



SUMMONS TO COUNCIL

date Thursday, 16 May 2024
commencing at 10:30

venue County Hall, West Bridgford,
Nottingham

You are hereby requested to attend the above Meeting to be held at the time/place and on the date mentioned above for the purpose of transacting the business on the Agenda as under.

Chief Executive

- 1 Election of Chairman until the Annual Meeting 2025
- 2 Election of Vice-Chairman until the Annual Meeting 2025
- 3 Minutes of the meeting held on 21 March 2024 5 - 22
- 4 Apologies for Absence
- 5 Declarations of Interests by Members and Officers:- (see note below)
- 6 Chairman's Business
 - a) Presentation of Awards/Certificates (if any)
- 7 Constituency Issues (see note below)
- 8 Presentation of Petitions (if any) (see note below)

9	Recognition of Members and Officers of Groups	23 - 28
10	Establishment of Committees	29 - 54
11	Executive Report - Key Issues and Activities	55 - 58
12	Questions (see note below)	
	(a) Questions to Leader of the Council on the Executive Report	
	(b) Questions to the Leader, Cabinet Members and Committee Chairmen	
13	Notice of Motions	
	None	

NOTES:-

(A) For Councillors

- (1) Members will be informed of the date and time of their Group meeting for Council by their Group Researcher.
- (2) Lunch will usually be taken at approximately 12.30pm.
- (3) Persons making a declaration of interest should have regard to the Code of Conduct and the Council's Procedure Rules. Those declaring must indicate the nature of their interest and the reasons for the declaration.
- (4) At any Full Council meeting except the budget meeting and an extraordinary meeting Members are given an opportunity to speak for up to three minutes on any issue which specifically relates to their division and is relevant to the services provided by the County Council. These speeches must relate specifically to the area the Member represents and should not be of a general nature. They are constituency speeches and therefore must relate to constituency issues only. This is an opportunity simply to air these issues in a Council meeting. It will not give rise to a debate on the issues or a question or answer session. There is a maximum time limit of 15 minutes for this item.
- (5) At any Full Council meeting except the budget meeting and an extraordinary meeting Members may present a petition to the Chairman of the County Council on any matter affecting the residents of their division, and in relation to which the County Council has powers or duties. The Member presenting the petition can introduce and speak about the petition for up to one minute. Members are reminded that there is a time limit of 15 minutes for the presentation of petitions,

after which any petitions not yet presented will be received en bloc by the Chairman.

(6) In relation to questions to the questions to the Leader, Cabinet Members and Committee Chairmen including questions to the Leader on the Executive Report; after receiving an answer to their question, the Councillor asking the original question may ask one supplementary question on the same matter. There will be no additional supplementary questions.

(7) Members' attention is drawn to the questions put to the Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment under paragraphs 46, 49 and 50 of the Procedure Rules, and the answers to which are included at the back of the Council book.

(7) Members are reminded that these papers may be recycled. Appropriate containers are located in the respective secretariats.

(8) Commonly used points of order

26 – Constituency issues must be about issues which specifically relate to the Member's division and is relevant to the services provided by the County Council

54 – Only 1 supplementary question per question is allowed from the Councillor who asked the original question and supplementary questions must be on the same matter

65 – The Mover or Seconder has spoken for more than 10 minutes when moving the motion

68 – The Member has spoken for more than 5 minutes

70 – The Member is not speaking to the subject under discussion

71 – The Member has already spoken on the motion

91 – Points of Order and Personal Explanations

100 – Disorderly conduct

(9) Time limit of speeches

Motions

68 – no longer than 5 minutes (subject to any exceptions set out in the Constitution)

Constituency Issues

26 – up to 3 minutes per speech allowed

29 – up to 15 minutes for this item allowed

Petitions

33 – up to one minute per petition allowed

37 – up to 15 minutes for this item allowed

Questions

49 – up to 60 minutes for this item allowed

(B) For Members of the Public

- (1) Members of the public wishing to inspect "Background Papers" referred to in the reports on the agenda or Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act should contact:

Customer Services Centre 0300 500 80 80.

- (2) The papers enclosed with this agenda are available in large print if required. Copies can be requested by contacting the Customer Services Centre on 0300 500 80 80. Certain documents (for example appendices and plans to reports) may not be available electronically. Hard copies can be requested from the above contact.
- (3) This agenda and its associated reports are available to view online via an online calendar – <http://www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/dms/Meetings.aspx>



Meeting COUNTY COUNCIL

Date Thursday, 21 March 2024 (10.30 am – 3.28 pm)

Membership

COUNCILLORS

John Ogle (Chairman)
Richard Butler (Vice-Chairman)

Reg Adair	Richard Jackson
Mike Adams	Roger Jackson
Pauline Allan	Eric Kerry
Sinead Anderson	Bruce Laughton
Callum Bailey	Johno Lee
Matt Barney	Rachel Madden
Chris Barnfather	David Martin
Ben Bradley MP	John 'Maggie' McGrath - apologies
Anne Callaghan BEM - apologies	Andy Meakin
André Camilleri	Nigel Moxon
Scott Carlton	Kane Oliver
Steve Carr	Philip Owen
John Clarke MBE	Michael Payne
Neil Clarke MBE	Sheila Place - apologies
Robert Corden	Mike Pringle
John Cottee	Francis Purdue-Horan
Jim Creamer	Mike Quigley MBE
Debbie Darby - apologies	Mrs Sue Saddington
Sam Deakin - apologies	Dave Shaw
Dr John Doddy	Helen-Ann Smith - apologies
Bethan Eddy	Sam Smith
Boyd Elliott	Tom Smith
Sybil Fielding	Tracey Taylor
Kate Foale	Nigel Turner
Stephen Garner	Roger Upton
Glynn Gilfoyle	Lee Waters
Keith Girling	Michelle Welsh
Penny Gowland	Gordon Wheeler
Errol Henry JP	Jonathan Wheeler
Paul Henshaw	Elizabeth Williamson
Tom Hollis	John Wilmott
Mike Introna	Jason Zadrozny

HONORARY ALDERMEN

Terence Butler
John Carter
Kay Cutts MBE

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE

Adrian Smith	(Chief Executive)
Marjorie Toward	(Chief Executives)
Sara Allmond	(Chief Executives)
Carl Bilbey	(Chief Executives)
Isobel Fleming	(Chief Executives)
Katherine Harclerode	(Chief Executives)
David Hennigan	(Chief Executives)
Phil Rostance	(Chief Executives)
Nigel Stevenson	(Chief Executives)
Louise Lester	(Adult Social Care and Health)
Lucy Rutter	(Adult Social Care and Health)
Melanie Williams	(Adult Social Care and Health)
Colin Pettigrew	(Children and Families)
Derek Higton	(Place)

OPENING PRAYER

Upon the Council convening, prayers were led by the Chairman.

1. MINUTES

RESOLVED: 2024/003

That the minutes of the previous meeting held on 22 February 2024 be agreed as a true record and signed by the Chairman, with a typographical amendment on page 4 to show that Councillor Michelle Welsh returned to the Chamber at 5.18pm and Councillor Michael Payne returned to the Chamber at 5.17pm.

2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from:-

- Councillor Anne Callaghan BEM (other reasons)
- Councillor Debbie Darby (other reasons)
- Councillor Samantha Deakin (other reasons)
- Councillor John 'Maggie' McGrath (other reasons)
- Councillor Sheila Place (other reasons)
- Councillor Helen-Ann Smith (medical/illness)

Councillor John Wilmot submitted his apology that he would be arriving late to the meeting (other reasons).

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None

4. CHAIRMAN'S BUSINESS

PRESENTATION OF AWARDS

None

SIR JOHN PEACE

The Chairman addressed the Council to thank Sir John Peace for his work in the role of Lord-Lieutenant.

Councillors Sue Saddington, Roger Jackson, Ben Bradley MP, Jason Zadrozny, Kate Foale, Mike Quigley MBE, Pauline Allan, Sybil Fielding, Bruce Laughton, Neil Clarke MBE, Mike Pringle, Keith Girling, Michael Payne and David Martin spoke to thank Sir John for his work.

Sir John Peace addressed the Chamber to thank Members for their kind words and their support in carrying out his duties.

The Chamber wished the new Lord-Lieutenant Professor Veronica Pickering success in her new role.

CHAIRMAN'S BUSINESS SINCE THE LAST MEETING

The Chairman updated Members on the business he had carried out since the last meeting.

5. CONSTITUENCY ISSUES

The following Members spoke for up to three minutes on issues which specifically related to their division and were relevant to the services provided by the County Council:-

Councillor Tom Hollis regarding the impact of the cost-of-living crisis in Sutton West

Councillor Penny Gowland regarding heritage assets of cultural value in West Bridgford North

Councillor Philip Owen regarding the disruption to traffic flow on highways in Nuthall and Kimberley division caused by excessive/poorly planned utilities works

Councillor Lee Waters regarding the benefits of concessionary tram travel to the residents of Hucknall South

The time limit of 15 minutes for speeches was reached and the remaining speeches fell.

6a. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS

The following petitions were presented to the Chairman as indicated below: -

- (1) Councillor Bruce Laughton request to improve bus service from Farnsfield to other towns
- (2) Councillor Sam Smith request for a pedestrian crossing at the top of Beacon Hill Road, Newark
- (3) Councillor Roger Jackson request for a new roundabout at Gunthorpe
- (4) Councillor Jim Creamer request for a pedestrian crossing on Westdale Lane near Haddon Close, Carlton
- (5) Councillor John Cottee regarding traffic concerns in Hickling Parish
- (6) Councillor Dave Shaw regarding flooding on Watnall Road, Hucknall
- (7) Councillor Steve Carr request to deal with urgent flooding issues on the Bramcote Moor estate
- (8) Councillor Steve Carr request for safety improvements on Derby Road and Wollaton Road
- (9) Councillor Penny Gowland regarding the tram concessionary pass

RESOLVED: 2024/004

That the petitions be referred to the appropriate Cabinet Member for consideration in accordance with the Procedure Rules, with a report being brought back to Council in due course.

