

## **CONSULTATION RESPONSE FORM**

## School Funding Reform: Consultation on the local funding formula for 2015-16

## How to respond to this consultation

The consultation document outlines the proposed application of the funding factors that are required & permitted within the local funding formula for 2015-16. Please answer each of the questions below in conjunction with the description of each proposal outlined in the consultation document. Any additional comments you wish to make should also be included.

If you have a query regarding the consultation document please contact:

Katy Adamson 0115 977 3439 <u>katy.adamson@nottscc.gov.uk</u>
 Toni Gardner 0115 977 3487 <u>toni.gardner@nottscc.gov.uk</u>.

Please send your completed consultation response form by e-mail to <a href="mailto:toni.gardner@nottscc.gov.uk">toni.gardner@nottscc.gov.uk</a> or post to:

Schools Finance (Floor 4)
Finance and Procurement Division
County Hall
West Bridgford
NG2 7QP

The closing date for this consultation is 10<sup>th</sup> October 2014 – your response must reach us by that date.

The responses to the consultation will be collated and reported to the Schools Forum on 23 October 2014 for their consideration. The proposed local funding formula for 2015-16 as agreed by the Forum will then be submitted to the Education Funding Agency on 31 October 2014 and a final decision will be taken by the County Council's Policy Committee in November.

Thank you for responding to this consultation.

## **CONSULTATION RESPONSE FORM**

## School Funding 2015-16: Consultation with Schools on the local funding formula

Name		
Position		
School / Academy N	Name	
Primary to Secondar	y Funding Ratio (paragraphs	s 26 to 29)
	y i aniani g i tano (panagrapin	<del> </del>
<u>Question 1</u> Do you agree that th 16 financial year?	e primary to secondary ratio	should be maintained at 1:1.265 for the 201
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:	110	Not Guie
Dania Entitlament	Ana Mainh (ad Donil Hui) (aa	
Basic Entitlement –	Age Weighted Pupil Unit (pa	<u>agraphs 30 &amp; 31)</u>
Question 2		
, ,		nould be proportionally adjusted in order
maintain the overall	primary to secondary fundir	g ratio of 1: 1.265 for 2015-16?
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:	,	,
Donrivation and the	trootment of the Bunil Bromi	um (naragranhe 28 to 21)
Jephivation and the	treatment of the Pupil Premi	uni (paragraphis 20 to 31)
Question 3		
	e same percentage of total f llocate deprivation funding i	unding, deprivation indicators and weightinดู n 2015-16?
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:	1	1.13. 3.13
-		

Low Cost, High Incidence SEN (paragraphs 32 to 37)

Yes Comments:	No	Not Sure
uestion 5		
the factor continue	s to be included, do you distributing that funding?	agree to retaining the current proportion
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:		1.00.00.0
uestion 6 o you agree with ret	aining the Looked After Cl	hildren factor in the Nottinghamshire forn
or 2015-16?	-	
	No	Not Sure
Yes		
	1119	
	1339	
Comments: Question 7		
Comments: <u>Question 7</u> The factor continues		gree that a fixed unit value of £3,000 sho
Comments: <u>Question 7</u> The factor continues ontinue to be used to	s to be included, do you a	
	s to be included, do you a o allocate this funding in 20	15-16?

