

meeting COUNTY COUNCIL

date 19th May 2011

agenda item number **13**

REPORT OF THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE'S SERVICES AND CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE AND PROPERTY

School Capital Strategy 2011/12 to 2013/14

Purpose of report

1. To seek County Council's approval to the school capital strategy for the years 2011/12 to 2013/14 and a major refurbishment programme designed to improve the quality of school buildings across the County.

Information and advice

Background

2. Following confirmation in December 2010 of the level of funding that the Government will be providing to the Council to support school buildings in Nottinghamshire, and the recent publication of the Government's "James Review" of school capital, options have been developed to provide recommendations to Members on how to prioritise the use of capital investment.
3. Given the scale of funding available, the opportunity to carry out a programme of major rebuilding works is no longer viable. The emphasis from Government is now ensuring the sufficiency of school places to meet demographic change and on the new "three R's" of school capital investment:-
 - Refresh
 - Refurbish
 - Reuse

The James Review

4. When, in July 2010, the Secretary of State for Education announced the cancellation of the Building Schools for the Future Programme, he commissioned a review of the future of schools capital led by Mr Sebastian James. Mr James is the Group Operations Director of DSG International plc and previously managed the Currys store building and transformation programme. This review of education capital, now

known as the “James Review”, published its recommendations in April of this year. The Secretary of State has welcomed the report and is considering his detailed response to the recommendations contained within it.

5. The 16 recommendations of the James Review are attached to this report as **Appendix 1**. It is unclear as to whether all the recommendations will be fully accepted and to what timescale, but initial analysis indicates that the recommendations of the James Review and the focus for the Council's school capital strategy as described in this report are entirely consistent. They both recommend a focus on school condition as the overarching rationale for future capital investment.
6. One of the recommendations of the James Review is that academies should form part of the range of Responsible Bodies that would constitute the forum for making capital investment decisions in the County. At present academies have access to a separate source of capital funding and have therefore been excluded from the proposed capital strategy. Dependent on the Secretary of State's response to the James Review, academies may have to be included in the Nottinghamshire programme in future years.

Business Case for School Capital Strategy

7. Local Authorities have a statutory duty to provide sufficient school places in their area. Local Authorities must also ensure that there are sufficient schools and promote diversity and parental choice. The fulfilment of these duties is described as meeting 'Basic Need'. The investment proposals outlined in this report ensure that the County Council is able to provide sufficient school places and the entitlement whereby all children will be able to access a school place in accordance with the County Council's statutory duty.
8. In Nottinghamshire we have a backlog of essential and urgent repairs of approx £190m across schools and other premises within the Children and Young People's portfolio. The majority of these are school buildings. This report recommends a focussed programme of improvement works over the next three years that will reduce this backlog and to ensure that all school buildings in Nottinghamshire are upgraded and kept above a minimum acceptable condition. This includes urgent attention to any maintenance issues that could affect health and safety.
9. The backlog of essential maintenance has been rising in recent years, primarily due to reductions in the planned maintenance programme and the fact that significant Government funding streams, such as Building Schools for the Future, have not prioritised school condition. This has resulted in a deterioration of the condition of many of the schools in the County, as much of the limited funding available has had to be targeted at addressing immediate health and safety issues, rather than maintaining the general fabric of the building stock. The proposed programme contained in this report is a significant investment, focussed on ensuring that all school buildings are fit for purpose and it

will go a long way towards tackling the most urgent elements of the near £200 million accumulated backlog.

Investment Prioritisation and Funding

10. A set of principles to guide the prioritisation of capital investment has been developed and consulted on with the whole school community. These principles are attached as **Appendix 2** to this report and it is recommended that they guide Member decisions in respect of the allocation of available funding.
11. The Government has provided capital grant funding of £20.8m in 2011/12 and indicated that this level of funding can be expected until at least 2013/14. The funding is provided by way of a non-ring fenced capital grant split between the provision of Basic Need funding (£7.6m) (to provide additional school places to meet increased demand) and Schools Maintenance funding to repair and refurbish the existing school estate (£13.2m). A more detailed note on this grant allocation is provided as **Appendix 3** to this report.
12. It should also be noted that the Government has reduced the level of funding provided direct to schools for building maintenance (known as Devolved Formula Capital) by approximately 80%, which in the case of Nottinghamshire schools equates to approximately £8m.
13. The Council has additional available revenue funding of £3m from 2012/13 which had previously been allocated to support the funding of the BSF programme. This would provide a further £30m of capital investment which has been allocated in the current capital programme at a level of £10m per annum for the years 2012/13 through to 2014/15.

