

4. Metrics for 2025-26

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

For metrics (this series and more details):
For metrics Handbook and reporting schedule:

[BCF dashboard link](#)
[BCF 25/26 Metrics Handbook](#)

4.1 Emergency admissions

Actuals & Original Plan	Apr 24 Actual	May 24 Actual	Jun 24 Actual	Jul 24 Actual	Aug 24 Actual	Sep 24 Actual	Oct 24 Actual	Nov 24 Actual	Dec 24 Actual	Jan 25 Actual	Feb 25 Actual	Mar 25 Actual
Rate	1,875.0	1,700.0	1,875.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0
Number of Admissions ECT	1,875	1,700	1,875	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
Emergency admissions to hospital for people aged 65+ per 100,000 population	187.5	170.0	187.5	170.0	170.0	170.0	170.0	170.0	170.0	170.0	170.0	170.0
Rate	1,875.0	1,700.0	1,875.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0	1,700.0
Number of Hospital Admissions	1,875	1,700	1,875	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
Population of ECT	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000

Do you want to update your Emergency Admissions metric plan?

Please set out how the ambition has been reached, including evidence of historic data, impact of planned efforts, and how the target aligns to locally agreed plans such as Active Travel and Social Care.

Updated Plan	Apr 25 Plan	May 25 Plan	Jun 25 Plan	Jul 25 Plan	Aug 25 Plan	Sep 25 Plan	Oct 25 Plan	Nov 25 Plan	Dec 25 Plan	Jan 26 Plan	Feb 26 Plan	Mar 26 Plan
Number of Admissions ECT	1,875	1,700	1,875	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
Population of ECT	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000

What is the rationale behind the change in plan?

Assessment of whether goal has been met: **On track to meet goal**

If a goal has not been met please provide a short explanation, including rationale for any ongoing actions.

Local Focus on the Urgent Care Coordination hub and services for rapid response and 2-hour urgent care response are supporting the achievement of this target.

You can also use this box to provide a very brief explanation of overall progress if you wish.

Did you use local data to assess against this headline metric?

If yes, which local data sources are being used?

4.2 Discharge Delays

Actuals	Apr 24 Actual	May 24 Actual	Jun 24 Actual	Jul 24 Actual	Aug 24 Actual	Sep 24 Actual	Oct 24 Actual	Nov 24 Actual	Dec 24 Actual	Jan 25 Actual	Feb 25 Actual	Mar 25 Actual
Average length of discharge delay for all acute adult patients	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Proportion of adult patients discharged from acute hospitals on their discharge ready date	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
For those adult patients not discharged on ORG, average number of days from ORG to discharge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Original Plan	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average length of discharge delay for all acute adult patients	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Proportion of adult patients discharged from acute hospitals on their discharge ready date	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
For those adult patients not discharged on ORG, average number of days from ORG to discharge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Do you want to update your Discharge Delay metric plan?

Please set out how the ambition has been reached, including evidence of historic data, impact of planned efforts, and how the target aligns to locally agreed plans such as Active Travel and Social Care.

Updated Plan	Apr 25 Plan	May 25 Plan	Jun 25 Plan	Jul 25 Plan	Aug 25 Plan	Sep 25 Plan	Oct 25 Plan	Nov 25 Plan	Dec 25 Plan	Jan 26 Plan	Feb 26 Plan	Mar 26 Plan
Average length of discharge delay for all acute adult patients	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Proportion of adult patients discharged from acute hospitals on their discharge ready date	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
For those adult patients not discharged on ORG, average number of days from ORG to discharge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

What is the rationale behind the change in plan?

Assessment of whether goal has been met: **Not on track to meet goal**

Some data not available on national dashboard but local data indicates that this metric will be slightly off target at 62% against the 65% target. Work to improve internal processes in hospital, especially around arrangements for F1 transport and take-home medication, and addressing these delays at ward level, will support performance. Local pressures relating to F2 bed infection closures are being monitored closely to avoid impact on this metric. F1 provider performance also impacts here and NCC has reduced the use of opt supported support which contributed to NCC's over delivery against F1 discharge targets in 2025 as the unfunded expenditure was not sustainable.

Internal process improvement plans in hospital, addressing delays at ward level. Some data not available but local F2 pressures and the end of a Council external F1 contract are being monitored closely.

You can also use this box to provide a very brief explanation of overall progress if you wish.

Did you use local data to assess against this headline metric?

If yes, which local data sources are being used?

4.3 Residential Admissions

Actuals & Original Plan	2023-24 Full Year Actual	2024-25 Full Year Actual	2025-26 Plan Q1 (Apr 25 - June 25)	2025-26 Plan Q2 (July 25 - Sept 25)	2025-26 Plan Q3 (Oct 25 - Dec 25)	2025-26 Plan Q4 (Jan 26 - Mar 26)
Rate	151.0	165.0	165.0	165.0	165.0	165.0

Do you support needs of older people (age 65 and over) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes per 100,000 population							
Number of admissions	101.1	99.6	100.4	100.6	100.6	100.6	100.6
Population of 65+	101294.1	101291.9	101292.2	101292.6	101291.9	101291.9	101292.2

Do you want to update your Residential Admissions metric plan? No

Please enter plan number of admissions within the specific quarter

Please set out how the ambition has been reached, including analysis of historic data, impact of planned efforts and how the target aligns for locally agreed plans such as Adult Health and Social Care. [↩](#)

	2025-26 Plan Q1 (April 25-June 25)	2025-26 Plan Q2 (July 25-Sept 25)	2025-26 Plan Q3 (Oct 25-Dec 25)	2025-26 Plan Q4 (Jan 26-Mar 26)	What is the rationale behind the change in plan?
Updated Plan					
Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/a
Long-term support needs of older people (age 65 and over) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population					
Population of 65+*	181394.0	181394.0	181394.0	181394.0	

Assessment of whether goal has been met:	On track to meet goal
If a goal has not been met please provide a short explanation, including noting any key mitigating actions.	
You can also use this box to provide a very brief explanation of overall progress if you wish.	
	For quarter 1 there have been 166 new admissions recorded.

Did you use local data to assess against this headline metric?	Yes
If yes, which local data sources are being used?	The source of all ASC residential admissions data is the Mosaic system.

Better Care Fund 2025-26 Q1 Reporting Template

5. Income & Expenditure

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Nottinghamshire

Source of Funding	2025-26		Q1 Year-to-Date Actual Expenditure
	Planned Income	Updated Total Plan Income for 25-26	
DFG	£9,786,028	£9,786,028	£2,374,037
Minimum NHS Contribution	£80,196,936	£80,196,936	
Local Authority Better Care Grant	£38,145,311	£38,145,311	
Additional LA Contribution	£0	£0	
Additional NHS Contribution	£0	£0	
Total	£128,128,275	£128,128,275	

	Original	Updated	% variance
Planned Expenditure	£128,128,275	£128,128,275	0%

		% of Planned Income
Q1 Year-to-Date Actual Expenditure	£30,974,120	24%

If Q1 Year-to-Date Actual Expenditure is exactly 25% of planned income, please provide some context around how accurate this figure is or whether there are limitations.	Most of the BCF pooled is funding permanent contracts therefore values are set for the year and split equally .
--	---

If planned expenditure by activity has changed since the original plan, please	No change
--	-----------

Checklist

Complete:

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

confirm that this has been agreed by local partners. If that change in activity expenditure is greater than 5% of total BCF expenditure, please use this box to provide a brief summary of the change.

[Empty yellow box for providing a brief summary of the change]

[Empty green box]