**Question 4** 

# English as an Additional Language (paragraphs 41 to 42)

	etaining the EAL factor in the	Nottinghamshire formula for 2015-16?
o you agree man		Troumgnamenne remaia rei 2010 rei
/es	No	Not Sure
Comments:		
Junction ()		
Question 9	inad do vou agroo that the	same percentage of total funding should
	ned, do you agree that the ne EAL factor with a single un	
mocated unough th	ic EAL factor with a single an	R Value III 2010-10:
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:	1	1
Punil Mobility (para	graphs 43 to 44)	
Pupil Mobility (parag	graphs 43 to 44)	
	graphs 43 to 44)	
Question 10		
<u>Question 10</u> Do you agree with r		ctor in the Nottinghamshire formula for 20
Question 10 Do you agree with r		ctor in the Nottinghamshire formula for 20
Question 10 Do you agree with r 6?	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	
Question 10 Oo you agree with r 6? Yes		ctor in the Nottinghamshire formula for 20  Not Sure
Question 10 Do you agree with r 16? Yes	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	
Pupil Mobility (paragety)  Question 10  Oo you agree with reference  Yes  Comments:	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	
Question 10 Do you agree with r 16? Yes	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	
Question 10 Do you agree with r 16? Yes	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	
Question 10 Do you agree with r 16? Yes	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	
Question 10 Oo you agree with r 6? Yes	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	
Question 10 Do you agree with r 6? Yes Comments:	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	
Question 10 Oo you agree with r 6? Yes Comments:	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	Not Sure
Question 10 Oo you agree with r 6? Yes Comments:	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	
Question 10 Do you agree with reference of the second seco	No No he same percentage of total f	Not Sure  unding should be allocated through the Pu
Question 10 Do you agree with reference with refere	retaining the Pupil Mobility fa	Not Sure  unding should be allocated through the Pu
Question 10 Do you agree with reference of the second seco	No No he same percentage of total f	Not Sure  unding should be allocated through the Pu
Question 10 Do you agree with r 6? Yes Comments: Question 11 Do you agree that the state of the	he same percentage of total for 15-16, with a single unit value	Not Sure  unding should be allocated through the Pu

# Sparsity (paragraphs 45 to 57)

Question 12

Do you agree with the proposal not to adopt a Sparsity factor for 2015-16?

Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:	1.00	1.00.00	
6 (	Jan 40 to 54)		
ump Sum (paragrap	ons 48 to 51)		
Question 13			
	taining the lump sum factor	in the Nottinghamshire formula for 2015	5-16
o you agroo marro	taning the famp sam factor	in the Nothinghamerine formula for 20 fe	
Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:	1	-	
Question 14			
	e proposal to keep the lump	sum value at £100.000 in 2015-16 for the	e
o you agree with the	e proposal to keep the lump	sum value at £100,000 in 2015-16 for the	e
Oo you agree with the Primary phase?	e proposal to keep the lump	sum value at £100,000 in 2015-16 for the	e
Oo you agree with the ) Primary phase? ) Secondary phase			e
o you agree with the Primary phase?	No	Not Sure	e
o you agree with the primary phase? Secondary phase			e
Oo you agree with the primary phase?  Secondary phase  a) Yes b) Yes	No	Not Sure	e
Oo you agree with the primary phase?  Secondary phase  a) Yes b) Yes	No	Not Sure	e
o you agree with the Primary phase? Secondary phase  a) Yes b) Yes	No	Not Sure	e
o you agree with the Primary phase? Secondary phase  a) Yes b) Yes	No	Not Sure	e
o you agree with the Primary phase? Secondary phase  a) Yes b) Yes	No	Not Sure	e
o you agree with the Primary phase? Secondary phase  a) Yes b) Yes Comments:	No	Not Sure	e
o you agree with the Primary phase? Secondary phase  a) Yes b) Yes Comments:	No No	Not Sure Not Sure	
Oo you agree with the Primary phase?  Secondary phase  a) Yes  b) Yes  Comments:	No No No ottinghamshire should not a	Not Sure Not Sure pply for an exceptional factor in order	
Oo you agree with the Primary phase?  a) Secondary phase  a) Yes  b) Yes  Comments:	No No No ottinghamshire should not a	Not Sure Not Sure	
Po you agree with the Primary phase?  Secondary phase  a) Yes  b) Yes  Comments:  Suestion 15  To you agree that No further allowance to	No No ottinghamshire should not a o amalgamating schools in t	Not Sure Not Sure pply for an exceptional factor in order he second year after amalgamation?	
Oo you agree with the Primary phase?  I) Secondary phase  a) Yes  b) Yes  Comments:  Question 15  Oo you agree that Not further allowance to	No No No ottinghamshire should not a	Not Sure Not Sure pply for an exceptional factor in order	
Oo you agree with the Primary phase?  a) Secondary phase  a) Yes  b) Yes  Comments:  Question 15  Oo you agree that No further allowance to	No No ottinghamshire should not a o amalgamating schools in t	Not Sure Not Sure pply for an exceptional factor in order he second year after amalgamation?	
Oo you agree with the Primary phase?  I) Secondary phase  a) Yes  b) Yes  Comments:  Question 15  Oo you agree that Not further allowance to	No No ottinghamshire should not a o amalgamating schools in t	Not Sure Not Sure pply for an exceptional factor in order he second year after amalgamation?	
Oo you agree with the Primary phase?  I) Secondary phase  a) Yes  b) Yes  Comments:  Question 15  Oo you agree that Not further allowance to	No No ottinghamshire should not a o amalgamating schools in t	Not Sure Not Sure pply for an exceptional factor in order he second year after amalgamation?	
a) Yes b) Yes Comments:	No No ottinghamshire should not a o amalgamating schools in t	Not Sure Not Sure pply for an exceptional factor in order he second year after amalgamation?	