Summary of Funds Available

14. When all available funding is added together it provides potential capital for the next three years as set out in Table 1 below:-

Table 1	2011/12 £	2012/13 £	2013/14 £	Total £
Govt Grant	20.8m	20.8m	20.8m	62.4m
Converted BSF funding	0	10.0m	10.0m	20.0m
Total Available Funding	20.8m	30.8m	30.8m	82.4m

Basic Need Allocation

15. Following the cancellation of the BSF secondary school programme in Nottinghamshire a review of the whole of the school estate and the pressures in respect of school places has been carried out.
16. This review has highlighted significant pressure on school places in both West Bridgford and the Newark area that will require additional provision within the three year planning window.

17. Additionally across the County there are a number of so called “mobile” classrooms that have been introduced as a temporary solution to deal with the need for additional places. Many of these “mobiles” have been in place for a number of years and are now falling into disrepair. Where there remains pressure on school places these mobiles require replacement with permanent provision.
18. A full list of mobiles is attached to this report as **Appendix 4**. This list of mobiles will be reviewed in respect of the continuing need for additional places in the area and prioritised in respect of their current condition.
19. Initial estimates of investment required to meet the provision of additional places is described in **Appendix 5** of this report and indicates the need for investment over the three year planning window as set out in Table 2 below:-

Table 2	2011/12 £	2012/13 £	2013/14 £	Total £
West Bridgford *	1.8m	2.1m	1.5m	5.4m
Newark **	0	2.7m	0.3m	3.0m
Mobile replacement programme	2.0m	0m	0m	2.0m
Total Basic Need Investment	3.8m	4.8m	1.8m	10.4m

**Note – assumes additional Section 106 capital of £3.6m available from developer contributions in respect of Sharphill in 2011/12 (estimated date)*

***It should also be noted that it is assumed that any Newark development will make use of existing Council owned land/buildings. Any site purchase would add to the cost of this project.*

Contingency Funding

20. Due to the reduction in schools maintenance budgets this report recommends that the Council establishes a contingency fund of £1.5m per annum to cover emergency situations. If unused, this contingency budget can be carried forward into the funding for planned repair/refurbishment programmes in subsequent years.

Schools Access Initiative

21. In previous years, the Schools Access Initiative has provided specific funding to support adaptations and aids for children with disabilities. This funding has now been subsumed into the overall funding allocation and it is recommended that a notional sum of £0.5m per annum be ring fenced for Access Initiative work. Should the demand on the budget exceed £0.5m, in order for the County Council to meet its responsibilities under the Disability Discrimination Act, additional funding would have to be reallocated from within the overall programme.

Refurbishment Programme

22. After the provision of Basic Need, Contingency and Access Initiative funding there is approximately £66m of funding available over the three year period to invest in a programme of school refurbishment. This is shown in Table 3 below:

Table 3				
Programme	2011/12 £	2012/13 £	2013/14 £	Total £
Available Funding	20.8m	30.8m	30.8m	82.4m
Basic Need	3.8m	4.8m	1.8m	10.4m
Access Initiative	0.5m	0.5m	0.5m	1.5m
Contingency	1.5m	1.5m	1.5m	4.5m
Remaining Funding	15.0m	24.0m	27.0m	66.0m

23. The funding identified in this report is all capital provision as opposed to revenue funding. In order to meet accounting regulations this can only be spent on works which add value to the asset, as opposed to day to day maintenance works.
24. It is recommended that this capital funding is invested on a pro-rata allocation of 55% primary phase provision to 45% secondary phase provision based broadly on the number and total square meterage of the buildings in the school estate. This allocation is set out in Table 4 below:-

Table 4				
	2011/12 £	2012/13 £	2013/14 £	Total £
Primary/Early Years	8.25m	13.2m	14.85m	36.3m
Secondary/Special	6.75m	10.8m	12.15m	29.7m
Total Refurbishment Investment	15.0m	24.0m	27.0m	66.0m

- *There is likely to be some slippage from the first year of the programme dependent on when the decision is made to agree the specific projects and their individual complexity. This slippage is allowed in the grant conditions.*
 - *The funding described in this report covers Early Years settings which form part of the County Council's estate as well as all Primary, Secondary and Special Schools – these are referred to as "schools" throughout this report*
25. The prioritisation of the refurbishment programme funding has now been confirmed following the completion of the analysis of the asset register condition survey by the Council's Property Team. This analysis has identified the schools with the most immediate issues in respect of heating, windows, roofing and basic fabric of the building. Schools have been prioritised based on the investment required per square

metre to ensure that there is an equitable distribution between large and small schools.

