checked for viruses before transmission, you are urged to carry out your own virus check before opening attachments, since the County Council accepts no responsibility for loss or damage caused by software viruses.
You can view our privacy notice at: https://www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/global-content/privacy

Nottinghamshire County Council Legal Disclaimer.
### Part A – Personal details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Personal details</th>
<th>2. Agent details (where applicable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title</strong></td>
<td>Miss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First name</strong></td>
<td>Katie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Last name</strong></td>
<td>Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address line 1</strong></td>
<td>Mansfield District Council, Civic centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address line 2</strong></td>
<td>Chesterfield Road South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Address line 3</strong></td>
<td>Mansfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postcode</strong></td>
<td>NG19 7BH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Email</strong></td>
<td>[REDACTED]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For those replying on behalf of an organisation or group:*

| **Organisation** | Mansfield District Council |
| **Job title** | Planning Policy Team Leader |
Part B – Your representation

Please read the guidance note before completing this section.

Name or organisation: Mansfield District Council

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Site code</th>
<th>Map/Plan</th>
<th>Paragraph</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4. Do you consider the identified part of the Local Plan to be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legally compliant?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sound?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complies with the Duty to co-operate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please tick as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the identified part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound, or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Mansfield District Council are supportive of the approach taken by Nottinghamshire County Council as the Minerals Planning Authority under the Duty Cooperate and do not raise any legal or soundness issues with the Publication Version of the Minerals Local Plan. The Council welcome polices MP12, DM1 and DM9 that require any planning applications for the development of any site for the extraction of shale gas to take account of the impact on local residents, highways and the environment.
6. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the identified part of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. (please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.
7. If your representation is seeking modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in the examination hearing session(s)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No, I do not wish to participate in the hearing session(s)</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, I wish to participate in the hearing session(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in the hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

---

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01/10/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name: Katie Mills
Please find to follow the comments from Woodborough Parish Council.

Woodborough Parish Council would like to comment that at the draft stage we were not properly consulted. A July email with details was never received. The publicity for the public events was also published very close to the dates of the events themselves and this resulted in very limited community engagement.

Kind regards Averil Marczak

--

Clerk to Woodborough Parish Council