


**REPORT OF SERVICE DIRECTOR – FINANCE, INFRASTRUCTURE &
IMPROVEMENT****PROXY VOTING****Purpose of the Report**

1. The Fund is committed to supporting best practice in corporate governance and has adopted the *UK Stewardship Code* as recommended by the CIPFA *Principles for investment decision making and disclosure*. This report is to inform members of the voting of equity holdings in the third quarter of 2021 (calendar year) as part of this ongoing commitment.

Information

2. The *UK Stewardship Code*, issued in September 2012 by the Financial Reporting Council, and revised in 2020, highlights the responsibilities of institutional investors such as the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund. It defines stewardship as ‘the responsible allocation, management and oversight of capital to create long-term value for clients and beneficiaries leading to sustainable benefits for the economy, the environment and society’. Stewardship includes, among other things, having a clear policy on voting and on the disclosure of voting activity.
3. Alongside this the CIPFA *Principles for investment decision making and disclosure* require administering authorities to include a statement of their policy on responsible investment in the Investment Strategy Statement and report periodically on the discharge of such responsibilities. The Fund’s statement on responsible investment states that ‘the Fund continues to exercise its ownership rights by adopting a policy of actively voting stock it holds’.
4. The Fund retains responsibility for voting any directly held shares (rather than delegating this to investment managers) and votes the majority of its equity holdings in the UK, Europe, US and Japan. Since 1 January 2020 voting has been undertaken by Hermes EOS in line with the voting principles of LGPS Central.
5. Over the quarter to September 2021 Hermes EOS voted Nottinghamshire Pension Fund shares at 293 meetings (a total of 3,311 resolutions). Hermes opposed one or more resolutions at 120 meetings, and voted with management by exception at 13 meetings, abstaining at no meetings. Hermes supported management on all resolutions at the remaining 160 meetings.
6. Hermes recommended voting against or abstaining on 340 resolutions over the last quarter. An analysis of the issues is shown below:



7. Most AGM votes relate to routine management items. Those relating to issues such as climate change will only form a small proportion of the total votes by number, even where they represent a substantial amount of engagement time and effort. An overview of the Hermes EOS voting activity and detailed analysis of the key issues during the quarter is published on the Fund website (<http://www.nottspf.org.uk/about-the-fund/investments>) and with the meeting papers on the Council Diary (<http://www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/dms/Meetings.aspx>).
8. It should also be noted that some of the votes relating to board structure may indirectly relate to an important engagement issue. For instance, the detailed analysis shows that Hermes voted against management at the COSMOS Pharmaceutical Corp AGM held on 24th August, due to 'Lack of independent representation at board committees'.

Statutory and Policy Implications

9. This report has been compiled after consideration of implications in respect of finance, the public sector equality duty, human resources, crime and disorder, human rights, the safeguarding of children, sustainability and the environment and those using the service and where such implications are material they are described below. Appropriate consultation has been undertaken and advice sought on these issues as required.

RECOMMENDATION/S

10. That Nottinghamshire Pension Fund Committee members consider whether there are any actions they require in relation to the issues contained within the report.

**Report Author:
Ciaran Guilfoyle
Investments Officer**

For any enquiries about this report please contact Ciaran Guilfoyle

Constitutional Comments (KK 13/12/2021)

11. The proposal in this report is within the remit of the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund Committee.

Financial Comments (TMR 30/12/2021)

12. There are no financial implications arising directly from this report.

Background Papers and Published Documents

Except for previously published documents, which will be available elsewhere, the documents listed here will be available for inspection in accordance with Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972.

- [Hermes EOS – Nottinghamshire Pension Fund, Voting Report, Q3 2021](#)
- [LGPS Central – Voting Principles \(March 2019\)](#)
- [Financial Reporting Council, *The UK Stewardship Code*, January 2020](#)