26. The initial list of proposed projects for year 1 of the programme and the indicative programme for years 2 and 3 are attached as **Appendix 6** of this report. These lists are shown in priority order within each of the years based on the investment required per square metre. All proposed projects are subject to a feasibility study which will confirm the actual level of investment required and viability of the project.
27. The programme for years 2 and 3 will be confirmed at the start of the financial years 2012/13 and 2013/14 respectively, by which time there should be clarity around the implications of the James Review. In addition this will allow the latest information available to be utilised to confirm the priority of the projects.

The Treatment of Academies and Voluntary Aided Schools

28. In line with guidance received from the Department for Education this report recommends that the Council's funding in respect of school refurbishment should focus on those schools that remain its statutory responsibility to maintain. Additionally, this report recommends that Voluntary Aided schools are treated in the same way as they are within the County Council's Planned Maintenance programme and should therefore be included within this capital programme. Schools that have already received the Secretary of State's permission to convert to academy status, or have other plans in place that would provide alternative capital investment, are excluded from the programme.

Future Potential School Amalgamations

29. In future if there is a business case to provide additional investment in order to facilitate the amalgamation or co-location of two or more schools and this allows the County Council to free up existing land or buildings, this will be considered on a case by case basis through the Council's existing Corporate Asset Management Group and the appropriate Member approval routes.

Financial Approval

30. The approved capital programme, in relation to the proposals contained in this report, includes the following existing provision for schools capital (subject to business cases being approved), as set out in Table 5 below:

Table 5	Condition	Basic Need	Total
Year	£	£	£
2011/12	Nil	9.5m	9.5m
2012/13	10.0m	2.5m	12.5m
2013/14	10.0m	2.5m	12.5m
Total	20.0m	14.5m	34.5m

31. The rest of the investment required will need allocation from the funding earmarked for 'future capital schemes' in the budget approved by the County Council at its budget meeting on 24 February 2011. The additional amounts involved are set out in Table 6 below:

Table 6	
Year	£
2011/12	11.3m
2012/13	18.3m
2013/14	18.3m
Total	47.9m

School Capital Programme and Approval Mechanisms

32. The overall programme is set out in Table 7 below:

Table 7				
Programme	2011/12 £	2012/13 £	2013/14 £	Total £
Refurbishment	15.0m	24.0m	27.0m	66.0m
Basic Need	3.8m	4.8m	1.8m	10.4m
Access Initiative	0.5m	0.5m	0.5m	1.5m
Contingency	1.5m	1.5m	1.5m	4.5m
Total	20.8m	30.8m	30.8m	82.4m

33. The individual projects within the programme will be defined on the basis of the policy criteria and guiding principles set out in **Appendix 2** of this report. The precise make up of the works to be undertaken in individual projects is to be determined following further feasibility work and consultation with schools affected.
34. In order to meet the requirements of the County Council's Financial Regulations (paragraph 4.5.2), in relation to Latest Estimated Costs, the Corporate Directors for Children, Families & Culture, and Environment and Resources secure approval of the Cabinet Members for Children and Young People, and Finance and Property for all the individual schemes in the programme estimated to cost between £250,000 and £1 million. The same report will be also be submitted to the Service Director, Finance (represented by Heads of Service, Departmental Budgeting and Accounting). The tow Members will also be provided with quarterly update reports on the full programme.
35. The actual costs of the individual schemes will be controlled through target costs using the established framework contracts already in place. In addition the nature and type of the investment covered by the programme must satisfy the Service Director for Finance that the expenditure qualifies for the definition of 'capital'.
36. In order to expedite year one of the programme delivery, it is proposed that for the schemes up to £1million, as shown in **Appendix 6**, this report is the LEC report. In view of the fact the cost of the schemes as

shown is based on condition survey data there will be the need to revise the cost estimates following further feasibility and preparatory work. Any variations arising for amounts up to £250,000 for each project included in the programme will be approved by the Cabinet Member for Finance and Property, as per financial regulations (paragraph 4.2.6.1). For years 2-3 of the programme and for all projects over £1 million there will be separate LEC reports on the programme as required under the County Council's Financial Regulations.

Statutory and policy implications

37. This report has been compiled after consideration of implications in respect of finance, equal opportunities, personnel, crime and disorder and those using the service. Where such implications are material, they have been described in the text of the report. Attention is however drawn to the following:-

Financial implications

38. As described throughout the report.

Implications for service users

39. It is recognised that the condition of the school buildings can contribute the learning experience of children and young people as well as influencing the decision of parents and families in applying for a school place. Improving school building condition across the County will support the drive for improved educational outcomes.

