	Adult Social Care Performance Update April - December 2021	2019/20	2020/21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Current Value	Target	Best to be	RAG	Direction of Travel ytd	National Average
	Contacts / requests												
1	MIS Contacts : % resolved (pre assessment)	N/A	N/A	80.7%	81.0%	81.3%	81.4%	80.5%	To Increase	High	R	Away from Target	LOCAL
	Hospital Discharge												
2	Percentage of discharges made on the same day or the next day as the person was deemed Medically Safe for Discharge/Medically Fit for Discharge (MFFD)	N/A	36%	28%	27%	30%	28%	28%	To Increase	High	R	Away from Target	LOCAL
3	The average number of days between MFFD or Discharge Notice and Discharge	N/A	2.9	4.5	5.2	4.5	4.9	5.0	To reduce	low	R	Away from Target	LOCAL CORE
	Reablement & Enablement												
4	Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services (effectiveness of the service)	84.8%	84.8%	86.6%	82.2%	82.8%	82.0%	82.3%	83.0%	High	A	TOWARDS TARGET	79%
5	Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services (offered the service)	2.8%	2.2%	2.2%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.2%	2.5%	High	R	Away from Target	3.1%
6	Number of people who completed START reablement	N/A	N/A	751	934	1,054	1,202	1,359	2,421	High	R	TOWARDS TARGET	LOCAL CORE
7	People successfully completing a programme of enablement (with a Promoting Independence Worker)	N/A	N/A	133	169	204	259	293	1,000	High	R	TOWARDS TARGET	LOCAL CORE
F	Reviews											1	
8	Percentage of reviews of Long Term Service Users completed in year	84.9%	74.0%	36.6%	40.6%	44.3%	48.5%	52.6%	100.0%	High	R	TOWARDS TARGET	LOCAL & NATIONAL
-	ages of Care and Support												
9	Number of new packages set up each month	455	549	490	484	487	493	486	To reduce	Low	A	Away from Target	LOCAL CORE
10	Average package cost for LT and ST services	£466	£484	£518	£520	£524	£528	£538	To reduce	Low	А	Away from Target	LOCAL CORE
11	The number of people entered into interim residential care from hospital where this was not the 'ideal' service	N/A	N/A	138	160	183	211	224	твс	Low			LOCAL CORE
	PLACEHOLDER: The number of people entered into an interim "non ideal" service (community based, not from hospital).	N/A	N/A						TBC	Low			LOCAL CORE
-	Direct Payments												
12	Proportion of adults receiving direct payments	40.6%	38.6%	38.6%	38.8%	39.0%	39.0%	39.5%	42.0%	High	R	TOWARDS TARGET	26.6%
	Number of new Direct Payments with a Personal Assistant (YTD) (latest Nov 21)	N/A	N/A	68	84	92	106(p)	106(p)	120	High	R	TOWARDS TARGET	LOCAL
13	Percentage of new Direct Payments used to purchase a Personal Assistant (latest Nov 21)	19.0%	26.5%	25.0%	30.0%	20.0%	27.0%(p)	27%(p)	50.0%	High	R	Away from Target	LOCAL CORE
г	Long Term Care											1	1
14	Number of Younger Adults supported in residential or nursing placements	662	694	661	664	666	667	676	635	Low	R	Away from Target	LOCAL
15	Long-term support needs of Living Well adults (aged 18-64) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population	25.9	24.6	7.3	11.2	13.3	15.7	19.6	16.4	Low	R	TOWARDS TARGET	13.3
16	Number of Older Adults supported in residential or nursing placements	2,375	2,104	2,162	2,146	2,151	2,190	2,211	2,309	Low	G	TOWARDS TARGET	LOCAL

	Adult Social Care Performance Update April - December 2021	2019/20	2020/21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Nov-21	Current Value	Target	Best to be	RAG	Direction of Travel ytd	National Average
17	Long-term support needs of older adults (aged 65 and over) met by admission to residential and nursing_ care homes, per 100,000 population	612.1	494.5	201.6	249.0	290.7	367.2	427.2	469.9	Low	А	TOWARDS TARGET	498.2
18	Percentage of older adults admissions to LTC direct from hospital (BCF)	0.13	5.4%	5.1%	6.0%	6.5%	6.5%	7.1%	11.0%	Low	G	TOWARDS TARGET	LOCAL
	Employment and accommodation												
19	Proportion of adults with learning disabilities in paid employment	2.4%	2.0%	1.9%	1.8%	1.8%	1.7%	1.7%	2.9%	High	R	No Change	5.1%
20	Proportion of adults with learning disabilities who live in their own home or with their family	76.3%	74.5%	74.7%	74.7%	74.8%	75.0%	74.6%	77.0%	High	R	Away from Target	78.3%
21	Proportion of young adults supported to access employment, education, training or volunteering	N/A	8.6%	8.6%	8.5%	8.5%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	High	R	No Change	LOCAL CORE
	Safeguarding		-				· · · ·						
22	Percentage of safeguarding service users who were asked what outcomes they wanted	82.5%	81.0%	79.6%	79.6%	78.5%	78.3%	79.1%	85.0%	High	А	Away from Target	79.0%
23	Percentage of safeguarding service users (of above) who felt they were listened to and their outcomesachieved	75.0%	75.0%	79.8%	78.8%	79.3%	78.9%	78.5%	80.0%	High	G	TOWARDS TARGET	67.0%
24	Proportion of adults where the outcome of a safeguarding assessment is that the risk is reduced or removed	85.9%	86.5%	88.3%	87.6%	86.8%	86.6%	86.4%	90.0%	High	G	TOWARDS TARGET	89.5%
25	Proportion of adults at risk lacking mental capacity who are supported to give their views during a safeguarding assessment by an IMCA, advocate, family member or friend	86.9%	85.5%	82.9%	84.2%	82.9%	82.2%	82.3%	90.0%	High	А	Away from Target	87.0%
	DoLS												
26	Percentage of DoLS assessments received and completed in year	89.0%	64.0%	63%	66%	69%	68%	72%	90.0%	High	R	TOWARDS TARGET	LOCAL