## Key Stage 2 analysis by pupil characteristics

## Analysis for all pupils

1. The table below shows Nottinghamshire outcomes in relation to national averages and the difference between the two.

## All Pupils

| Nottinghamshire | National | Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

\% Expected standard or above

| GPS | 73 | 73 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 65 | 66 | $\leftarrow$ | -1 |
| Writing TA | 75 | 74 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 1 |
| Maths | 70 | 70 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |
| R,W,M | 54 | 54 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |

\% Higher standard

| GPS | 21 | 23 | -1 | -2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 17 | 19 | $\downarrow$ | -2 |
| Writing TA | 14 | 15 | $\downarrow$ | -1 |
| Maths | 15 | 17 | $\downarrow$ | -2 |
| R,W,M | 5 | 5 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |

## Analysis by gender

2. Analysis by gender at the expected standard shows that girls continue to outperform boys. The only subject where outcomes were in line between the genders was mathematics with both $70 \%$ of boys and girls achieving this measure.
3. The greatest gap between the genders was witnessed in writing teacher assessments with a 12 percentage point gap. This was closely followed by grammar, punctuation and spelling where there was an 11 point gap, with girls performing better than boys in both cases.
4. Comparisons nationally show that in most subjects at the expected standard, boys are either in line with or below the national average where girls are in line. The tables below show analysis by gender against national averages for 2016.

## Girls

Nottinghamshire
National
Difference
\% Expected standard or above

| GPS | 78 | 78 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 69 | 70 | $\leftrightarrow$ | -1 |
| Writing TA | 81 | 81 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |
| Maths | 70 | 70 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |
| R,W,M | 58 | 58 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |

\% Higher standard

| GPS | 26 | 27 | -1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 20 | 22 |  | -2 |
| Writing TA | 18 | 19 |  | -1 |
| Maths | 14 | 15 | $\ddots$ | -1 |
| R,W,M | 6 | 6 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |

## Boys

| Nottinghamshire | National | Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

\% Expected standard or above

| GPS | 67 | 68 | $\boxed{y y y y y}$ | -1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 61 | 63 | $\boxed{1}$ | -2 |
| Writing TA | 69 | 68 | 1 |  |
| Maths | 70 | 70 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |
| R,W,M | 50 | 50 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |

\% Higher standard

| GPS | 17 | 19 | -2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 15 | 16 | $\ddots$ | -1 |
| Writing TA | 11 | 11 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |
| Maths | 17 | 18 | $\ddots$ | -1 |
| R,W,M | 4 | 5 | $\ddots$ | -1 |

## Analysis by ethnicity

5. Analysis by ethnic groups for combined reading, writing and mathematics shows results to be mixed. The largest pupil group, white British pupils, are in line with the national average for this group at 54\% achieving the expected standard or above.
6. Three pupil groups have large differences from their national average. These are Irish pupils ( 22 percentage points below the national average for Irish pupils), Black Caribbean pupils (11 percentage points below) and any other Black background pupils (7 percentage points below).
7. The widest positive gap in attainment between Nottinghamshire schools and the national average for the group was with Gypsy/Roma pupils (although numbers are small - 15 pupils) where $40 \%$ of pupils from this group achieved the expected standard in combined reading, writing and mathematics. This is 27 percentage points above the national average for this group (13\%).
8. Across the County there is a large variance ( 36 percentage points) between the lowest performing group, Black Caribbean pupils ( $32 \%$ achieving the expected standard in combined reading, writing and mathematics), and the highest group which is Chinese pupils (68\% achieving this measure).
9. The table below shows outcomes in combined reading, writing and mathematics at the expected standard or above by ethnic group.

Nottinghamshire

| Pupils | Outcome | National | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

\% Expected standard or above

| White | 7692 |
| :---: | :---: |
| White British | 7415 |
| Irish | 10 |
| Traveller of Irish Heritage | x |
| Gypsy/Romany | 15 |
| Any other White background | 249 |
| Mixed | 331 |
| White and Black Caribbean | 116 |
| White and Black African | 22 |
| White and Asian | 76 |
| Any other mixed background | 117 |
| Asian | 208 |
| Indian | 67 |
| Pakistani | 79 |
| Bangladeshi | 10 |
| Any other Asian background | 52 |
| Black | 79 |
| Black Caribbean | 31 |
| Black African | 31 |
| Any other Black background | 17 |
| Chinese | 22 |
| Any other ethnic group | 35 |
| Unclassified | 43 |