Property implications

40. As described throughout the report.

RECOMMENDATION

41. That the Council gives its approval to:
- (1) the principles for the prioritisation of capital investment in the school estate as described in **Appendix 2** of this report
 - (2) the specific capital allocation of £47.9 million as set out in paragraph 31 of the report
 - (3) the overall programme of £82.4 million for years 2011/14 as set out in paragraph 32 of the report
 - (4) the programme of proposed refurbishment projects as set out in **Appendix 6**, subject to LEC reports as appropriate.

COUNCILLOR PHILIP OWEN

Cabinet Member for Children and Young People's Services

COUNCILLOR REG ADAIR

Cabinet Member for Finance and Property

Legal Services' comments (LM/05.05.11)

42. Full Council may approve the recommendations in the report.

Financial comments of the Service Director – Finance (NDR)

43. The financial implications are set out in the report.

Background papers available for inspection

Review of Education Capital – Sebastian James – April 2011

Electoral division(s) affected

Nottinghamshire

M19C2793

Summary of James Review Recommendations

1	Capital investment and apportionment should be based on objective facts and use clear, consistently-applied criteria. Allocation should focus on the need for high-quality school places and the condition of facilities.
2	Demand-led programmes, such as Free Schools, are most sensibly funded from the centre and a centrally retained budget should be set aside for them.
3	The Department should avoid multiple funding streams for investment that can and should be planned locally, and instead apportion the available capital as a single, flexible budget for each local area, with a mandate to include ministerial priorities in determining allocations.
4	Notional budgets should be apportioned to Local Authority areas, empowering them fully to decide how best to reconcile national and local policy priorities in their own local contexts. A specific local process, involving all Responsible Bodies, and hosted by the Local Authority, should then prioritise how this notional budget should be used.
5	The local prioritisation decisions should be captured in a short local investment plan. There should be light-touch central appraisal of all local plans before an allocated plan of work is developed so that themes can be identified on a national level and scale-benefits achieved. This must also allow for representations where parties believe the process has not assigned priorities fairly.
6	Individual institutions should be allocated an amount of capital to support delivery of small capital works and ICT provision. Wherever possible, this should be aggregated up to Responsible Bodies according to the number of individual institutions they represent, for the Responsible Body then to use for appropriate maintenance across its estate, working in partnership with the institutions.
7	The Department ensures there is access to clear guidance on legal responsibilities in relation to maintenance of buildings, and on how revenue funding can be used for facility maintenance.

Appendix 1 Continued

8	<p>That the Department:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • gathers all local condition data that currently exists, and implements a central condition database to manage this information. • carries out independent building condition surveys on a rolling 20% sample of the estate each year to provide a credible picture of investment needs, repeating this to develop a full picture of the estate's condition in five years and thereafter.
9	<p>That the Department revises its school premises regulations and guidance to remove unnecessary burdens and ensure that a single, clear set of regulations apply to all schools. The Department should also seek to further reduce the bureaucracy and prescription surrounding BREEAM assessments.</p>
10	<p>There should be a clear, consistent Departmental position on what fit-for-purpose facilities entail. A suite of drawings and specifications should be developed that can easily be applied across a wide range of educational facilities. These should be co-ordinated centrally to deliver best value.</p>
11	<p>The standardised drawings and specifications must be continuously improved through learning from projects captured and co-ordinated centrally. Post occupancy evaluation will be a critical tool to capture this learning.</p>
12	<p>As many projects as possible currently in the BSF and Academy pipeline should be able to benefit from the Review's findings to ensure more efficient procurement of high quality buildings. This should be an early priority to identify where this could be done.</p>
13	<p>That the Central Body should put in place a small number of new national procurement contracts that will drive quality and value from the programme of building projects ahead.</p>
14	<p>That the Department uses the coming spending review period to establish a central delivery body and procurement model, whereby the pipeline of major projects – to a scale determined by the Department – is procured and managed centrally with funding retained centrally for that purpose.</p>
15	<p>The Department quickly takes steps to maximise the value for money delivered through maintenance and small projects and puts in place a simple and clear national contract to make this happen.</p>
16	<p>That the Department revisits its 2004 Cap Gemini report and implements proposals where they are appropriate.</p>

Capital Investment Principles

The following principles are proposed for consideration (in no particular order):-

The County Council will:

- Meet the statutory responsibility to secure sufficient school places and maintain entitlement whereby all children will be able to access a school place in accordance with the School Policy and statutory duty
- Take a strategic view across the County when establishing local priorities
- Allocate available funding on an equitable basis between the secondary, special school and primary/early years estate
- Maximise every opportunity to secure appropriate funding for investment in service infrastructure e.g. Section 106/CIL contributions and financial contributions from service providers including schools
- Promote new and creative ways to deliver services including continuous review and rationalisation of provision to ensure best value
- Work with local dioceses and other partners to maximise opportunities and investment in CYPS buildings
- Ensure that all investment strategies maximise opportunities to improve provision, following evaluation of appropriateness, access, location and size
- Consider building condition as a core criterion when establishing priorities for future investment and review the priorities as defined by the Council's Condition Survey on an annual basis
- Give consideration to a range of mechanisms for evaluating buildings in accordance with service needs and condition survey information
- Remove temporary mobile accommodation wherever and whenever possible and only consider their use to alleviate short-term and emergency situations
- Focus investment on resolving the backlog of building condition issues rather than their planned preventive maintenance
- On a case by case basis, seek to incorporate other work where economies of scale exist and where there is a sound business case

School Capital Funding Announcement 2011/12

On 13 December 2010, the Secretary of State announced details of capital funding allocations for 2011/12 to schools and local authorities. Nottinghamshire's allocations are as follows:-

Grant Programme	Allocation (£)
Basic Need (LA for all schools)	7,598,582
Capital maintenance (LA maintained schools)	13,247,951
Total LA funding	20,846,533
Devolved Formula Capital (maintained schools)	2,472,300
Devolved Formula Capital (VA schools)	402,056
LCVAP funding (VA Schools)	1,961,483
Total LA plus all maintained schools in area	25,682,372

Summary of funding streams

Basic Need (unringfenced)

The LA is responsible for ensuring sufficient school places are available in the area. When prioritising this Basic Need allocation to meet growth in pupil numbers, all sectors should be considered. This includes voluntary aided schools, open academies and especially new free schools.

Capital Maintenance (unringfenced)

The LA should use the capital maintenance allocation to support the needs of the schools that it maintains and for the Sure Start Children's Centres in their area.

Devolved Formula Capital (ringfenced)

This allocation is devolved to schools. Nottinghamshire schools received £13 million per annum between 2008 and 2011. The 2011/12 allocation represents an 80% reduction. This will have a major impact on the level of capital funding available to schools.

Locally Co-ordinated Voluntary Aided Programme (LCVAP)

This allocation is managed by Nottinghamshire's Dioceses and is used to support the maintenance capital needs of Voluntary Aided Schools.

Other Issues

- All of the 2011/12 capital funding will be delivered as capital grant. Associated terms and conditions are not yet available.
- LA capital allocations (Basic Need and Capital Maintenance) are unringfenced and do not necessarily have to be spent on CFCS capital projects.
- There is no mention of Schools Access Initiative funding.
- The level of support for basic need and capital maintenance is expected to stay in line with the 2011/12 allocations until 2013/14.

MOBILE CLASSROOMS LOCATED AT NCC SCHOOLS - APRIL 2011

Ashfield

Beardall Street Primary
Jacksdale Primary
Westwood Infant
Croft Primary
Leen Mills Primary
Mapplewells Primary
Holgate Comprehensive
National CE Technology College
Selston Arts & Community College
Fountaindale Special
Bagthorpe Primary
Hillocks Primary
Priestsic Primary
Selston CE Infant

Bassetlaw

Serlby Park 3-18 Business & Enterprise Learning Community
Dunham on Trent Primary
Mattersey Primary
St Augustine's Infant
St Augustine's Junior
Gateford Park Primary

Broxtowe

Springbank Primary
William Lilley Infant
Bispham Drive Junior
Alderman White School & Technology College
Eastwood Comprehensive
Kimberley Comprehensive

Gedling

Coppice Farm Primary
Manor Park Infant
Sir John Sherbrooke Junior
St Wilfrid's CE Primary
All Hallows CE Primary
Christ the King Catholic School & Sixth Form Centre
Arnold Hill School & Technology College
Colonel Frank Seely Comprehensive
Ernehale Infant
St John the Baptist CE Primary
Westdale Infant
The Wheldon School & Sports Centre
Derrymount Special
Hawthorne Primary

Mansfield

Church Vale Primary
Leas Park Junior
Farmilo Primary
Brunts Comprehensive
Queen Elizabeth's Endowed Comprehensive
All Saints Catholic Comprehensive
Garibaldi Comprehensive
St Philip Neri with St Bede's Primary
Yeoman Park Special
Beech Hill Special