6b. RESPONSES TO PETITIONS PRESENTED TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL

RESOLVED: 2024/005

That the contents and actions taken as set out in the report be noted.

7. NOTTINGHAMSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL'S PAY POLICY STATEMENT 2023/24

Councillor Richard Jackson introduced the report and moved a motion in terms of resolution 2024/005 below.

The motion was seconded by Councillor André Camilleri.

The Chairman put the motion to the meeting and after a show of hands the Chairman declared it was carried and it was:-.

RESOLVED: 2024/006

That the Pay Policy Statement as set out in the appendix to the report be approved for publication on the Council's website in April 2024.

8. EXECUTIVE REPORT – KEY ISSUES AND ACTIVITIES

RESOLVED: 2024/007

That the contents of the report be noted.

9a. QUESTIONS TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL ON THE EXECUTIVE REPORT

3 questions had been received as follows: -

- 1) from Councillor Kate Foale regarding Prime Minister Visit
- 2) from Councillor Jason Zadrozny regarding Prime Minister Visit
- 3) from Councillor Kate Foale regarding Prime Minister Visit and Ministerial Visit

The full response to the questions above are set out in set out in Appendix A to these minutes.

9b. QUESTIONS TO COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN

10 questions had been received as follows: -

- 1) from Councillor Tom Smith concerning outcome of bus passenger satisfaction survey (Councillor Neil Clarke MBE replied)
- 2) from Councillor Lee Waters concerning Smokefree Nottinghamshire ambition (Councillor Eric Kerry replied)

- 3) from Councillor Steve Carr regarding road repairs using Roadmaster (Councillor Neil Clarke MBE replied)
- 4) from Councillor Tom Hollis about Roadmaster repairs (Councillor Neil Clarke MBE replied)
- 5) from Councillor Jim Creamer concerning contract extensions (Councillor Richard Jackson replied)
- 6) from Councillor André Camilleri concerning highway repairs on Rock Hill (Councillor Neil Clarke MBE replied)
- 7) from Councillor Paul Henshaw about insurance claims for damage to vehicles due to highways issues (Councillor Richard Jackson replied on behalf of Councillor Neil Clarke MBE)
- 8) from Councillor Penny Gowland regarding 20mph speed limits (Councillor Neil Clarke MBE replied)

The full responses to the questions above are set out in set out in Appendix B to these minutes.

The time limit of 60 minutes allowed for questions was reached before the following questions were asked. A written response to the questions would be provided to the Councillors who asked the questions within 15 working days of the meeting and be included in the papers for the next available Full Council meeting after the deadline.

- 9) from Councillor David Martin about funding for road repairs (Councillor Neil Clarke MBE to reply)
- 10) from Councillor Steve Carr regarding Councillor safety (Councillor Ben Bradley MP to reply)

The meeting adjourned from 12.32pm to 1.41pm for lunch during consideration of this item.

The following members left the Chamber for more than 10 minutes during consideration of this item:

- Councillor Jason Zadrozny left the Chamber at 12.05pm and returned at 1.43pm
- Councillor Jason Zadrozny left the Chamber at 1.48pm and returned later in the agenda
- Councillor Kane Oliver left the Chamber at 1.48pm and returned later in the agenda

10. NOTICE OF MOTIONS

MOTION ONE – RESPONSE TO THE AUTUMN STATEMENT – ALTERED (CARRIED OVER FROM DECEMBER 2023 MEETING)

A Motion as set out below was moved by Councillor Jim Creamer and seconded by Councillor Kate Foale:

“Nottinghamshire County Council resolves to:

- 1) Note the County Councils Network budget analysis published on 1 November 2023, and the Office for Budget Responsibility’s ‘Economic and Fiscal Outlook’, published alongside the Autumn Statement on 22 November 2023.
- 2) Agree that a reduction in HM Treasury local authority spending to 4.6% of GDP by 2028 would have a detrimental impact upon this Council’s budget, which will put services under further pressure.
- 3) Write to the Prime Minister and Chancellor of the Exchequer and call upon them to increase Treasury local government spending commitments to sustainable levels, including funding for unprotected spending, to ensure that this council can meet the rising demand for services without a requirement to significantly increase council tax or drawdown current reserves going forward.”

Following a debate, the Chairman put the motion to the meeting and after a show of hands the Chairman declared it was lost.

The following members left the Chamber for more than 10 minutes during consideration of this item:

- Having left the Chamber earlier in the meeting Councillor Kane Oliver returned at 2.25pm
- Having left the Chamber earlier in the meeting Councillor Jason Zadrozny returned at 2.28pm
- Councillor Tom Hollis left the Chamber at 1.55pm and returned at 2.20pm
- Councillor Pauline Allan left the Chamber at 2.03pm and did not return

MOTION TWO – NOTTINGHAM FOREST FOOTBALL CLUB

A Motion as set out below was moved by Councillor David Martin and seconded by Councillor Jason Zadrozny:

“This council resolves to explore options with stakeholders at Nottingham Forest Football Club and others to try to secure the future of the club. This may include whether there are any potentially suitable County Council sites which may be marketed for disposal in future.”

An amendment to the motion as set out below was moved by Councillor Keith Girling and seconded by Councillor Reg Adair:

“This council resolves to **continue** exploring options with stakeholders at Nottingham Forest Football Club and others to try to secure the future of the club. This may include whether there are any potentially suitable County Council sites which may be marketed for disposal in future.”

The amendment was accepted by the mover of the motion and became the altered (substantive) motion.

Following a debate, the Chairman put the altered motion to the meeting and after a show of hands the Chairman declared it was carried and it was:-

RESOLVED: 2024/008

This council resolves to continue exploring options with stakeholders at Nottingham Forest Football Club and others to try to secure the future of the club. This may include whether there are any potentially suitable County Council sites which may be marketed for disposal in future.

The following members left the Chamber for more than 10 minutes during consideration of this item:

- Councillor Tom Smith left the Chamber at 2.27pm and returned at 2.41pm
- Councillor Neil Clarke MBE left the Chamber at 2.39pm and returned at 2.50pm
- Councillor Sam Smith left the Chamber at 2.45pm and returned at 3.02pm
- Councillor Sinead Anderson left the Chamber at 2.48pm and returned at 2.59pm
- Councillor John Cottee left the Chamber at 3.02pm and returned at 3.13pm

Having submitted his apologies Councillor John Wilmott arrived at the Chamber at 2.57pm.

The Chairman declared the meeting closed at 3.28 pm.

CHAIRMAN

APPENDIX A

COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 21 MARCH 2024 QUESTION TO LEADER OF THE COUNCIL ON THE EXECUTIVE REPORT

Question from Councillor Kate Foale

The latest report by the House of Commons public accounts committee confirmed that Local authorities have only been allocated a third of the government's total Levelling Up funding and that just over a tenth of this has been spent, resulting in the 'vast majority' of projects now being delivered late.

During the Prime Minister's visit, did the Leader ask why his government is failing to deliver the levelling up funding he promised?

Response from the Leader of the Council, Councillor Ben Bradley MP

I raise, as you might expect, the level of funding all the time, not least because my own constituency has been in receipt of £72 million of funding which is really positive. Over £250 million across North Nottinghamshire has been invested in some of our most disadvantaged communities which is fantastic news.

I do raise this with Government all the time and I do make those challenges about the timeliness of delivery, it's something that I have particularly raised in recent budget meetings and as a result, we've seen changes to the way in which levelling up funding is delivered.

So initially we saw single capital pots of funding, now in later rounds of funding we are seeing long-term term funding for towns where areas are getting £2 million a year over a 10 year period, which means that they are building a capacity to deliver things better. I think a big part of the reason why there have been delays is that many of the Districts and Borough Councils in particular haven't got the capacity or the resources to be able to do the planning and the works required to get projects across the line.

My own experience in Mansfield for example is that Towns Funding for parking was approved 2 and a half years ago and yet the District Council haven't yet been able to do the work to deliver it and that is a source of great frustration. It's part of the reason that the Combined Authority is so important as it will allow us to have an expert team of people with the right capacity, both planning capacity and in capital projects, to be able to deliver these projects in a more timely way.

So I totally accept that we want to see things delivered more quickly. I think there have been changes to the programme to allow us to do that, and to build capacity to do that. I would also challenge our District and Borough partners to be able to pick up the pace on the delivery too, some are better than others but certainly my experience in Mansfield, 2 and a half years to deliver ANPR and contactless parking improvements is too long and that falls on those local shoulders, it is not because we are waiting for Government.

There is more work to do, and I think that some of that is changing, but I'm really grateful for the £250million worth of investment through those schemes into North Nottinghamshire.

Question from Councillor Jason Zadrozny

Your report contains information about a visit from current Prime Minister Rishi Sunak to a Youth Centre in Mansfield on January 4. Did Mr Sunak visit anywhere else in Nottinghamshire that day?

Response from the Leader of the Council, Councillor Ben Bradley MP

I'm grateful for the question and it has prompted me to correct the report, as you came into the room just a few minutes ago to say that he did also visit a primary school in Huthwaite. I'm grateful for you pointing that out, the reason this wasn't in the report originally is simply that I wasn't personally involved in that visit, so it had slipped my mind but I'm grateful for you reminding me of it and it is now on the record. Hopefully that tackles your question.

Question from Councillor Kate Foale

Our region has the lowest level of transport spend per head of any UK region or nation.

Analysis of treasury spending undertaken by East Midlands Councils confirms that if we were funded to the UK average, we would have an extra £1.26 billion to spend on transport every year.

Did the Leader raise this during the visits of either the Department for Transport Minister or the Prime Minister?

Response from the Leader of the Council, Councillor Ben Bradley MP

I did and I regularly do.

I'm sure it won't have slipped the chambers notice that we have recently secured a £1.5billion increase in transport funding for our region to tackle this precise issue and that inequality in funding goes back several decades through Governments of both varieties.