# Split Sites (paragraph 52)

## **Question 16**

Do you agree with retaining the Split Site factor in the Nottinghamshire formula for 2015-10
--

Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:	1110	1101.04.0
Question 17		
Do you agree to cont	inue with the current method	lology and funding for split site schools?
Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:	140	Not Guic
Rates (paragraph 53)		
Question 18		
	inue with the current arrange	ement to pay rates centrally?
Do you agree to cont		
Do you agree to cont	inue with the current arrange	ement to pay rates centrally?  Not Sure
Do you agree to cont		
Do you agree to cont		
Do you agree to cont		
Do you agree to cont		
Do you agree to cont		
Yes Comments:	No	
Yes Comments:	No	
Yes Comments:  Exceptional factors (  Question 19	No paragraphs 54 to 55)	Not Sure
Yes Comments:  Exceptional factors (  Question 19	No paragraphs 54 to 55)	
Yes Comments:  Exceptional factors ( Question 19 Do you agree to cont	paragraphs 54 to 55)	Not Sure
Yes Comments:  Exceptional factors (page 19) Do you agree to contents	No paragraphs 54 to 55)	Not Sure
Yes Comments:  Exceptional factors ( Question 19 Do you agree to cont	paragraphs 54 to 55)	Not Sure
Yes Comments:  Exceptional factors (page 19) Question 19 Do you agree to contents	paragraphs 54 to 55)	Not Sure
Yes Comments:  Exceptional factors (page 19) Do you agree to content Yes	paragraphs 54 to 55)	Not Sure

## **Growth Fund (paragraphs 56 to 57)**

#### **Question 20**

## Do you agree that the growth fund should continue?

Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:		

### **Question 21**

Do you agree that the growth fund should be increased to £1m, to provide for anticipated demand?

Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:			

## **De-delegation (paragraphs 58 to 59)**

## **Question 22**

As a representative of either a maintained primary or secondary school, do you agree to the de-delegation of the following in 2015-16:

- a) Contingencies for pre-agreed amalgamation transitional support?
- b) Free school meals eligibility assessment?
- c) Staff costs / supply cover (trade union facility time)?
- d) Support to underperforming ethnic minority groups and bilingual learners?
- e) Contingency for crisis communications?

a) Yes	No	Not Sure
<b>b)</b> Yes	No	Not Sure
c) Yes	No	Not Sure
d) Yes	No	Not Sure
e) Yes	No	Not Sure
Comments:	·	·

# Gains Cap and Minimum Funding Guarantee (paragraphs 60 to 64)

Question .	23
------------	----

Do yo	u a	gree	with	the	proposal	to	apply	a fur	ther	gain 'g	s ca	p of 2	.5% p	er pu	ıpil	in 20	)15-16	in (
order	to	achie	eve	the	cumulativ	/e	gains	сар	of	10%	per	pupil	prop	osed	in	the	2013	}-1 <b>4</b>
consu	ltat	ion?					_	_			_							

Yes	No	Not Sure	
Comments:	·		
Additional comments	<u>s</u>		
	('I	P 41 14 41 1 1	
Please add any addit	tional comments you nave re	garding the consultation below:	
Please add any addit	tional comments you nave re	garding the consultation below:	
Please add any addit	tional comments you nave re	garding the consultation below:	
Please add any addit	tional comments you nave re	garding the consultation below:	
Please add any addit	tional comments you nave re	garding the consultation below:	
Please add any addit	tional comments you nave re	garding the consultation below:	

Thank you for responding to this consultation.