

## Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Waste Core Strategy Additional Modifications November 2012

<b>Change No.</b>	<b>Page No.</b>	<b>Para No./ Policy</b>	<b>Proposed modification</b>	<b>Reason</b>
<b>Chapter 2 Key principles and policy background</b>				
<i>National</i>				
AM1	13	2.8	Add the following final sentence to paragraph 2.8:  'The Councils will therefore take a positive approach to considering development proposals that reflect the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework'	Proposed by Peel Environmental in representation on Proposed Change 4. Considered to be a sensible addition to the text.
<b>Chapter 4 Waste management context</b>				
<i>What is our existing waste management capacity?</i>				
AM2	27	4.16	Amend first sentence to read: 'The existing incinerator at Eastcroft in Nottingham, is licensed to take up to 200,000 tonnes of municipal waste a year...'  Replace Proposed Change 12 with: 'Permission has recently been granted for an 300,000 tonne energy recovery facility at Shepshed in Leicestershire and there is a proposal for 190,000 tonne facility in Derby which is currently subject to legal proceedings.'	Factual updates regarding permitted and operational facilities in response to representations on Proposed Change 12 from PAIN.
<i>How much additional capacity will we need?</i>				
AM3	32	4.35	Insert the word 'target' after 'this' in first sentence.	In response to an objection from PAIN which was partially accepted but the proposed change was omitted from Schedule of Proposed Changes in error. The modification will clarify that this refers to a policy target.
<b>Chapter 7 Waste Core Strategy Policy</b>				
AM4	44	New paragraph	Replace 'neighbourhood plans' with 'other plans which form part of the Development Plan'.	Proposed by PAIN in representation on Proposed Change 32. The modification makes the policy more accurate for the context of the Waste Core Strategy.

<b>Change No.</b>	<b>Page No.</b>	<b>Para No./ Policy</b>	<b>Proposed modification</b>	<b>Reason</b>
<i>Delivering sustainable waste management facilities</i>				
AM5	46	7.11	Replace 'landfill' with disposal'	Proposed by PAIN in representation on Proposed Change 38. The modification makes the text consistent with the waste hierarchy.
<i>Meeting future needs and managing our own waste</i>				
AM6	58	7.48	Delete the words 'at least' from para 7.48.	Proposed by PAIN in representation on Proposed Change 57. The modification makes the text consistent with wording elsewhere in the Waste Core Strategy.
AM7	58	WCS11	Re-word start of Policy WCS11 to read:  "In addition to proposals that accord with the locational policies of the Core Strategy, waste management proposals which are likely to treat or dispose of waste from areas outside Nottinghamshire and Nottingham will be permitted where they demonstrate that:..."	Proposed by Express Energy in representation on Proposed Change 59. Minor text amendment. 'Permitted' rather than 'allowed' (as suggested by Express Energy) to be used for consistency with rest of the Waste Core Strategy.
<i>Broad locations for new waste management facilities</i>				
AM8	49	7.19	Add new final sentence to read:  "Where waste development is proposed in the Green Belt, this would need to demonstrate very special circumstances in accordance with national policy."	In response to objections from Gedling Borough Council on the Proposed Submission Draft. This wording more accurately reflects national policy on Green Belt set out in the NPPF and PPS10 and its companion guide.
<i>What types of site are suitable for waste management? - Disposal</i>				
AM9	54	7.39	Amend Green Belt reference in fourth sentence to read:  "Landfill within the Green Belt may be acceptable if very special circumstances can be demonstrated. This could include the restoration of former mineral workings."	In response to objections from Gedling Borough Council on the Proposed Submission Draft. This wording more accurately reflects national policy on Green Belt set out in the NPPF and PPS10 and its companion guide.

<b>Change No.</b>	<b>Page No.</b>	<b>Para No./ Policy</b>	<b>Proposed modification</b>	<b>Reason</b>
AM10	55	WCS6	Amend Green Belt text to read:  "land within the Green Belt, where very special circumstances can be demonstrated. This could include derelict or previously developed land, old quarries etc. All proposals will be subject to Green Belt policies."	In response to objections from Gedling Borough Council on the Proposed Submission Draft. This wording more accurately reflects national policy on Green Belt set out in the NPPF and PPS10 and its companion guide.

### **Chapter 8 Monitoring and implementation**

AM11	66 - 67	Table 7	For WCS2, insert additional indicators within first column (Indicators/Targets) as follows:  'Municipal waste arisings Commercial and industrial waste arisings (where available) Construction and demolition waste arisings (where available).'	Raised by CPRE in representation on Proposed Change 69 and by Nottingham Friends of the Earth representation on the Submission Draft which was partially accepted but omitted from Schedule of Proposed Changes in error. The modification provides a more detailed picture for the indicator.
AM12	66-67	Table 7	For WCS11, delete sentence 'Any large scale proposals will help fulfil this policy (assuming it mainly takes local waste).'	In response to objections from People Against Incineration on the Proposed Submission Draft which was omitted from Schedule of Proposed Changes in error. The modification removes an unnecessary sentence.

### **Glossary**

AM13	58	Heading	Add glossary definition for non-local waste as follows: 'waste arising from outside the plan area i.e. from outside the administrative areas of Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council'	For clarification in response to representation on Proposed Change 55 from Peel Environmental.
------	----	---------	--	--