Newark

Chuter Ede Primary
Blidworth Oaks Primary
Coddington Primary
All Saints Anglican/Methodist Primary
Kneesall CE Primary
North Clifton Primary
Lakeview Primary
Lowe's Wong Junior
Walesby CE Primary
Grove Comprehensive
Caravan Nursery (Nursery managed by Mount CE Primary)

Rushcliffe

Cotgrave CE Primary
Lantern Lane Primary
Edwalton Primary
Flintham Primary
Sutton Bonington Primary
Abbey Road Primary
Heymann Primary
Lady Bay Primary
West Bridgford Junior
Willoughby Primary
Toot Hill Comprehensive
South Wolds Community School
West Bridgford Comprehensive
Ash Lea Special
Robert Miles Infant
Cropwell Bishop Primary
Radcliffe on Trent Junior
Rushcliffe Comprehensive
Pierrepont Gamston Primary

Basic Need Requirements

	2011/12 £	2012/13 £	2013/14 £	Total £
Sharphill	3.6m	0.5m	1.5m	5.6m
Developer Contribution	(3.6m)	0	0	(3.6m)
Pierrepoint G	1.8m	1.6m	0	3.4m
Newark	0	2.7m	0.3m	3.0m
Mobile Replacement	2.0m	0	0	2.0m
Total Council Investment	3.8m	4.8m	1.8m	10.4m

- *This analysis only covers those areas where there is existing data to support a Basic Need case for additional school places.*
- *As further hard evidence becomes available in respect of additional Basic Need cases additional funding requirements may be developed for approval.*
- *Specific circumstances relating to each of the areas may change over time and the Basic Need case will be reviewed prior to any capital investment being confirmed.*

**Refurbishment Programme - Proposed Projects
(subject to feasibility study)**

Year 1 Schools Capital Refurbishment Programme List (in priority order)

Refurbishment Work identified as Priority 1 or 2 in the current Condition Survey, primarily associated with the following building elements

	Roofing	External Walls (incl Windows)	Mechanical Installation	Electrical Installation	Value of works as per condition survey £000's
Secondary Schools					
Meden School and Technology College	x	x	x	x	1,407
Alderman White School (Bramcote Hills site)	x	x	x	x	431
Grove Comprehensive School	x	x	x	x	1,665
Magnus C of E Comprehensive	x	x	x	x	1,217
Special Schools					
Ash Lea	x	x	x	x	285
Grove Pupil Referral Unit	x	x	x	x	123
Primary Schools & Early Years					
Ethel Wainwright Primary	x	x	x	x	884
Sutton cum Lound C of E Primary School	x	x	x	x	187
Kneesall C of E Primary School	x	x	x	x	118
Rosebrook Primary and Nursery	x	x	x	x	852
Normanton-on-Soar Primary		x	x	x	124
Annie Holgate Junior School	x	x	x	x	721
Brinsley Primary & Nursery	x	x	x	x	382
Newlands Junior	x	x		x	229
St Peter's (East Bridgford) C of E Primary		x		x	190
Orchard Primary & Nursery	x	x		x	184
Croft Primary	x	x		x	278
Forest View Junior School	x	x	x	x	238
William Lilley Infant & Nursery			x	x	162
Holly Hill Primary & Nursery		x	x	x	301
Holy Cross Catholic Primary School		x	x	x	156
Annie Holgate Infant & Nursery and Children's Centre	x	x		x	252
Hawthorne Primary and Nursery		x	x	x	69
Hawtonville Junior School	x	x	x	x	239
Leamington Primary & Nursery School	x	x	x	x	290

NB – The total cost of the works required according to the Condition Survey is shown for information only and the actual cost of each scheme will be confirmed following completion of detailed feasibility work and discussion with individual schools.

Allocations for Years 2 and 3 of the programme are subject to the latest available data and confirmation of funding allocation. However, current data indicates that the following schools would be considered for refurbishment, subject to detailed feasibility and review of latest condition information.

**Year 2 Schools Capital Refurbishment Programme List
(in priority order)**

Secondary Schools
Grove Comprehensive School - Lilley and Stone Site
Sutton Centre Community College
Selston Arts and Community College
South Wolds Community School
Rushcliffe Comprehensive
Arnold Hill School and Technology College
Holgate Comprehensive
Christ the King School and Sixth Form Centre
Special Schools
Fountaindale
Derrymount
Bassetlaw Learning Centre
Yeoman Park
Bracken Hill
Primary Schools
Serlby Park Primary
Hallcroft Infant & Nursery
Abbey Road Primary School
St Swithun's C of E Primary School
Ravenshead C of E Primary
Bramcote C of E Primary School
Nettleworth Infant & Nursery
Berry Hill Primary & Nursery School
St Peter's C of E Primary Farndon
Heymann Primary School
Daneswood Junior
Brookside Primary School
Wadsworth Fields Primary School
Tuxford Primary & Nursery
St Edmund's C of E Primary & Nursery
Awsorth Primary and Nursery School
Sherwood Junior School
John Blow Primary School
Maun Infant & Nursery School
Priory Junior School
Arno Vale Junior School
Ernehale Infant School
Horsendale Primary School
Jacksdale Primary & Nursery