| 54 | 53 | - | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | 54 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |
| 40 | 62 | $\downarrow$ | -22 |
| x | 19 | X |  |
| 40 | 13 | - | 27 |
| 49 | 48 | - | 1 |
| 55 | 56 | $\downarrow$ | -1 |
| 47 | 48 | $\sqrt{*}$ | -1 |
| 50 | 54 | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ | -4 |
| 61 | 63 | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | -2 |
| 61 | 57 | - | 4 |
| 56 | 55 | - | 1 |
| 61 | 65 | $\downarrow$ | -4 |
| 48 | 47 | - | 1 |
| 60 | 56 | - | 4 |
| 60 | 61 | $\downarrow$ | -1 |
| 47 | 51 | $\downarrow$ | -4 |
| 32 | 43 | $\downarrow$ | -11 |
| 65 | 54 | - | 11 |
| 41 | 48 | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ | -7 |
| 68 | 71 | $\downarrow$ | -3 |
| 57 | 50 | - | 7 |
| 47 | 25 | - | 22 |
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## Analysis by free school meal eligibility

10. Outcomes for pupils eligible for free school meals in all subject areas at both the expected standard and at the higher standard are below those for the same group nationally.
11. The lowest outcome was in grammar, punctuation and spelling where Nottinghamshire free school meal eligible pupils are 7 percentage points below that of the national average for the cohort. In the key measure of combined reading, writing and mathematics this figure is 4 percentage points below national. Reading is the weaker subject of these three subject areas.
12. For non-free school meal pupils outcomes at the expected standard are generally above the national average for the cohort. Only reading is below ( 1 percentage point) and combined reading, writing and mathematics is in line. At the higher standard all subjects are either in line or below.
13. In the combined measure of reading, writing and mathematics the free school meal / nonfree school meal gap stands at 26 percentage points for 2016. This is wider than the national gap of 22 percentage points.
14. The tables below show outcomes by free school meal eligibility for 2016.

Free School Meals

|  | Nottinghamshire | National | Diffe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Expected standard or above |  |  |  |  |
| GPS | 50 | 57 | - | -7 |
| Reading | 43 | 49 | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | -6 |
| Writing TA | 55 | 59 | $\downarrow$ | -4 |
| Maths | 50 | 54 | - | -4 |
| R,W,M | 31 | 35 | + | -4 |

\% Higher standard

| GPS | 9 | 12 | -3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 6 | 8 | -2 |
| Writing TA | 6 | 7 | -1 |
| Maths | 5 | 8 | -3 |
| R,W,M | 1 | 2 | -1 |

Non Free School Meals

|  | Nottinghamshire | National |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Expected standard or above |  |  |  |  |
| GPS | 76 | 75 | - | 1 |
| Reading | 68 | 69 | $\stackrel{1}{\square}$ | -1 |
| Writing TA | 78 | 77 | - | 1 |
| Maths | 74 | 73 | - | 1 |
| R,W,M | 57 | 57 | $\stackrel{ }{+}$ | 0 |

\% Higher standard

| GPS | 23 | 24 |  | -1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 19 | 21 | $\star$ | -2 |
| Writing TA | 16 | 16 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |
| Maths | 17 | 18 | $\star$ | -1 |
| R,W,M | 6 | 6 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |

## Analysis by first language other than English

15. Outcomes for pupils whose first language is other than English are below average when compared with the same pupil group nationally. Only outcomes in combined reading, writing and mathematics at the expected standard are in line, with 50\% achieving this. Writing and grammar, punctuation and spelling are both two percentage points lower (both at $71 \%$ ). Reading and mathematics are one percentage point lower (57\% and 71\% respectively).
16. Although reading is broadly in line with the average for the pupil group nationally, outcomes are much lower in this subject area with $57 \%$ achieving the expected standard compared with $71 \%$ in each of the other individual subjects (grammar, punctuation and spelling; writing and mathematics). This is also the subject area with most variance between those whose first language is English (66\% at the expected standard) and those who are other than English (57\%) with a gap of nine percentage points at the expected standard and six at the higher standard.
17. Generally outcomes for the English group are above or in line with the national average for their pupil group. Again outcomes in reading at the expected standard are lower (two percentage points difference at 66\%) as are outcomes at the higher standard.