It's great news actually, that we're finally able to start to tackle some of that inequality, we have secured almost a fourfold increase in our transport budget for the years ahead, that's really good news for our communities.

It means we can invest in improving our bus services, it means that we can invest in tackling our roads, it means we can integrate our services and all of the positive things that we have discussed around the Combined Authority in recent weeks and months as we have been delivering that work. So I hope you accept Councillor Foale the really good news that we have secured a significant increase in our transport funding, kicking off with the first meeting of the Combined Authority yesterday.

APPENDIX B

COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON 21 MARCH 2024 QUESTIONS TO THE LEADER, CABINET MEMBERS AND COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN

Question to the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment from Councillor Tom Smith

Would the Cabinet Member for Transport & Environment join me in welcoming news that Nottinghamshire has been named the top county in the Country for bus passenger satisfaction according to a major survey conducted by Transport Focus, the independent watchdog for Transport Users?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment, Councillor Neil Clarke MBE

Yes, I absolutely will join Councillor Smith in welcoming this news.

The report to which Councillor Smith refers is 'Your Bus Journey – the independent bus user survey', published this month. The survey included 34 local transport authority areas, speaking to passengers about their experience on more than 35,000 journeys up to the end of 2023.

The survey provides a robust, comparable benchmark that can be used by transport authorities, bus operators and the Government to identify what really makes a difference to a bus passenger's journey.

The report contains a lot of information about the bus passenger experience across the country, including specific groups of passengers across a range of categories, but Councillor Smith's eye has quite rightly been drawn to what the report says about bus passenger satisfaction levels in our county, because it's great news.

The report categorises areas as either 'rural' 'semi-rural', 'urban metropolitan' or 'urban other', within which Nottinghamshire is categorised as a 'semi-rural' area. We are particularly proud to be ranked the highest of all the 'semi-rural' counties in the Transport Focus overall journey satisfaction table.

For 'Overall Journey Satisfaction by Area', Nottinghamshire has an 85% satisfaction rating placing it as the highest-ranking county, the highest-ranking semi-rural area, and 5th out all 34 local transport authority areas.

For 'Satisfaction with Waiting Time by Area', Nottinghamshire has 74% satisfaction, again placing it as the highest-ranking county.

In the league table for 'Overall Journey Satisfaction by Bus Operator', two operators serving the Nottinghamshire and Nottingham area – namely Nottingham City Transport and Trentbarton, are ranked in the top six operators in the country.

In the category of 'Satisfaction with bus driver overall by operator', Trentbarton with 93% satisfaction is ranked Number One in the country, with Nottingham City Transport not far behind in eighth place with 90% satisfaction.

Chairman, I'll be the first to acknowledge that a lot of the credit for these outcomes should go to the actual bus operators themselves, whose vehicles and employees serve residents of both the County and the City every day, but this is also a tribute to the overall bus transport strategy across Nottinghamshire, overseen by both the County Council and the City Council working with those operators.

And let's remember, Mr Chairman, there are some counties that have stopped any involvement in providing bus services, so I'm proud of this achievement.

Question to the Chairman of the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund Committee from Councillor Lee Waters

Do you believe that a Smokefree Nottinghamshire is possible by 2035, whilst the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund still has stocks in tobacco companies?

Response from the Chairman of the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund Committee, Councillor Eric Kerry

The goal of achieving a Smokefree Nottinghamshire by 2035 is ambitious and commendable and one we should be able to achieve. However, it requires concerted efforts from various stakeholders, including government bodies, health organisations, and the community.

Nottinghamshire Pension Fund having investments in tobacco companies presents an interesting challenge, and you are right to raise it here.

On one hand, divesting from tobacco stocks aligns with the goal of reducing smoking-related harm. On the other hand, divestment decisions involve complex considerations, including financial implications, fiduciary responsibilities to our pensioners, and ethical concerns.

My personal experience of the impact of smoking has been devastating, and you know that from me having to say this in other meetings that are not as public as this. Both my parents died from the effects of years of smoking untipped Park Drive cigarettes. My father succumbed at the age of 47 when my youngest brother was 11.

So, you can see why I absolutely support reducing smoking rates and achieving public health benefits by preventing tobacco-related diseases and improving overall well-being. A smoke-free environment contributes to healthier communities. And I'm sure that smoking by my mother contributed to me being born a month early in 1952 at a weight of 3lbs 3oz. I'm very lucky to be here, so I take this incredibly seriously.

However, Pension funds have a duty to maximize returns for the beneficiaries, and as Members of the Fund know, there are complex regulations that apply to the management of investments. In addition, we should note that divesting from tobacco

stocks may have an impact on investment returns, potentially affecting our pensioners' financial security. That's all part of wellbeing too.

Interestingly there are tobacco companies that have diversified into the Vape market, and we want the Vape market to work because that's part of our strategy for reducing smoking, so divestment could have a negative impact on our policy of promoting vaping as a way of kicking the smoking habit. This initiative involves encouraging smokers in Nottingham and Nottinghamshire to quit for good.

A recent survey commissioned by the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Smoking and Tobacco Control Alliance revealed that many people who smoke do so to cope with stress, despite the fact that smoking can actually increase anxiety.

While some respondents expressed a desire to cut down or quit, only a small percentage could easily imagine themselves as ex-smokers. The cost of smoking and its impact on prioritising basic needs like food were also concerning findings, so we talk about cost of living, and people that say they don't have money are still smoking because of this terrible habit.

Fortunately, local services provide free stop-smoking advice and support. Nottingham City residents can access support through Stub it!, and Nottinghamshire residents can find assistance through Your Health Notts.

Nottinghamshire County Council is committed to supporting residents in their journey to quit smoking. Our support includes assessing individual needs, explaining the effects of quitting on the body, and offering free stop smoking aids like nicotine replacement therapy (NRT). You can also access this support through the specialist stop smoking service, Your Health Notts. This service is commissioned by us to assist residents, including children, young people, adults, and families, in various health-related goals, including quitting smoking. They offer personalised support and resources to help you succeed. This in addition to national campaigns.

However, we, the Pension Fund Committee must not confuse the Council's work, with the Nottinghamshire Local Government Pension Fund and the Regulations that exist surrounding the management of its investments. Nottinghamshire is the administering Authority for the funds held on behalf of our Pension Fund members. This includes active contributors, i.e. those working for our scheme employers, deferred members and actual pensioners who receive their monthly pension.

At the Pension Fund meeting on 7th March 2024, a couple of weeks ago, that you Councillor Waters attended, a report was presented following a detailed review of tobacco investments by a Members Working Party held on the 11th January 2024, again a meeting you attended.

This report set out the following: A detailed review of tobacco investment was made in winter 2021/22. The Fund's listed exposure to tobacco at 31st December 2021 was less than 0.5% of the Fund. The majority of the Fund's exposure was through passive funds, i.e. ones that track movements in the overall index rather than by active stock investment, so we're not actively looking for investment in tobacco stocks.

The transition cost of moving these investments to alternative passive funds would be significant, I am advised by officers and experts, but more importantly the ongoing management costs of the passive investments against a more expensive index would be considerable, especially relative to the scale of investments excluded. Moreover, to introduce a formal exclusion across the Fund would require changes to some of the Fund's other investment arrangements at significant one off and ongoing costs, including to some funds where there is no actual exposure to tobacco currently. So, as a result of this, Members acknowledged that under these circumstances it would not be possible to justify the costs and risks of an exclusion policy, but to keep the Fund's exposure under review, which we will do so.

Exposure has continued to be reviewed and has remained low. However, in response to the Member's question a formal review was undertaken for the Working Party. This found that the exposure to tobacco has reduced even further.

Consequently, the Working Party agreed that no further action was recommended, and that recommendation was formally approved at Committee two weeks ago on March 7th. We will of course continue to consider our investment strategy in future, as we do all aspects of the Fund.

Question to the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment from Councillor Steve Carr

Does the Cabinet Member accept that the fact that Roadmaster carried out works in his own picturesque village of Hickling on FOUR separate occasions since 2019 does not reflect well on Nottinghamshire County Council?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment, Councillor Neil Clarke MBE

No, of course I don't accept what I view as a very derogatory insinuation in that question, and clearly those shouting 'Shame!' do not know what the facts of the matter are, because you don't want the facts to get in the way of a very misleading headline. Councillor Carr seems to be implying that I directed the Roadmaster to be deployed excessively in Hickling. Well, the facts are these, Mr Chairman:

- The first event was agreed, scheduled, and implemented before I was even in the post of Cabinet Member;
- The second event was also scheduled before I came into post albeit, to be fair, the actual work was carried out a month after I came into post, but bear in mind that the scheduling was done in the previous financial year, before I came into post, so I had zero influence on any of those decisions;
- Then the last two events were actually one event, it took place over two days because they didn't finish it in the one day, but that was scheduled and implemented after I came me into post, but it was just actually one job.

All the roads that were repaired were single-track lanes in between three villages, namely Hickling, Upper Broughton and Hickling Pastures. To use his words, all 'picturesque' villages. None of them were actually within the village of Hickling itself.

Via District Managers, working with their Inspectors and operatives, decide what repair method is used. I have absolutely zero influence on any method of repair that is used. The methodology could be for example: Roadmaster, Patch Repair, Micro Asphalt, Resurfacing or ViaFix. Such decisions contribute towards a comprehensive operations programme not just focused on one specific machine.

The Roadmaster is designed to carry out repairs on rural roads and semi-rural roads. As such, it is deployed accordingly across Nottinghamshire, visiting different parts of different county divisions multiple times, but it is just one tool within a much larger toolbox, Mr Chairman, that provides comprehensive repairs.

The Roadmaster is not designed to be used in built-up suburban residential areas, because it sprays tarmac widely, whereas other equipment and techniques are designed and better suited to repair roads in more confined spaces.

