



Meeting **PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE**

Date **Wednesday 18 October 2016 (commencing at 10.30 am)**

membership

Persons absent are marked with 'A'

COUNCILLORS

John Wilkinson (Chair)
Sue Saddington (Vice-Chairman)

	Roy Allan	Andy Sissons
	Andrew Brown	Keith Walker
A	Steve Calvert	Jackie Williams
	Jim Creamer	Yvonne Woodhead
A	Rachel Madden	

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE

David Forster – Resources Department
Rachel Clack – Resources Department
Sally Gill – Place Department
David Marsh – Place Department
Joel Marshall - Place Department

CHANGE IN MEMBERSHIP

It was noted that Councillor Jackie Williams was appointed in place of Councillor Stan Heptinstall MBE for this meeting only.

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Steve Calvert.

CHANGE ON AGENDA

The Chair informed members that agenda item 6 Bunny Materials Recycling Facility Loughborough Road Bunny has been withdrawn because new information had been received and therefore the report needs rewritten

DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS BY MEMBERS AND OFFICERS

None

DECLARATIONS OF LOBBYING OF MEMBERS

None

PROSPECT HILL INFANT AND NURSERY SCHOOL MAPLE DRIVE WORKSOP

Mr Marsh introduced the report and gave a slide presentation and highlighted the following:-

- The Camera is on a 3 metre pole near the entrance drive of Prospect Hill Nursery and Infant School, which also shares the drive with Prospect Hill Junior School.
- There are remote access gate controls and the camera, which is on a fixed angle records visitors accessing the site.
- There are three properties surrounding the camera and the camera does not capture any images of any of the private properties.
- The access to any recordings from the camera are subject to the Surveillance Camera Code of Practise.

Members asked questions and made comments as follows:-

- The camera is a fixed camera which can only be moved manually.
- The camera picture shown only shows the leylandii trees because this is the direction the camera is facing

Following the opening remarks of Mr Marsh, Mrs Hindley, local resident, spoke against the application and highlighted the following:-

- Have been trying to get the camera removed ever since the installation in May 2015.
- The family feel monitored 24/7 365 and therefore no privacy .Concerns over who can access the footage as it causes fear for the safety of family members
- Who can access the footage as it causes fear for the safety of family members’.
- The camera has been moved and lowered and is constantly in the eye line.
- There is no privacy given at any time of day because of the constant reminder that a camera is looking into the property
- Human Rights issues are breached by the installation of the camera.

In response to a questions Mrs Hindley responded as follows:-

- The angle of the camera is easily changed with a ladder and a spanner.
- The school cannot prove that the surveillance camera only points at the gate because of the Surveillance Camera Code and other regulations.
- The house was burglarised and concerns were given over who could access the camera to check our house.
- The school do not enter into discussions with the householders affected by the presence of the camera.

- It is intrusive why doesn't the school have security on the main door as this would be safer.

In response to issues raised by Mrs Hindley, Mr Marsh replied that the conditions contained in the appendix to the report condition 3 states that the camera will be specified and that it is a fixed camera which has no movement.

In response to Members questions and comments Mr Marsh responded as follows:-

- The planning conditions are enforceable.
- The camera has already been installed and will not be changed and it is one that does not have the ability to be moved remotely as it is not motorised
- The camera is wired to the monitor so it is unable to be hacked as it doesn't sit on a network
- The images of the camera run along the curb line so do show cars on the public highway however the images are not filed and are deleted after a short period of approx. 3-6 months.
- An informative can be drafted in the decision letter asking that the Governors audit the camera position on a regular basis to ensure it is not moved from its current position. Conditions can be clear that the camera is fixed (non-motorised) and cannot be changed from the make and model agreed.

On a motion by the Chair seconded by the Vice-Chairman it was:-

RESOLVED 2016/059

That planning permission be granted subject to the conditions set out in Appendix 1 attached to the report.

RESPONSE TO DCLG ON THE TECHNICAL CONSULTATION ON IMPROVING THE USE OF PLANNING CONDITIONS

On a motion by the Chair, seconded by the Vice-Chairman, it was:-

RESOLVED 2016/060

That the County Council's response to be sent to DCLG, as set out in Appendix 1 to this report, on the technical consultation on "Improving the use of planning conditions" be approved.

DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRESS REPORT

On a motion by the Chair, seconded by the Vice-Chairman, it was:-

RESOLVED 2016/061

That the Development Management Report be noted.

WORK PROGRAMME

On a motion by the Chair, seconded by the Vice-Chairman, it was:-

RESOLVED 2016/062

That the Work Programme be noted

The meeting closed at 12.20 am.

CHAIR