**Year 2 Schools Capital Refurbishment Programme List
(in priority order) - continued**

Peafield Lane Primary
Carsic Primary School
Banks Road Infant & Nursery
St John's C of E Primary Stapleford
John Clifford Primary & Nursery
Coddington C of E Primary and Nursery
Misterton Primary and Nursery School
Underwood C of E Primary
Annesley Primary & Nursery School
Mapplewells Primary & Nursery School
Priestsc Primary & Nursery
John Hunt Primary & Nursery School
Ordsall Primary
Westdale Infant School
Bramcote Hills Primary School
Beeston Fields Primary & Nursery
Winthorpe Primary School
Wynndale Primary School
Willow Brook Primary School
Coppice Farm Primary School
Robert Miles Junior School
Albany Infant & Nursery School
St Matthew's C of E Primary
Brookhill Leys Primary School
Crescent Primary
Cropwell Bishop Primary School
King Edward Primary School
High Oakham Primary School
Round Hill Primary School
College House Junior School
Trent Vale Infant & Nursery School
Halam C of E Primary School
St Peter's C of E Primary Mansfield
Willoughby Primary School
Hucknall CE Primary School

**Year 3 Schools Capital Refurbishment Programme List
(in priority order)**

Secondary Schools
Kirkby College
Garibaldi Comprehensive School
Alderman White School and Language College
Bramcote Park Business and Enterprise School
Quarrydale School
All Saints Catholic Comprehensive
Eastwood Comp School
Kimberley Comprehensive School
Chilwell School
Colonel Frank Seely Comprehensive School
Queen Elizabeth's Endowed Comprehensive
The Wheldon School and Sports College
Ashfield School and Technology College
Joseph Whitaker Comprehensive
Dukeries College
The Brunts School
Alderman White (Bramcote Hills site)
Manor Comprehensive School
Special Schools
Orchard School - Town site
Beech Hill
Orchard School - London Rd site
Daybrook Learning Centre
Redgate
Foxwood Foundation
Primary Schools
Jesse Gray Primary School
Haddon Primary & Nursery
Flintham Primary School
Beardall Street Primary & Nursery
Carr Hill Primary School
Stanhope Primary & Nursery School
Misson Primary School
Good Shepherd Catholic Primary
St Peter's C of E Primary Gringley
Bispham Drive Junior School
Rampton Primary School
Radcliffe-on-Trent Infant & Nursery School
John Davies Primary & Nursery
Abbey Gates Primary School
James Peacock Infant & Nursery School
Hetts Lane Infant & Nursery

**Year 3 Schools Capital Refurbishment Programme List
(In priority order) - continued**

Leen Mills Primary School
Sacred Heart Catholic Primary School
Newstead Primary & Nursery School
Abbey Hill Primary and Nursery School
St Mary's C of E Primary School
Ollerton Primary
Prospect Hill Junior School
Kimberley Primary
St Patrick's Catholic Primary & Nursery School
Kingston Park Primary and Nursery School
Abbey Primary School
Python Hill Primary School
Willow Farm Primary School
Lowe's Wong Infant School
Leas Park Junior
All Saints Anglican Methodist (Aided) Primary
Samuel Barlow Primary & Nursery School
St Wilfrid's C of E Primary
St Mary & St Martin Blyth C of E Primary
All Hallows C of E Primary
Forest Town Primary & Nursery School
Carnarvon Primary School
Sir Edmund Hillary Primary & Nursery
Langold Dyscarr Community School
Sutton on Trent Primary School
St Augustine's Infant & Nursery
Lake View Primary & Nursery School
Netherfield Infant & Nursery
Sunnyside Primary & Nursery
Manor Park Infant & Nursery School
Robert Mellors Primary & Nursery
Sutton Bonington Primary School
Parkdale Primary School
Bunny C of E Primary School
East Markham Primary School
Cuckney C of E Primary School
Newgate Lane Primary
Sutton Road Primary and Nursery
Bowbridge Primary School
Healdswood Infant & Nursery
Bleasby C of E Primary School
Crossdale Drive Primary School
Linby Cum Papplewick C of E Primary School
Lynncroft Primary & Nursery School
Bagthorpe Primary
Northfield Primary
Holy Trinity C of E Infant School
St Mary Magdalene C of E Primary School
Morven Park Primary & Nursery