It is much more suited for areas such as Rushcliffe, Newark & Sherwood and Bassetlaw, because they are primarily rural areas. I'm sure that Councillor Carr would probably be one of the first people to complain if the Roadmaster came into the centre of Beeston or the centre of Sutton-in-Ashfield and sprayed cars and houses with tarmac and grit all over the place, so that is why it is used very sparingly in the more built-up areas, because it can only work in very isolated rural roads.

This Conservative administration seeks to serve the interests of the whole of Nottinghamshire, yes including "picturesque" villages, and yes including more built-up areas in districts like Ashfield and Broxtowe, and we are proud so to do.

Through this council's democratic processes, we set budgets – you may remember the last meeting - and overall strategies, including highways maintenance policy and strategy, and this is then delivered operationally by our officers in a proper and responsible manner.

Question to the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment from Councillor Tom Hollis

Can the Cabinet Member tell us precisely where the £260,000 Roadmaster machine will be carrying out works on Friday, 21 March 2025?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment, Councillor Neil Clarke MBE

It's great that we're having a question about what's going to happen a year from today. I wonder how many people will know what they're going to be doing a year from today in this Chamber?

So, I suspect that the Roadmaster machine, on 21st March 2025, will be in Bilsthorpe Depot, being stored over winter and also then being prepared and maintained for when

it starts work in April, because of course, as everybody knows, it works between the warmer months of April and October, and so I'm sure that preparation will include carrying out the planned servicing and checking of all the specialist parts such as bitumen emulsion tanks, chipping hoppers, and high-pressure spray lines and jets, in addition to completing safety inspections.

Question to the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources from Councillor Jim Creamer

A Decision to extend the Highways contract for a further five years is due to be taken in the coming months.

In addition to this, how many contracts which materially affect this Council's financial position are due for extension or renewal in the next 12 months?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources, Councillor Richard Jackson

Currently there are a total of 203 tender processes anticipated during the next 12 months, of which 70 offer the potential to extend within the existing contract.

Question to the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment from Councillor André Camilleri

In late 2019 a cliff forming part of the iconic Mansfield landmark, the Old Rock Houses, collapsed, causing the gardens of nearby residents to collapse as well.

The incident also made the adjacent highway and pavement unsafe, requiring Nottinghamshire County Council to install "temporary" safety barriers on Rock Hill at a cost of approximately £190k.

Despite pressure from myself as the local County Councillor and officers from the County Council, there has been no visible sign of progress by Mansfield District Council towards making the site safe, so this situation has caused inconvenience, distress and worry to affected residents and road users for over four years now.

Could the Cabinet Member confirm whether any action is thought to be imminent from Mansfield District Council to make the site safe, and if not, what can we do to oblige the district council to act, so this council can finally remove its safety barriers?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment, Councillor Neil Clarke MBE

Our Officers have been in regular contact with those from Mansfield District Council ever since the cliff collapse, which Councillor Camilleri described in his question.

In June 2022, a site meeting was held between the County Council and Mansfield District Council officers. After this meeting, Mansfield District gave an undertaking to carry out works to make the site safe – which in turn would allow the barriers to be removed and the adjacent footway to be re-opened.

Since that time, our officers have made a number of follow-up requests to Mansfield District to clarify the details of the proposed works including programme dates and design details.

We continue to liaise with Mansfield District Council on the subject and have recently received positive indications that a contractor has now been selected to undertake the works. The dates of the works are still to be confirmed, but I will share details as soon as I have them.

Question to the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment from Councillor Paul Henshaw

Can the Cabinet Member provide an update on the number of insurance claims against this council received in the last year for damage to vehicles due to potholes and the poor overall state of highways in Nottinghamshire?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources, Councillor Richard Jackson on behalf of the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment

I can confirm that the number of vehicle damage claims received by the Council this financial year to 19th March is 1,943.

1,923 of these claims related to direct pothole damage, while the remaining 20 are other highways matters.

All insurance claims are carefully considered and dealt with by our Risk and Insurance professionals in line with our established processes and procedures, Chairman.

Question to the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment from Councillor Penny Gowland

It is now clear that the vast majority of people want traffic to travel calmly down their streets.

Fast (and heavy) vehicles also create more potholes and pollution, particularly when braking.

Does this council support the introduction of 20mph speed limits in neighbourhoods where there is a clear demand for such restrictions?

Response from the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment, Councillor Neil Clarke MBE

Firstly, I suppose the answer really depends on what Councillor Gowland means by “clear demand”, because I think the implication is more about “*public* demand”. However, “*clear* demand” could equally mean practical or circumstantial.

For example, this Council has broadly accepted that there is “clear demand”, and good ‘evidence’, for 20mph speed limits outside schools, because of the risks inherent in

such environments where there are: children present in substantial numbers; multiple vehicles dropping off or picking up those children; and the reality that children may not be aware from experience of the dangers posed by traffic.

Those factors could be said to “clearly demand” extra safety measures such as 20mph speed limit zones, and in many cases we have taken them.

However, if I assume from this question that Councillor Gowland is referring specifically to clear public demand, say from a particular residential area, then this leads to the question: “Who?” and “How many”?

A lot of people have a vested interest in our roads and strong views on what road policy should be: residents, visitors, pedestrians, car drivers, lorry drivers, cyclists etc.

It is completely right that all their views should be respected, but we also need to be conscious that sometimes a loud minority group can create an impression of greater or clearer ‘demand’ than truly exists, while a majority remain relatively quiet.

I’m sure Councillor Gowland is right that “It is now clear that the vast majority of people want traffic to travel calmly down their streets”. I’m sure we all agree with that, indeed I can’t think of a time when residents would not want that, but I’m not sure every type of road user would define “calmly” as “no more than 20mph”.

Councillor Gowland will recall that I co-signed a motion with her on this issue two years ago, so I am certainly not against 20mph zones in the right place, when appropriate.

If this County Council has consistent data and evidence demonstrating a clear, well-proven demand from – to use Councillor Gowland’s words – “the vast majority” of people for a 20mph restriction in their particular location, then we should feel compelled to “listen” to that demand.

Our response would be based on examining all the evidence, based not just on “want” but on “need”. Evidence such as characteristics of the road, traffic volume, KSI statistics, national criteria compliance and any other information that is available.

It would be far too general to make a blanket statement or policy that merely said: “This council supports the introduction of 20mph speed limits where there is a clear demand for such restrictions”.

REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE

RECOGNITION OF MEMBERS AND OFFICERS OF GROUPS

Purpose of the Report

1. The report details the membership of the political Groups, together with the names of officers appointed within the Groups.

Information

2. The Constitution requires the membership of political Groups of the Council and their Leader, Deputy Leader and Business Manager (officers of the Groups) to be noted at the Annual Meeting.
3. There are currently three political Groups on the Council, which are:-
 - the Nottinghamshire County Council Conservative Group
 - the Independent Alliance Group
 - the Nottinghamshire County Council Labour Group
4. In addition to the three Groups detailed in this report, there is one non-aligned County Councillor who is not part of any political Group of the Council. This is Councillor Stephen Garner.
5. The membership of each Group is set out in Appendix A along with the officers of each Group (Leader, Deputy Leader and Business Manager). This means that the overall political balance of the Council is currently as follows:-

	<u>Number</u>	<u>%</u>
Conservative Group	35	53.03
Independent Alliance Group	15	22.73
Labour Group	15	22.73
Councillor Stephen Garner (Independent)	1	1.52

6. There is statutory requirement for seats on Committees and Sub-Committees to be allocated to the political groups in a way which reflects the overall balance of the Council. Details of this are dealt with elsewhere on the agenda.

Other Options Considered

7. None, it is a requirement of the Constitution to report annually to Full Council.

Reason/s for Recommendation/s

8. It is necessary for Full Council to note the political Groups on the Council and their Officers.

Statutory and Policy Implications

9. This report has been compiled after consideration of implications in respect of crime and disorder, data protection and information governance, finance, human resources, human rights, the NHS Constitution (public health services), the public sector equality duty, safeguarding of children and adults at risk, service users, smarter working, sustainability and the environment and where such implications are material they are described below. Appropriate consultation has been undertaken and advice sought on these issues as required.

Financial Implications

10. There are no financial implications arising directly from this report.

RECOMMENDATION/S

- 1) That the membership of the political Groups be recognised.
- 2) That the Officers of the Groups be noted.

ADRIAN SMITH
CHIEF EXECUTIVE

For any enquiries about this report please contact:
Sara Allmond, Advanced Democratic Services Officer
Tel: 0115 9773794 Email: sara.allmond@nottsc.gov.uk

Constitutional Comments (KK 23/04/2024)

11. The proposals in this report are within the remit of Full Council

Financial Comments (SES 23/04/2024)

12. There are no specific financial implications arising from this report.

Background Papers and Published Documents

Except for previously published documents, which will be available elsewhere, the documents listed here will be available for inspection in accordance with Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972.

