	2019/20	Q1	Q2	Q3	Dec	Jan	Feb	Target	Current Value	Best to be	RAG	Direction of Travel	National Average
ssessments and Reviews													
ercentage of contacts passed to Tier 3 (assessment)	34.0%	37%	41%	42%	42.4%	42.3%	40.9%	25%	42.8%	Low	R	Away from Target	SAVINGS
ercentage of reviews of Long Term Service Users completed in year	84.9%	24.2%	42.2%	56.7%	56.7%	61.4%	66.5%	100%	74.0%	High	R		LOCAL
ercentage reviews where the package cost was reduced following review (long term services only) Older Adults	18.7%	16.0%	16.0%	17.6%	17.6%	18.4%	18.3%	15%	18.8%	High	G	TOWARDS TARGET	SAVINGS
ercentage reviews where the package cost was reduced following review (long term services only) Younger Adults	15.2%	10.5%	10.8%	12.6%	12.6%	12.7%	12.6%	66%	12.9%	High	R	TOWARDS TARGET	SAVINGS
verage number of reviews per SU per year per pathway: Active	1.53	1.53	1.52	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.48	2	1.46	High	R	Away from Target	SAVING
verage number of reviews per SU per year per pathway: Standard	1.51	1.5	1.51	1.51	1.51	1.51	1.5	1	1.49	-	G		SAVING
verage number of reviews per SU per year per pathway: Continuation	1.29	1.31	1.3	1.31	1.31	1.31	1.3	1	1.29	-	G		SAVING
eablement													
oportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into ablement/rehabilitation services (effectiveness of the service)	84.8	94.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	82.1%	83.5%	83%	84.8%	High	G	TOWARDS TARGET	82.0%
oportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into ablement/rehabilitation services (offered the service)	2.8	2.5%	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.3%	1.6%	2.5%	2.2%	High	A	Away from Target	2.6
verage length of stay in START reablement (days)	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	N/A	Low		N/A	SAVING
ercentage of contacts resulting in referral to Programme of Independence (enablement type services)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	70%	N/A	High		N/A	SAVING
elayed Transfers of Care	<u> </u>							'				,	
verage number of days between MFFD and Discharge date (Newark)	N/A	4.51	2.26	4.52	4.52	4.09	4.21	NEW	4.28	low	R	Away from Target	LOCAL
verage number of days between MFFD and Discharge date (KMH)	N/A	3.12	2.64	3.87	3.87	3.23	3.39	NEW	3.42	low	R	Away from Target	LOCAL
verage number of days between MFFD and Discharge date (QMC&City)	1.8	1.48	1.77	2.89	2.89	1.98	2.09	NEW	2.17	low	R	Away from Target	LOCAL
ackages of Care and Support													
umber of new packages set up each month	455	547	530	538	538	536	555	To reduce	N/A	Low			SAVING
verage package cost for LT and ST services	£466	£491	£483	£477	£477	£477	£481	To reduce	N/A	Low			SAVING
irect Payments													
oportion of adults receiving direct payments	40.6%	40.0%	40.4%	39.5%	39.5%	39.4%	39.2%	42%	38.6%	High			27.90%
oportion of carers receiving direct payments for support direct to carer	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	High	G	TOWARDS TARGET	77.10%
ercentage of new Direct Payments used to purchase a Personal Assistant	19.0%	37.0%	25.3%	28.8%	28.8%	27.6%	26.5%	50%	26.5%	High	A	Away from Target	SAVING
ong Term Care	<u>'</u>												

Long-term support needs of Living Well adults (aged 18-64) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,0	25.9	4.3	10.5	17.3	17.3	18.9	21.2	16.4	24.7	Low	R	Away from Target	14.6
Number of Younger Adults supported in residential or nursing placements (Stat return)	662	671	677	684	684	687	686	635	694	Low	R	Away from Target	n/a
Long-term support needs of older adults (aged 65 and over) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,t	612.1	54.6	141	319	319.0	359.5	420.0	469.9	503.4	Low	R	Away from Target	584
Percentage of older adults admissions to LTC direct from hospital (BCF)	13.0%	11.8%	4.6%	5.0%	5.0%	4.6%	5.2%	11%	5.4%	Low	G	TOWARDS TARGET	LOCAL
Number of Older Adults supported in residential or nursing placements (Stat return)	2375	2,122	2,073	2,083	2083	2045	2040	2309	2104	Low	G	TOWARDS TARGET	n/a
Percentage of LTC admissions that came direct from all types of short term bed based care interventions	45.3%	N/A	Low			SAVINGS							
Employment and accommodation			_		-								
Proportion of adults with Learning Disabilities in paid employment	2.4%	2.2%	2.2%	2.0%	2.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.9%	2.0%	High	R	Away from Target	5.6%
Proportion of adults with learning disabilities who live in their own home or with their family	76.3%	75.8%	75.1%	74.7%	74.7%	74.7%	74.6%	77%	74.5%	High	R	Away from Target	77.3%
Proportion of adults with a Mental Health problem in paid employment	4.4%	4.4%	4.0%	4.1%	4.1%	3.9%	3.9%	new	4.0%	High		N/A	LOCAL
Proportion of adults with a Physical Disability in paid employment	3.4%	3.0%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.5%	2.6%	new	2.5%	High	-	N/A	LOCAL
Safeguarding												•	
Proportion of adults where the outcome of a safeguarding assessment is that the risk is reduced or removed (Stat return)	85.9%	85.7%	83.6%	84.9%	84.9%	85.5%	86.1%	90%	86.5%	High	Α	TOWARDS TARGET	89.5%
Proportion of adults at risk lacking mental capacity who are supported to give their views during a safeguarding assessment by	86.9%	91.1%	88.2%	86.6%	86.6%	87.0%	85.9%	85%	85.5%	High	G	TOWARDS TARGET	87.0%
Percentage of safeguarding service users who were asked what outcomes they wanted (stat return)	82.5%	84.4%	82.9%	82.8%	82.8%	82.2%	81.3%	85%	81.0%	High	Α	Away from Target	79.0%
Percentage of safeguarding service users (of above) who felt they were listened to and their outcomes achieved (stat return)	75.0%	78.0%	76.4%	76.9%	76.9%	74.5%	73.4%	80%	75.0%	High	Α	No Change	67%
DoLS													
Percentage of DoLS assessments received and completed in year	89.0%	57.0%	42.0%	59.0%	59%	68%	65%	90%	64%	High	R	Away from Target	LOCAL