


Q5 Do you agree that if the government increases the per pupil funding, over and above the levels paid in 2016-17 that this is passed on to child care providers?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 3




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	85.71%	36
No	2.38%	1
Don't know	11.90%	5
Total		42

#	Any further comments	Date
1	This seems reasonable	11/18/2016 4:31 PM

Q6 Do you agree that the base hourly rate for 2 year olds child care should maintained at at least £5.09 in 2017-18?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	87.80% 36
No	7.32% 3
Don't know	4.88% 2
Total	41

#	Any further comments	Date
1	The funding should match that given in the formula	11/18/2016 4:31 PM
2	Costs are higher than the funding provision.	11/17/2016 11:52 AM
3	Even at 5.09 per hour it is not cost effective, the staff ratios are double so that increases the overheads	11/8/2016 8:17 AM
4	Not applicable	11/1/2016 4:15 PM

Q7 Do you agree that the per pupil unit of funding for 3-4 year olds should be maintained at at least £2,280 per annum for children who do not receive a deprivation adjustment. This equates to an hourly rate of £4.00 for PVI's and £3.90 for maintained primary schools?

Answered: 42 Skipped: 3




Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	78.57%	33
No	14.29%	6
Don't know	7.14%	3
Total		42

#	Any further comments	Date
1	The funding should match that given in the formula	11/18/2016 4:31 PM
2	Costs are higher than the funding provision.	11/17/2016 11:52 AM
3	It certainly shouldn't be allowed to decrease.	11/9/2016 5:43 AM
4	This is not enough, it current costs £4.50 an hour and costs are rising all the time. For example, pension contributions, living wage	11/4/2016 10:21 AM
5	The hourly rate should be the same irrespective of the governance arrangement of the setting.	11/3/2016 7:53 PM
6	The £4.00 rate should also be given to childminders	11/2/2016 7:02 PM
7	It needs to be increased as does not cover costs and nurseries running at a loss	11/2/2016 12:14 PM
8	This is not enough and doesn't leave room for paying children especially when the 30 hours come into place.	11/1/2016 10:33 AM
9	Should be increased due to rising overhead costs to the PVI sector i.e. Business Rates, Workplace Pensions, National Living Wage and Training Costs	10/31/2016 1:02 PM
10	Childcare costs are increasing. Minimum wage is rising, funding needs to be increased too.	10/31/2016 12:10 PM
11	The hourly rate for 3-4 year olds in a setting where parents pay, is usually more than £4.00 p/h	10/31/2016 11:20 AM

Q8 If you answered yes to question 3 do you agree that any shortfall in grant funding should be funded on a temporary basis from the Schools (Non ISB) Reserve?

Answered: 36 Skipped: 9




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	55.56% 20
No	13.89% 5
Don't know	30.56% 11
Total	36

#	Any further comments	Date
1	This could lead to inadequate provision for pupils.	11/16/2016 11:08 AM
2	Can not comment as not sure what an ISB is and how this would effect schools.	11/1/2016 1:02 PM
3	Schools have not got the budget to do this	10/31/2016 8:46 PM

Q9 Do you agree with leaving the meal allowance unchanged if this is still a permitted factor within the Early Needs funding block?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 4




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	63.41% 26
No	7.32% 3
Don't know	29.27% 12
Total	41

#	Any further comments	Date
1	This allowance should not continue	11/18/2016 4:31 PM
2	Not applicable	11/1/2016 4:15 PM
3	I think there should be more funding for lunch. The cost of a lunch at school is £2.00 per day.	10/31/2016 11:45 AM
4	The cost of meals is higher than the allowance and the cost of school meals is also higher	10/31/2016 11:20 AM

Q10 Do you agree that the same formula should be used to allocate funding in 2017-18 as was used in 2016-17?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 16




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	62.07% 18
No	17.24% 5
Don't know	20.69% 6
Total	29

#	If you do not agree with this proposal which is recommended by the Schools Forum, please state which factor you wish to change and in what way you propose it should be changed.	Date
1	AWPU - the AWPU rates should be proportionally adjusted to make the overall primary to secondary funding ration 1:1.28 (national average) Prior Attainment - our concerns centre around the accuracy and validity of assessment which are teacher based in the primary sector, in addition, prior attainment in secondary schools is addressed through the catch-up premium. The lump sum factor - should be either discontinued or vastly reduced - ultimately all the lump sum achieves is to keep open unviable schools IDACI changes for deprivation funding - Some schools appear to be seeing big swings in funding this year due to the revised banding structure and we are concerned that these schools should be protected by MFG.	11/18/2016 4:37 PM
2	We believe that there should be an adjustment in funding to reflect the decrease in deprivation funding for 2017-18 with the reversal of the IDAC criteria which means again schools with a high level of deprivation take a funding hit.	11/17/2016 4:25 PM
3	As before. NMW increasing, funding needs to be increased in line.	10/31/2016 12:12 PM
4	Fairness. My school is within the bottom 10% for pupil funding.	10/31/2016 11:25 AM

Q11 Do you agree that the growth fund should continue?

Answered: 30 Skipped: 15




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	56.67% 17
No	0.00% 0
Don't know	43.33% 13
Total	30

#	Any further comments	Date
1	This fund should not exclusively for Primary Schools - it should be expanded to cover Secondary Schools as well	11/18/2016 4:37 PM
2	I don't feel enough information has been provided about the growth fund to make an informed decision	11/3/2016 11:25 PM

Q12 If yes, do you agree with the proposal to maintain the growth fund at £1m?

Answered: 23 Skipped: 22




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	60.87% 14
No	0.00% 0
Don't know	39.13% 9
Total	23

#	Any further comments	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q13 As a representative of either a maintained primary or secondary school, do you agree to the de-delegation of the following in 2017-18:

Answered: 16 Skipped: 29



	Yes	No	Don't know	Total
Free school meals eligibility assessment?	68.75% 11	12.50% 2	18.75% 3	16
Staff costs / supply cover (trade union facility time)?	43.75% 7	31.25% 5	25.00% 4	16
Support to underperforming ethnic minority groups and bilingual learners?	62.50% 10	18.75% 3	18.75% 3	16


Consultation on the Local Funding Formula for Schools & Early Years Providers 2017-18

Contingency for crisis communications?	50.00% 8	18.75% 3	31.25% 5	16
--	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	----

#	Any further comments	Date
1	N/A	11/18/2016 4:37 PM
2	Not applicable	11/1/2016 4:17 PM
3	Not applicable	11/1/2016 1:05 PM
4	Not for profit charity.	10/31/2016 12:12 PM

**Q14 Do you agree that £1million of the non-
ISB reserve is allocated to schools in
2017/18?**

Answered: 20 Skipped: 25




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	65.00% 13
No	0.00% 0
Don't know	35.00% 7
Total	20

#	Any further comments	Date
1	Not applicable	11/1/2016 1:05 PM

Q15 Do you agree that a disapplication request is made to the Secretary of State to allow the additional funding to be allocated on a per pupil basis outside of the funding formula to enable all schools to benefit from this allocation?

Answered: 19 Skipped: 26



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	68.42% 13
No	5.26% 1
Don't know	26.32% 5
Total	19

#	Any further comments	Date
1	Yes, but only if on or before agreement schools are consulted on the methodology to be used in the allocation of these funds and that the allocation of funds is fair.	11/18/2016 4:37 PM
2	This we feel should be delegated as per the formula. So the schools with the greatest needs benefit more from the additional funds.	11/17/2016 4:25 PM