- None

Electoral Division(s) and Member(s) Affected

All

MEMBERS AND OFFICERS OF GROUPS

(A) Nottinghamshire County Council Conservative Group

35 Members

Reg Adair	Richard Jackson
Mike Adams	Roger Jackson
Sinead Anderson	Eric Kerry
Callum Bailey	Bruce Laughton
Matt Barney	Johno Lee
Chris Barnfather	Nigel Moxon
Ben Bradley MP	John Ogle
Richard Butler	Philip Owen
André Camilleri	Mike Quigley MBE
Scott Carlton	Mrs Sue Saddington
Neil Clarke MBE	Sam Smith
Robert Corden	Tom Smith
John Cottee	Tracey Taylor
Dr John Doddy	Nigel Turner
Bethan Eddy	Roger Upton
Boyd Elliott	Gordon Wheeler
Keith Girling	Jonathan Wheeler
Mike Introna	

Officers

Leader:	Ben Bradley MP
Deputy Leader:	Bruce Laughton
Business Manager:	Chris Barnfather

(B) Independent Alliance Group

15 Members

Steve Carr	Francis Purdue-Horan
Debbie Darby	Dave Shaw
Samantha Deakin	Helen-Ann Smith
Tom Hollis	Lee Waters
Rachel Madden	Elizabeth Williamson
David Martin	John Wilmott
Andy Meakin	Jason Zadrozny
Kane Oliver	

Officers

Leader:	Jason Zadrozny
Deputy Leader:	Helen-Ann Smith
Business Manager:	Samantha Deakin

(C) Nottinghamshire County Council Labour Group

15 Members

Pauline Allan
Anne Callaghan
John Clarke MBE
Jim Creamer
Sybil Fielding
Kate Foale
Glynn Gilfoyle
Penny Gowland

Errol Henry JP
Paul Henshaw
Maggie McGrath
Michael Payne
Sheila Place
Mike Pringle
Michelle Welsh

Officers

Leader: Kate Foale
Deputy Leader: Mike Pringle
Business Manager: Errol Henry JP

(D) Other Members

Councillor Stephen Garner (Independent)

REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE

ESTABLISHMENT OF COMMITTEES

Purpose of the Report

1. To agree the composition of the Council's Committees, make appointments to the positions of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen and to note the Cabinet Member and Deputy Cabinet Member appointments made by the Leader of the Council.

Information

2. Under the Council's Constitution, the Annual Meeting of the Full Council is required to establish such Committees as the Council sees fit and confirm their terms of reference and size. The chairman and vice chairman of each committee should also be appointed. It is a legal requirement to review the representation of different political groups of the Council on committees at the Annual Meeting.
3. The current committee structure was established by Full Council on 12 May 2022 and updated on 24 November 2022, there are no proposed changes to this structure or terms of reference.

The Cabinet

4. Cabinet carries out all of the County Council's functions which are not the responsibility of any other part of the Council, whether by law or under the Constitution.
5. Cabinet appointments and portfolio responsibilities are determined by the Leader of the Council. The Leader decided to appoint a Cabinet of 10 members. Further to the appointments reported to Full Council on 7 December 2023 the Leader has recently notified the Monitoring Officer of one change to Cabinet Member appointments with effect from 17 May 2024 as follows:

- Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care – Councillor Jonathan Wheeler

Overview and Select Committees

6. Section 21 of the Local Government Act 2000 requires that a Local Authority with Executive arrangements establish overview and scrutiny committees. The current committee structure was established by Full Council on 12 May 2022, with the name of the Children and Young

People's Select Committee changing to the Children and Families Select Committee at Full Council on 24 November 2022. The current committee structure is:-

- Overview Committee
- Adult Social Care and Public Health Select Committee
- Children and Families Select Committee
- Place Select Committee

It was also agreed to establish a Health Scrutiny Committee to carry out health scrutiny in accordance with Section 244 (and Regulations under that section) of the National Health Services Act 2006 as amended by the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 relating to local health service matters.

7. In determining the composition of Committees, account must be taken of the requirements of Section 15 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. This requires that seats on Committees and Sub-Committees are allocated to the political Groups of the Council in a way which reflects the overall balance on the Council. To comply with legislative requirements the allocation of seats is based on overall seat numbers rather than on individual committee numbers. The Committees and allocation of seats are set out in Appendix A of this report.

Committees

8. On 12 May 2022, Full Council agreed to establish Committees to carry out non-executive functions of the Council. It is proposed to make one change to the Committees structure.
9. It is proposed that the Joint Committee on Strategic Planning and Transport (JCSPT) be changed to the Joint Committee on Mineral and Waste Planning. At its meeting on 12 April 2024, JCSPT agreed to make changes to its Terms of Reference to focus on its statutory role around minerals and waste Local Plan preparation. The membership of the Committee will remain the same, with meetings taking place on an ad hoc basis as and when required. The terms of the reference for the new committee are attached at Appendix C.
10. As set out in paragraph 8 above, all seats on Committees and Sub-Committees are required to be allocated to the political Groups of the Council in a way which reflects the overall balance on the Council. The Committees and allocation of seats are set out in Appendix A of this report.
11. The Council also appoints members to a number of other committees, joint committees and boards which, except for the Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire Authority, are not part of the requirements set out in paragraph 8 above. Appendix B provides details of these appointments which Council is asked to agree.
12. Section 116ZA of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 (as amended by the Health and Care Act 2022), requires upper tier Local Authorities and Integrated Care Boards (ICBs) to establish Integrated Care Partnerships (ICPs) as equal partners. In July 2022, the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire ICP was established as a joint committee of Nottingham City Council, Nottinghamshire County Council and NHS Nottingham and Nottinghamshire ICB, with updated Terms of Reference subsequently confirmed in November 2022. Since this date, the ICP has met five times in its role as 'guiding mind' of the Integrated Care System; developing and publishing an Integrated Care Strategy for Nottingham and Nottinghamshire and reviewing its early impact.

13. On 22 March 2024, the ICP met and considered its terms of reference in light of its initial period of operating, to ensure their ongoing fitness for purpose. As a result, no material amendments are proposed, with the ICP's purpose, principles of working, membership, chair and vice-chair arrangements, and meeting frequency all felt to be appropriate and working well. A small number of minor changes were proposed; these relate to providing greater clarity on the difference between the ICP and the Health and Wellbeing Boards and the removal of references that are specific to the ICP's first period of operation. The updated terms of reference are attached with tracked changes at Appendix D.
14. The Council is asked to appoint the Committee Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen for the municipal year as set out below:-

Committee	Chairman	Vice-Chairman
Overview Committee	Boyd Elliott	Glynn Gilfoyle*
Adult Social Care and Public Health Select Committee	John Ogle	David Martin*
Children and Families Select Committee	Mike Introna	Francis Purdue-Horan*
Place Select Committee	Mike Adams	Tom Hollis*
Governance and Ethics	Philip Owen	Callum Bailey
Health and Wellbeing Board	Dr John Doddy	Appointed by the Board
Health Scrutiny Committee	Roger Jackson	Bethan Eddy
Joint Committee on Mineral and Waste Planning	City Councillor	Neil Clarke MBE
Nottinghamshire Pension Fund	Eric Kerry	Mrs Sue Saddington
Planning and Rights of Way Committee	Mike Quigley MBE	Jim Creamer*

* Nominated by Opposition Group Leaders

15. The following Committees have alternative arrangements for appointing the Chairman:-

Committee	Chairman appointment
Governance and Ethics Sub-Committee	To be appointed by the sub-committee when required
Greater Nottingham Light Rapid Transit Advisory Committee	Appointed by Nottingham City Council
Local Joint Resolutions Committee	To be appointed by the committee when required
Senior Staffing Committee	To be appointed by the committee when required

16. A number of committees have other representatives to be appointed, either following nomination by other organisations or following recruitment and selection procedures:-

- (a) Children and Families Select Committee: One representative of the Church of England (Diocese of Southwell and Nottingham), one representative of the Roman Catholic Church (Diocese of Nottingham), and two Parent Governors
- (b) Health and Wellbeing Board: One Councillor from each of the seven Nottinghamshire District and Borough Councils, one representative of the NHS Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Board, two representatives from each of the three Nottinghamshire NHS Place-Based Partnerships (Bassetlaw, Mid-Nottinghamshire and South Nottinghamshire), one representative of NHS England, one representative of Healthwatch Nottingham and Nottinghamshire, one representative of the Office of the Nottinghamshire Police and Crime Commissioner, one representative of the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Voluntary, Community and Social Enterprise Alliance, and three County Council officers (the Corporate Director for Adult Social Care and Health, the Corporate Director for Children and Families and the Director of Public Health)
- (c) Nottinghamshire Pension Fund Committee: Three City Councillors, two Nottinghamshire District / Borough Council representatives, two Trade Union Representatives, one Scheduled Body representative plus two pensioner representatives, all of whom are appointed as non-voting members.

17. No changes to these seat allocations are proposed. The established arrangements for appointments are set out in paragraph 19 below.

18. It is the responsibility of the Chief Executive (Proper Officer) to enact the wishes of the Groups of the Council in appointing members to the committees based on the proportionality set out in Appendix A. This is in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989, the Local Government Act 2000, the relevant Statutory Regulations and the Council's Constitution. As set out in paragraph 17 above, the Chief Executive also has responsibility for appointing co-optees to committees when required. As in previous years, it is proposed to delegate these responsibilities to the Team Manager, Democratic Services to enable the effective management of the appointments.

19. On 7 March 2024, the Cabinet Member for Business Management approved appointments to the East Midlands Combined County Authority (EMCCA) Board, as an outside body as delegated to the Cabinet Member under Local Choice Function 11, as set out in the Constitution. The appointments made were:

- a. The Leader of the Council and Deputy Leader of the Council were appointed to act as members of the EMCCA on behalf of Nottinghamshire County Council;
- b. The Leader was designated to act as the Lead Member on behalf of Nottinghamshire County Council;
- c. The Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment and the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources were appointed to act as a substitute member of the EMCCA on behalf of Nottinghamshire County Council in the absence of either of the above members (if required)

20. EMCCA will establish a number of committees which will be appointed to following the arrangements set out in the Constitution. The committees EMCCA currently anticipate establishing are:

- Transport Advisory Committee
- Skills and Employment Advisory Committee
- Investment Committee
- Overview and Scrutiny Committee
- Audit and Governance Committee

21. It is a legal requirement for the County Council to appoint Independent Persons, whose views must be sought and taken into account if a Code of Conduct complaint against a Councillor is investigated and in certain other circumstances. The currently appointed Independent Persons are Ian Bayne, Craig Coles and Rob White and it is recommended to appoint them as the Council's Independent Persons for the forthcoming year.

Other Options Considered

22. It is a requirement of the Constitution that Full Council establishes committees for the forthcoming municipal year.

23. To not support the revised Terms of Reference for the Joint Committee on Mineral and Waste Planning and the ICP but that would result in the existing Terms of Reference no longer being fit for purpose.