**Year 3 Schools Capital Refurbishment Programme List
(In priority order) - continued**

North Clifton Primary School
Phoenix Infant & Nursery
Holly Primary School
Priory Catholic Primary School
Ernehale Junior School
Gunthorpe C of E Primary
Woodthorpe Infant School
Blidworth Oaks Primary School
Peafield Lane Primary Annexe
Christ Church C of E Infant
St Philip Neri's with St Bede's Primary School
Lambley Primary School
Hillocks Primary & Nursery School
Kinoulton Primary School
Eskdale Junior School
Kirkby Woodhouse Primary
Central Infant & Nursery School
Edwalton Primary School
Archbishop Cranmer C of E Primary
Springbank Primary
Lady Bay Primary School
St John The Baptist C of E Primary
Crompton View Primary
Holy Family Catholic Primary & Nursery School
Everton Primary School
Alderman Pounder Infant & Nursery School
St Andrew's C of E Primary and Nursery
Selston C of E Infant & Nursery School
Dean Hole C of E Primary School
Chuter Ede Primary School
Fairfield Primary School
Meadow Lane Infant School
St Augustine's Junior School
Intake Farm Primary School
Asquith Primary
Queen Eleanor Primary School
Burton Joyce Primary School
Holy Trinity Catholic Primary & Nursery School
Lowe's Wong Anglican Methodist Junior School
Kingsway Primary School
Keyworth Primary & Nursery School
Rylands Junior School
Chetwynd Road Primary School
Heatherley Primary
Oliver Quibell Infant School
John T Rice Infant & Nursery
Priory C of E Primary & Nursery School
Greythorn Primary
Hollywell Primary School

**Year 3 Schools Capital Refurbishment Programme List
(In priority order) - continued**

Westdale Junior School
St Edmund Campion Catholic Primary
Sir John Sherbrooke Junior School
Forest Glade Primary School
Prospect Hill Infant & Nursery
Broomhill Junior School
Frederick Harrison Infant
All Saints C of E Infant
Pierrepont Gamston Primary School
Manners Sutton Primary School
Butlers Hill Infant & Nursery School
Lovers Lane Primary & Nursery School
St John's C of E Primary School
Gateford Park Primary School
Mornington Primary
Larkfields Infant School
Richard Bonington Primary & Nursery
West Bridgford Infant School
Norwell C of E Primary School
Arnbroom Primary and Nursery School
Radcliffe-on-Trent Junior School
Tollerton Primary School
Trowell C of E Primary School
Beckingham Primary School
Killisick Junior School
Robin Hood Primary and Nursery
Mattersey Primary School
Walesby C of E Primary School
Langar C of E Primary School
Muskham Primary School
St Joseph's Catholic Primary & Nursery School
Gotham Primary School
Larkfields Junior School
North Wheatley C of E Primary
Caravan Infant & Nursery
Greenwood Primary & Nursery School
Mount C of E Primary & Nursery School
West Bridgford Junior Annexe
Orston Primary School
Robert Miles Infant School
Arnold Mill Primary School
Gilthill Primary School
Dalestorth Primary & Nursery
Barnby Road Primary School
Candleby Lane Primary School
Westwood Infant & Nursery School
Standhill Infant School
West Bridgford Junior School
Pinewood Infant & Nursery

**Year 3 Schools Capital Refurbishment Programme List
(In priority order) - continued**

St Joseph's Catholic Primary & Nursery
Ranskill Primary School
Church Vale Primary School
Farmilo Primary
Lantern Lane Primary & Nursery School
Central Junior School
Cotgrave C of E Primary School
Woodborough Woods Foundation Primary School
Albany Junior School
St Luke's C of E Primary School
Netherfield Primary
Lowdham C of E Primary School
Porchester Junior School
Birklands Primary & Nursery
Mapperley Plains Primary & Nursery School
Hillside Primary School
Seely Church Primary School
St Anne's C of E Primary School
Jeffries Primary and Nursery
Arnold View Primary School
Gamston C of E Aided Primary
Edgewood Primary & Nursery
Heathlands Primary School
Eastlands Junior
Bracken Lane Primary & Nursery
Oak Tree Primary and Nursery
Elkesley Primary and Nursery School
Sturton le Steeple C of E Primary School
Dunham on Trent C of E Primary
All Saints Harworth C of E Primary School
Oak Tree Primary Sports Hall
Redlands Primary & Nursery School
Ramsden Primary