

Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)

Introduction

This EqIA is for:

Promoting independence in supported living and outreach services

Details are set out: C01 Option for Change

Officers undertaking the

assessment:

Cath Cameron-Jones – Commissioning Manager

Assessment approved by: Caroline Baria, Service Director, Strategic Commissioning, Access and Safeguarding

Date: 25/11/2015 Updated: 09/02/16

The Public Sector Equality Duty which is set out in the Equality Act 2010 requires public authorities to have due regard to the need to: Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation; Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not; Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.

The purpose of carrying out an Equality Impact Assessment is to assess the impact of a change to services or policy on people with protected characteristics and to demonstrate that the Council has considered the aims of the Equality Duty.

Part A: Impact, consultation and proposed mitigation

1 What are the potential impacts of proposal? Has any initial consultation informed the identification of impacts?

Promoting independence of people currently receiving care, support and enablement services will have the effect of reducing the amount of paid support offered. Currently approximately 80% of the people receiving care, support and enablement are people with learning disabilities and their packages are on average considerably bigger than people with mental health issues, which is not always related to levels of need but to perceived risk. Therefore the majority of the savings are likely to be made from reducing the packages of people with learning disabilities.

Promotion of independence should result in a positive impact for individuals and packages will only be reduced where appropriate support planning is in place and risk assessments are carried out. The overall impact of reduced support should be greater independence, being able to do more things for themselves and greater integration with mainstream services and activities.

Service users with protected characteristics in addition to disability will continue to receive assessed care, support and enablement appropriate to their needs. It is not anticipated there will be disproportionate negative impact on people with other protected characteristics, e.g. race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender etc.

	Age	☐ Positive	☐ Negative	✓ Neutral Impact
	Disability	✓ Positive	Negative	☐ Neutral Impact
	Gender reassignment	☐ Positive	□ Negative	✓ Neutral Impact
	Pregnancy & maternity	☐ Positive	□ Negative	✓ Neutral Impact
	Race including origin, colour or nationality	☐ Positive	□ Negative	✓ Neutral Impact
	Religion	☐ Positive	□ Negative	✓ Neutral Impact
	Gender	☐ Positive	□ Negative	✓ Neutral Impact
	Sexual orientation including gay, lesbian or bisexual	☐ Positive	□ Negative	✓ Neutral Impact
Jaw	Where there are potential detailed including considering mitigated (where possible	leration of the) and meaning	equality duty, proposa fully consulted on:	Is for how they could be
How do the potential impacts affect people with protected characteristics What is the scale of the impact?		mitigated or	negative impact be explain why it is not	How will we consult
the a social sola	re is likely to be a reduction in amount of paid for support for al activities. This could nitially lead to an increase in ation	Replace paractivities, sensure indictivities, sensure indictive change to ensure risumport plate ensuring the helped to a and leisure Ensuring the people to revisk approvisk assesses that support the impact severity and	and for support with other upport planning to viduals are prepared for and risk assessments isks are minimised. anning will be critical in ese individuals are ccess alternative social activities. at support plans help ecognise and deal with priately and that robust ments are carried out so it is not reduced where would be an increase in d likelihood of risk. Use technology and shared	With individual service users and their families where changes to their support will impact on them. Analysis of consultation responses will highlight any disproportionate negative impact on people with protected characteristics in addition to disability.
Dor	t Di Faadbaak and forth		mitigate risk.	
Part B: Feedback and further mitigation				

Protected Characteristics: Is there a potential positive or negative impact based on:

2

4 Summary of consultation feedback and further amendments to proposal / mitigation

From the public consultation responses 50% of people who fed back on this proposal agreed with it, 31% were against the proposal and 19% were unsure. Few specific comments were made but some concern was raised about whether the proposal may result in increasing the vulnerability of people. In mitigation, any reduction to an individual's support package would only be undertaken following risk assessment and review of needs. This plan is about encouraging both care providers and care

managers to consider how they support people to become more independent, ensuring that people are given the skills they need to minimise any risks should support levels be reduced.

Following consideration of the consultation responses received by the Council, no additional potential impacts were identified. This Equality Impact Assessment is considered to reflect the potential impacts and appropriate mitigations for this proposal.

Completed EqIAs should be sent to equalities@nottscc.gov.uk and will be published on the Council's website.