Reason/s for Recommendation/s

24. To ensure that the Council has appropriate executive and non-executive structures in place.

25. The Terms of Reference of the Joint Committee on Mineral and Waste Planning and the ICP have been updated in partnership with the other Constituent authorities and address the changed remit and updated operating models respectively.

Statutory and Policy Implications

26. This report has been compiled after consideration of implications in respect of crime and disorder, data protection and information governance, finance, human resources, human rights, the NHS Constitution (public health services), the public sector equality duty, safeguarding of children and adults at risk, service users, smarter working, sustainability and the environment and where such implications are material they are described below. Appropriate consultation has been undertaken and advice sought on these issues as required.

Financial Implications

27. Cabinet Members, Deputy Cabinet Members, Committee Chairmen and Committee Vice-Chairmen are entitled to claim Special Responsibility Allowances (SRAs).

28. The Members Allowances Scheme was agreed at Full Council on 12 May 2022 and updated on 24 November 2022. There are no changes to the allowances scheme.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended:-

- 1) That the Council note the change made by the Leader to Cabinet Member appointments effective from 17 May 2024, as set out in paragraph 5.
- 2) That the Council confirm the establishment of the Committees and Sub-Committees of the Council and the allocation of seats as set out in **Appendix A** until the Annual Meeting of the Council in May 2025 and agree the revised Terms of Reference for the Joint Committee on Mineral and Waste Planning as set out in **Appendix C**.
- 3) That the Council confirm the membership and allocation of seats and its continued participation of the Joint Committees set out in **Appendix A** and confirm the establishment or continued participation as applicable in the other committees, joint committees and boards, and allocation of seats, as set out in **Appendix B** until the Annual Meeting of the Council in May 2025.
- 4) That the Council make the appointments of Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen set out in Paragraph 15 and agrees the arrangements for appointing the Chairman of the Committees set out in Paragraph 16 until the Annual Meeting of the Council in May 2025.
- 5) That the other representatives on the committees / sub-committees set out in Paragraph 17 be agreed.
- 6) That the appointment of members of the political Groups of the Council to committees, sub-committees, joint committees and boards be undertaken by the Team Manager, Democratic Services on behalf of the Chief Executive (the Proper Officer) in order to give effect to the wishes of the political Groups of the Council.
- 7) That the Team Manager, Democratic Services be authorised to act on behalf of the Chief Executive (Proper Officer) to appoint people as co-optees to committees when required.
- 8) That the Council appoints Ian Bayne, Craig Coles and Rob White as the Council's Independent Persons until the Annual Meeting of the Council in May 2025.
- 9) That the revised terms of reference for the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Partnership, as attached as **appendix D**, be approved.

Adrian Smith
Chief Executive

For any enquiries about this report please contact:

Marjorie Toward, Service Director, Customers, Governance and Employees and Monitoring Officer

Constitutional Comments (KK 23/04/2024)

29. The proposals in this report are within the remit of Full Council

Financial Comments (SES 23/04/2024)

30. There are no specific financial implications arising directly from this report.

Background Papers and Published Documents

Except for previously published documents, which will be available elsewhere, the documents listed here will be available for inspection in accordance with Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972.

- [Change to Council Governance Arrangements report to Full Council](#) – 31 March 2022 (published)
- [Establishment of Committees report to Full Council](#) – 12 May 2022 (published)
- [Members' Allowances Scheme report to Full Council](#) – 12 May 2022 (published)
- [Membership of Committees report to Full Council](#) – 24 November 2022 (published)
- [Members' Allowances Scheme – Update report of the Independent Remuneration Panel report to Full Council](#) – 24 November 2022 (published)
- [Changes to Cabinet Member Arrangements and Appointment of Committee Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen report to Full Council](#) – 7 December 2023 (published)
- [Future Operation of the Joint Committee on Strategic Planning and Transport report to JCSPT](#) – 12 April 2024 (published)
- [Establishment of Joint Committee – Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Partnership report to Full Council](#) – 7 July 2022 (published)
- [Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Partnership – Revised Terms of Reference report to Full Council](#) – 24 November 2022 (published)
- [Appointments to East Midlands Combined Authority](#) report to Cabinet Member for Business Management – 7 March 2024 (published)
- [Council Constitution](#) (published)

Electoral Division(s) and Member(s) Affected

- All

Allocation of Committee Seats

Meeting	Number of County Cllrs	Conservatives	Labour	Independent Alliance	Councillor Garner	Other
Overview Committee	13	7	3	3		
Adult Social Care and Public Health Select Committee	11	6	3	2		
Children and Families Select Committee	11	6	3	2		4 Statutory co-optees:
Place Select Committee	11	6	2	3		
Health Scrutiny Committee	11	6	2	3		
Governance and Ethics Committee	11	6	2	3		
Governance and Ethics Sub-Committee	5	3	1	1		
Nottinghamshire Pension Fund Committee	11	6	2	2	1	10 non-voting co-optees
Planning & Rights of Way Committee	13	7	3	3		
Health and Wellbeing Board *	5	3	1	1		Plus other members**
Greater Nott'm Light Rapid Transit Advisory Committee ***	5	3	1	1		5 City Council Members
Joint Committee on Mineral and Waste Planning ***	4	2	1	1		4 City Council Members
Local Joint Resolutions Committee	6	3	2	1		
Senior Staffing Committee	5	3	1	1		
TOTAL	122	67	27	27	1	

* In accordance with the decision of the Health and Wellbeing Board every other meeting will be a non-public workshop

** Other members as follows: 7 District/Borough Councillors, 1 NHS Integrated Care Board representative, 2 representatives from each of the 3 Notts NHS Place-Based Partnerships, 1 Healthwatch and 1 NHS England representative, the Police and Crime Commissioner, Corporate Director Adult Social Care and Health, Corporate Director Children and Families and Director of Public Health

*** A joint committee between Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council

Other Committees

Mental Health Guardianship Panel

The Mental Health Guardianship Panel makes decisions on renewal and discharge of guardianship under the Mental Health Act 1983.

That the Mental Health Guardianship Panel be established with 5 members (3 Conservative Group, 1 Labour Group, 1 Independent Alliance Group)

Nottinghamshire Local Pensions Board

The Nottinghamshire Local Pensions Board is a body that has been established to scrutinise the work of the Council in its capacity as local pension authority. There is a membership of 8, including 1 County Council representative; the specific membership requirements are set out in legislation and the Board is not subject to the rules of political proportionality.

That the membership of the Nottinghamshire Local Pensions Board be confirmed as one County Councillor, one City Councillor, two other employer representatives, one Trade Union representative and three other pension scheme member representatives

City of Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Economic Prosperity Committee

The City of Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Economic Prosperity Committee is a joint Committee of all the District / Borough Council's in Nottinghamshire, Nottingham City and the County Council. The terms of reference of the Committee require that the appointed Member from each constituent authority be the Leader / Elected Mayor or other executive member or committee chairman from each authority.

That the Leader of the Council be appointed to the City of Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Economic Prosperity Committee and the Deputy Leader of the Council be appointed to act as substitute.

Nottinghamshire Police and Crime Panel

The Nottinghamshire Police and Crime Panel is a joint Committee of all the District / Borough Council's in Nottinghamshire, Nottingham City and the County Council. Legislation stipulates that the Police and Crime Panel must represent all parts of the relevant area, be politically balanced and have a membership that has the necessary skills, knowledge and experience. The Panel's Membership including political balance is reviewed on an ongoing basis with co-options sought to achieve political balance as and when required, subject to Secretary of State approval.

That 2 members of the Conservative Group be appointed to the Panel as the Council's representatives.

Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire Authority

That the 12 places on the Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire Authority be allocated in a way that reflects the political balance on the Council and is allocated between the Groups as follows:-

- The Conservative Group 7
- Labour Group 3
- Independent Alliance Group 2

Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Partnership

A joint committee between Nottinghamshire County Council, Nottingham City Council and the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Board which is primarily established to lead on creating an Integrated Care Strategy and Outcomes Framework and provide a forum for NHS leaders and Local Authorities to come together with important stakeholders from across the wider system and communities.

That the Chairman of the Health and Wellbeing Board, the Corporate Director Adult Social Care and Health and the Director of Public Health for Nottinghamshire be appointed, plus that two Partner members be appointed by Nottinghamshire County Council.

Bus Lane Adjudication Service Joint Committee

A joint committee with a number of other local authorities which is established for the purpose of ensuring the efficient provision of an adjudication service for all participating authorities.

That the Cabinet Member, Transport and Environment be appointed as the Council's representative.

PATROL (Parking and Traffic Regulations Outside London) Joint Committee

A joint committee with a number of local authorities which has a statutory duty to make provision for the independent adjudication of parking and traffic penalties issued under the Traffic Management Act 2004.

That the Cabinet Member, Transport and Environment be appointed as the Council's representative.

LGPS (Local Government Pension Scheme) Central Joint Committee

The LGPS Central Joint Committee is a public forum for the Councils within the LGPS Central Pool (Cheshire West and Chester Council, Derbyshire County Council, Leicestershire County Council, Nottinghamshire County Council, Shropshire County Council, Staffordshire County Council, Wolverhampton City Council and Worcestershire County Council). The Joint Committee consists of one elected member from each Council.

That the Chairman of the Nottinghamshire Pensions Fund Committee be appointed as the Council's representative.

Joint Health Scrutiny Committees

The Local Authority (Public Health, Health and Wellbeing Boards and Health Scrutiny) Regulations 2013 sets out that where a local NHS body or health service provider is consulting more than one local authority's health scrutiny function about significant development or reconfiguration proposals the local authorities can be required to establish a joint committee to consider the proposals.

The Council is currently a member of the South Yorkshire, Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire Joint Health Scrutiny Committee.

That the Chairman of the Health Scrutiny Committee be appointed as the Council's representative.

Corporate Parenting Panel

A Panel established to assist the Council in fulfilling its legal corporate parenting duties to ensure the Council and partner agencies act as effective corporate parents. The Panel will invite members of the Children Looked After and Care Leavers Partnership Board, the Foster Carers Liaison Group and No Labels Group (Children in Care Council) to attend meetings and input. The Panel has established its own terms of reference which will be reviewed periodically as required.

That 2 members of the Conservative Group, 1 member of the Labour Group and 1 member of the Independent Alliance be appointed to the Panel.

**NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL
NOTTINGHAMSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL**

JOINT COMMITTEE ON MINERAL AND WASTE PLANNING

PROTOCOL AND TERMS OF REFERENCE

Role

1. The Joint Committee on Mineral and Waste Planning is a politically balanced committee whose role is to provide a forum at which members of Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council can discuss together matters related to the preparation, content and review of mineral and waste planning policy across Nottinghamshire and Nottingham.
2. The Joint Committee's role is advisory, and all decisions related to Local Plans remain with each Council for formal decision. The Joint Committee will however help ensure that the present "duty to co-operate" and any successor requirement in respect of alignment of Local Plans is achieved.

Responsibilities

3. The Joint Committee will be responsible for providing advice to both Councils' respective Executives on all mineral and waste matters, including advice in relation to the following:
 - (a) The preparation of the Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Joint Waste Local Plan including associated evidence such as the Waste Needs Assessment.
 - (b) The monitoring of sales of aggregate and preparation of the Local Aggregate Assessment to consider trends and future demand for aggregates (sand, gravel, and crushed rock) in Nottinghamshire and Nottingham.
 - (c) The Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan in respect of matters which have impact on the City Council area and Statements of Common Ground in respect of the Minerals Local Plan.
 - (d) The Nottingham City Local Plan, insofar as it relates to minerals matters which have an impact on the County Council area and Statements of Common Ground in respect of the Local Plan.
 - (e) Authority Monitoring Reports in respect of mineral and waste matters
 - (f) The timetable for mineral and waste plans to be contained in each Council's Local Development Schemes.

4. To assist the Joint Committee in carrying out the responsibilities in paragraph 4 above, it shall be entitled to receive information and to comment where it deems appropriate on other relevant matters including:
 - (a) Planning applications related to mineral and waste development, subject to the statutory timetable.
 - (b) Major development proposals which may affect mineral and waste matters in Nottingham and Nottinghamshire.
 - (c) Government legislation, regulations, guidance and initiatives affecting mineral and waste matters.

Area of Responsibility

5. The Joint Committee will be responsible for advising on mineral and waste planning matters across the whole of Nottinghamshire and Nottingham, although in respect of site-specific matters to be included in the Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan this will be restricted to cross boundary matters affecting both authorities.

Relationship to Parent Authorities

7. The views of the Joint Committee will be communicated to the appropriate Executive or other body or bodies of the County and City Councils as soon as possible following a resolution by the Joint Committee. Where the Joint Committee has expressed a view on matters that is the subject of a report to any of the parent Executive bodies, the recommendation of the Joint Committee will be included in the report.

Membership

8. The membership of the Joint Committee is four members from each Council, one of which must be the Cabinet or Executive lead member for Planning. The membership must be politically balanced. Member substitutes are allowed.
9. The Chair and Vice Chair may invite people to attend meetings of the Committee in an advisory capacity as they deem necessary to assist the Joint Committee in carrying out the responsibilities in paragraphs 4 to 7 above. Such individuals will not have voting rights.

Chair and Vice Chair

11. The Chair and Vice Chair will be from different Councils and alternate between each Council every two years. The appointment of Chair and Vice Chair will be made by each respective Council.

Frequency of Meetings

12. The Joint Committee will meet as and when required according to the timetable of mineral and waste planning policy work and the need for advice as determined by the Chair and Vice Chair.

Organisation and Conduct of Meetings

13. The operation of the Committee will follow the Standing Orders of the Council which holds the Chair, or such Standing Orders which may be approved by the parent Councils. Meetings will be open to members of the public to attend and observe.

Officer Support

14. The secretariat of the Joint Committee will be the Council holding the position of Chair and alternate between the two Councils every two years. The costs of operating the Joint Committee will be met by the Council providing the secretariat services. The work of the Joint Committee will be serviced by a Joint Officer Steering Group which will assist the Chair and Vice Chair in setting agendas and briefing them prior to meetings. They will also be responsible for communicating the views of the Joint Committee.

Disagreement Between the two Authorities

15. Where the members of the Joint Committee cannot arrive at a view on a particular issue which enjoys the support of the majority of members, that issue should be referred back to the relevant executive bodies of the two Councils.
16. Participation in the Joint Committee will not deter either Council from expressing a dissenting opinion on any specific issue. The right to make representations at any consultation stage in the development plan making process or at a Local Plan hearing will not in any way be curtailed by membership of the Joint Committee.

Review

17. The role and operation of the Joint Committee will be kept under review, with a complete review of its responsibilities and workings to be carried out no later than two years from the adoption of this revised protocol and terms of reference.



Nottingham
City Council



Nottinghamshire
County Council



Nottingham and
Nottinghamshire
Integrated Care Board

Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Partnership Terms of Reference

<p>1. Description/ status</p>	<p>The Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Partnership (“the ICP”) is a joint committee of NHS Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Board, Nottingham City Council and Nottinghamshire County Council (“the Statutory Organisations”), established in accordance with Section 116ZA of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 (as amended by the Health and Care Act 2022).</p> <p>The ICP will act as the ‘guiding mind’ of the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care System (ICS) and is authorised to operate within these terms of reference, which set out its purpose, membership, authority and reporting arrangements.</p> <p>The ICP will not duplicate the work of the Nottingham City and Nottinghamshire County Health and Wellbeing Boards, which will continue in their statutory roles to improve the health and wellbeing of their local populations and reduce health inequalities, through joint local health and wellbeing strategies.</p> <p>ICP members will champion and act as ambassadors of effective partnership working for local population benefit.</p>
<p>2. Purpose</p>	<p>a) The primary purpose of the ICP is to produce an Integrated Care Strategy and Outcomes Framework for Nottingham and Nottinghamshire, setting out how the assessed health and social care needs identified by the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Joint Strategic Needs Assessments (JSNAs) are to be met by the Statutory Organisations or NHS England, in line with their respective commissioning responsibilities.</p> <p>b) In preparing the Integrated Care Strategy, the ICP will:</p> <p>i) Involve Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Healthwatch and the people who live and work in Nottingham and Nottinghamshire.</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ii) Consider the extent to which health and social care needs could be met more effectively through arrangements for pooled budgets, joint commissioning and integrated delivery under section 75 of the NHS Act 2006 (as amended). iii) Have regard to the mandate published by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care under section 13A of the NHS Act 2006 (as amended). iv) Have regard to any further guidance issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> c) The ICP may also include within the Integrated Care Strategy its views on how arrangements for the provision of health-related services in its area could be more closely integrated with arrangements for the provision of health services and social care services in the area. d) To support the development of the Integrated Care Strategy, the ICP will engage with a wider assembly of partners, at least once a year, comprising people who rely on care and support, unpaid carers, the full range of social care and NHS providers, the voluntary and community sector, local professional committees (e.g. optical and pharmaceutical committees), the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner, etc. e) The ICP will review the impact of the Integrated Care Strategy, focusing on improving outcomes in population health and healthcare, tackling inequalities in outcomes, experience and access, enhancing productivity and value for money and supporting broader social and economic development. f) The ICP will also receive reports on insights gained from service users and citizens. g) The ICP will consider the extent to which the Integrated Care Strategy needs to be revised on receipt of an updated JSNA.
<p>3. Principles</p>	<p>The following principles will be used to guide the work of the ICP:</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Focus on improving outcomes for people, including improved health and wellbeing, supporting people to live more independent lives, and reduced inequalities. b) Support the triple aim (better health and wellbeing for everyone, better care for all and efficient use of the collective resource). c) Enable consistent standards and policy across the ICS (strategically sound) whilst allowing for different models of delivery in accordance with diverse populations served (locally sensitive). d) Ensure all delivery mechanisms (e.g., primary care networks, place-based partnerships and provider collaboratives at scale) are equally respected and supported, in line with the principle of subsidiarity. e) Champion co-production and inclusiveness throughout the ICS. f) Put at the forefront the experience and expertise of professional, clinical, political and community leaders, and promote strong clinical and professional system leadership. g) Create a learning system, fostering a culture of innovation, bravery, ambition and willingness to learn from mistakes. h) Optimise the role of health and care as anchor organisations within the local community. i) Utilise existing networks, groups, and governance structures, including staff forums and insights gained from place and neighbourhood engagement. j) Come together under a distributed leadership model and commit to work together equally. k) Accountable to one another and the public including through transparency and building trust.
<p>4. Membership</p>	<p>The membership of the ICP will be comprised as follows: <u>Nottingham City Council:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Elected Member Representative who is the Chair of the Health and Wellbeing Board b) Corporate Director for People Services c) Director of Public Health for Nottingham d) Two Partner members nominated by Nottingham City Council

	<p>e)</p> <p><u>Nottinghamshire County Council:</u></p> <p>f) Elected Member Representative who is the Chair of the Health and Wellbeing Board</p> <p>g) Corporate Director, Adult Social Care and Health</p> <p>h) Director of Public Health for Nottinghamshire</p> <p>i) Two Partner members nominated by Nottinghamshire County Council</p> <p>j)</p> <p><u>NHS Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Board:</u></p> <p>k) Chair of the Integrated Care Board</p> <p>l) Chief Executive</p> <p>m) Director of Integration</p> <p>n) Medical Director</p> <p>o) Representative of the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Provider Collaborative at Scale</p> <p><u>Other:</u></p> <p>p) Representative of Healthwatch Nottingham and Nottinghamshire</p> <p>q) Chair of the Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Voluntary, Community and Social Enterprise Alliance</p> <p>r) Representative of the Bassetlaw Place-based Partnership</p> <p>s) Representative of the Nottingham City Place-based Partnership</p> <p>t) Representative of the Mid-Nottinghamshire Place-based Partnership</p> <p>u) Representative of the South Nottinghamshire Place-based Partnership</p>
<p>5. Chair and vice-chair arrangements</p>	<p>The ICP will be Chaired by the Chair of NHS Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Board.</p> <p>The Chairs of the Nottingham City and Nottinghamshire County Health and Wellbeing Boards will act as joint Vice-Chairs of the ICP.</p>
<p>6. Substitutes</p>	<p>Members are permitted to nominate a suitable substitute to attend a meeting of the ICP on their behalf should they be unable to attend themselves.</p>

	<p>Members are responsible for fully briefing any nominated substitutes.</p> <p>Substitutes need to be confirmed in writing to the Chair of the ICP ahead of the meeting.</p>
<p>7. Quorum</p>	<p>The quorum will be at least one member from each of the Statutory Organisations.</p> <p>Nominated substitutes will count towards the quorum.</p> <p>Members will not count towards the quorum if attending remotely.</p> <p>If any member of the ICP has been disqualified from participating in the discussion and/or decision-making for an item on the agenda, by reason of a declaration of a conflict of interest, then that individual shall no longer count towards the quorum.</p> <p>If the quorum has not been reached, then the meeting may only proceed on an informal basis and no decisions may be taken.</p>
<p>8. Decision-making arrangements</p>	<p>It is expected that at the ICP's meetings, decisions will be reached by consensus and a vote will not be required. Any decisions taken will be record in the minutes of the meeting.</p> <p>If consensus cannot be reached and if timeframes allow, then the item will be re-scheduled for discussion at the next meeting of the ICP. Otherwise, decisions will be taken by simple majority.</p>
<p>9. Conflicts of interest</p>	<p>A register of the declared interests of ICP members will be maintained and published.</p> <p>In advance of any meeting of the ICP, consideration will be given as to whether conflicts of interest are likely to arise in relation to any agenda item and how they should be managed.</p> <p>At the beginning of each meeting of the ICP members will be required to declare any interests that relate specifically to a particular issue under consideration. If the existence of an interest becomes apparent during a meeting, then this must be declared at the point at which it arises. Any such declarations will be formally recorded in the minutes for the meeting.</p> <p>The Chair of the ICP will determine how any declared interests should be managed.</p>

	ICP members must ensure that they comply with their organisational/ professional codes of conduct at all times.
10. Meeting arrangements	<p>The ICP will meet at least twice per year.</p> <p>Extraordinary meetings may be called for a specific purpose at the discretion of the Chair in consultation with the Vice-Chairs.</p> <p>At least five clear working days' notice will be given when calling meetings.</p> <p>Meetings of the ICP shall be open to the public unless considering exempt information.</p> <p>The ICP is subject to the same requirements of openness and transparency as other meetings of the Statutory Organisations. As such, agendas and supporting papers, including ratified minutes of meetings, will be published.</p> <p>A protocol will be published separately for members of the public to set out arrangements for submitting questions to meetings of the ICP.</p>
11. Secretariat	<p>Secretariat support will be provided to the ICP by NHS Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Board.</p> <p>Agendas will be agreed by the Chair in consultation with the Vice-Chairs prior to each meeting.</p> <p>Any items to be placed on the agenda are to be sent to the secretary no later than nine clear calendar days in advance of the meeting. Items that miss the deadline for inclusion on the agenda may be added on receipt of permission from the Chair.</p> <p>Agendas and supporting papers will be circulated no later than five clear working days before each meeting.</p> <p>Minutes will be taken at all meetings and will be ratified by agreement of the ICP at the following meeting.</p>
12. Reporting arrangements	<p>The ICP must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Publish its Integrated Care Strategy (and any revised strategies). b) Provide a copy of its Integrated Care Strategy (and any revised strategies) to the Statutory Organisations.
13. Review of terms of reference	<p>These terms of reference will be formally reviewed on an annual basis but may be amended at any time in order to adapt to any national guidance as and when issued.</p>

	Any proposed amendments to the terms of reference will be submitted to the Statutory Organisations for ratification.
14. Date approved	November 2022

REPORT OF THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL

EXECUTIVE REPORT: KEY ISSUES AND ACTIVITIES

Purpose of the Report

1. The report seeks to update Members on various matters relating to the Leader's portfolio and the work of the Executive.

Information

2. **Please note that the number of visits and public announcements were limited during the pre-election period (purdah) from 26th March – 2nd May 2024.**
3. **Mansfield Town Football Club Promotion** – On Tuesday 16th April Mansfield Town Football Club secured a 2-1 win against Accrington Stanley and promotion into League One with two games left to play. Huge congratulations to the Stags and everyone involved with the club following this long overdue and well deserved promotion into the third tier of English football.
4. On Sunday 28th April the streets of Mansfield were turned yellow and blue as the town came out to celebrate a magical day. The football club is at the heart of the community, and these moments will be remembered for a lifetime. Mansfield Town have had a fantastic season and at one stage boasted one of the longest unbeaten runs in Europe. We wish them well in their up and coming season.
5. **Bomber County Gateway Trust** – On 29th March the County Council were delighted to receive a limited print of the huge Lancaster Bomber Landmark which is due to be constructed on the boarder of Nottinghamshire and Lincolnshire. Towering supports are already in place on the site as the Gateway Trust get ever closer to reaching their funding target to complete the project.
6. In 2018 The County Council's Policy Committee gave the go-ahead to contribute £10,000 towards the project at its meeting held on the same date as the 75th anniversary of the daring Dambusters mission during the Second World War. It is anticipated that the sculpture could become a major tourist attraction in its own right, bringing significant economic benefits to the whole of Nottinghamshire. Larger in scale than the Angel of the North the landmark will be seen by more than 35,000 drivers every day on the A46.
7. **Academy Transformation Trust Further Education College (ATTFE)** – Last week I had the pleasure of meeting the Principal of the ATTFE, Liz Barrett OBE along with some of the staff there who are doing fantastic things for our community.

8. The college provides lifelong learning opportunities to local and wider communities. It offers excellent inclusive further and adult education opportunities in a range of non-vocational provisions, along with accredited learning programs from Entry Level to Level 4.
9. I met with Linda Smith who is the Volunteers Partnership and Community Lead, and some of the team who volunteer in community support services locally. They run social groups and clubs helping to tackle isolation, and to boost skills and confidence. It was great to hear about some of the fantastic work they do across the county along with some inspirational stories on how volunteering with ATTFE has unearthed passions for helping others. ATTFE will soon be opening a new service in Mansfield, which is great news.

Progress Report

10. **Levelling Up Partnership Funding** – Councillor Scott Carlton Cabinet Member for Communities and Public Health recently approved a report accepting grant funding for the County Council led Levelling Up projects and entering the Council into legal agreements with the other accountable bodies.
11. Officers have been working with Bassetlaw District Council and Mansfield District Council to support the creation of an investment plan for each town for around £20m each. This work is now nearing completion with the full list of projects due to be announced shortly.
12. It is expected that part of the funding will be ringfenced for County Council led projects, including £5.5m in Mansfield for South Mansfield Family hubs, Youth investment intervention on Bellamy Estate and the Sainsbury's Junction improvements. £150k has been ringfenced in Bassetlaw towards the Worksop Family Hub.
13. **Procurement of Services to Support the Councils Technology Enabled Care Strategy (TEC)** – On March 28th, the Cabinet approved the procurement of a Service Delivery Partner for Technology Enabled Care, which will support the implementation of the Adults TEC strategy from 2024 to 2027.
14. It is crucial to procure new TEC Services to continue supporting the existing 4,000 customers and ensure a broad range of TEC solutions are accessible to help as many individuals as possible maintain their independence at home in the future.
15. The TEC Services not only contribute to better outcomes for those in need of social care support, but they also offer significant value for money to the council, resulting in savings of over £4 million over a three-year period. These savings can then be reinvested in other areas of Adult Social Care and Public Health.
16. **The Nottinghamshire Plan – Annual Delivery Plan 2024/2025** – The Cabinet recently approved its annual delivery plan for the next year which articulates the authority's strategic vision and acts as the framework for all Council activity and decision making.
17. The Council has a strong track record in delivery on our priorities as evidenced by previous Annual Reports, which detail the progress made in year to support the people and communities of Nottinghamshire.

18. The Annual Delivery Plan sets out a range of actions that will help the Council work towards the ambitions of the Nottinghamshire Plan over the upcoming year, with key initiatives including our longer-term ambitions of driving local public service reform, reshaping our Council in a sustainable manner, strengthening the building blocks of good health and wellbeing in communities and re-defining our relationship with schools and academies.

19. All decisions agreed at Cabinet are published online, you can find more details on the Council's Website - [Democratic Management System > Decisions \(nottinghamshire.gov.uk\)](http://www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk)

Decisions taken under Special Urgency procedures

20. None.

Other Options Considered

21. None

Reason for Recommendation

22. This is a noting report to update the Full Council on matters relating to the Leader's portfolio and the work of the Executive since the last meeting, as required by the Constitution.

Statutory and Policy Implications

23. This report has been compiled after consideration of implications in respect of crime and disorder, data protection and information governance, finance, human resources, human rights, the NHS Constitution (public health services), the public sector equality duty, safeguarding of children and adults at risk, service users, smarter working, sustainability and the environment and where such implications are material they are described below. Appropriate consultation has been undertaken and advice sought on these issues as required.

Financial Implications

24. There are no financial implications arising from this report.

RECOMMENDATION

1) That the contents of the report be noted.

**COUNCILLOR BEN BRADLEY MP
LEADER OF THE COUNCIL**

For any enquiries about this report please contact:
Phil Rostance, Executive Officer

Constitutional Comments (HD)

25. Full Council is required to receive this report, which is to note, as set out in the Constitution.

Financial Comments (SES)

26. There are no financial implications arising from this report.

Background Papers and Published Documents

Except for previously published documents, which will be available elsewhere, the documents listed here will be available for inspection in accordance with Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972.

- None

Electoral Division(s) and Member(s) Affected

- None