## English

| Nottinghamshire | National | Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## \% Expected standard or above

| GPS | 73 | 72 | - | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 66 | 68 | $\star$ | -2 |
| Writing TA | 75 | 74 | $\uparrow$ | 1 |
| Maths | 70 | 69 | $\uparrow$ | 1 |
| R,W,M | 54 | 54 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |

\% Higher standard

| GPS | 21 | 22 | -1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 18 | 20 | $\ddots$ | -2 |
| Writing TA | 15 | 15 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |
| Maths | 15 | 16 | $\ddots$ | -1 |
| R,W,M | 5 | 5 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |

Other than English

| Nottinghamshire | National | Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

\% Expected standard or above

| GPS | 71 | 73 | -2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 57 | 58 |  | -1 |
| Writing TA | 71 | 73 | -1 |  |
| Maths | 71 | 72 | -2 |  |
| R,W,M | 50 | 50 | $\leftrightarrow$ | 0 |

\% Higher standard

| GPS | 21 | 25 | -4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 12 | 14 | $\downarrow$ | -2 |
| Writing TA | 12 | 14 |  | -2 |
| Maths | 15 | 20 | $\boxed{y y y y}$ | -5 |
| R,W,M | 4 | 5 | -1 |  |

## Analysis by the district in which the school is located

18. Analysis by the district in which the school is located shows, at the expected standard, only Broxtowe and Rushcliffe districts had outcomes in all subjects which were higher than the national average. Rushcliffe schools witnessed the highest attainment level at both the expected standard and the higher standard.
19. Ashfield district witnessed the lowest outcome in the combined measure of reading, writing and mathematics, with $48 \%$ of pupils achieving the measure which is six percentage points lower than the national average of $54 \%$. This is closely followed by Mansfield district which is five percentage points lower at $49 \%$.

## School district

| Ashfield | Bassetlaw | Broxtowe | Gedling | Mansfield |  <br> Sherwood | Rushclife | Notts. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | National 

## \% Expected standard or above

| GPS | 69 | 67 | 76 | 73 | 71 | 72 | 82 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 58 | 62 | 67 | 65 | 62 | 65 | 77 |
| Writing TA | 70 | 76 | 75 | 78 | 73 | 74 | 80 |
| Maths | 66 | 68 | 72 | 69 | 68 | 68 | 81 |
| R,W,M | 48 | 51 | 57 | 52 | 49 | 53 | 66 |


| 73 | 73 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 65 | 66 |
| 75 | 74 |
| 70 | 70 |
| 54 | 54 |

## \% Higher standard

| GPS | 18 | 15 | 25 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 31 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 13 | 14 | 20 | 15 | 12 | 18 | 28 |
| Writing TA | 10 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 19 |
| Maths | 12 | 12 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 27 |
| R,W,M | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 10 |


| 21 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 19 |
| 14 | 15 |
| 15 | 17 |
| 5 | 5 |

## Analysis by ward of pupil residence

20. Appendix 3 shows analysis by the ward in which pupils live. The data within Appendix 3 is based on those pupils attending a Nottinghamshire school and will exclude any pupils living in a stated area who go to school outside of the local authority (LA) area.
21. Analysis by location of pupil residence shows little variation in district outcomes from those seen in the district in which the school is located (see table above). Where differences do occur this is in the region of $+/$ - one percentage point.
22. There is however wide variation in the outcomes of particular wards. The range of results across Nottinghamshire wards for pupils achieving the expected standard in each subject is as follows:

- Grammar, punctuation and spelling: $42 \%$ to $100 \%$ of pupils achieving expected standard
- Reading: 33\% to $95 \%$
- Writing TA: $42 \%$ to $96 \%$
- Mathematics: $42 \%$ to $100 \%$
- Combined reading, writing and mathematics: $8 \%$ to $88 \%$.

23. Analysis by pupils living outside of the County boundary shows that there were 240 pupils from Nottingham city and 140 pupils from other local authority areas who attended a County school (see end of Appendix 3). Those pupils from outside the County (excluding the city) had higher rates of attainment than the County average in all subject areas. $64 \%$ of these 140 pupils achieved the expected standard in combined reading, writing and mathematics. This is 10 percentage points more than the County average for this measure. This compares with $46 \%$ of pupils from Nottingham city (eight percentage points lower than the County average).

[^0]:    $X=$ figures suppressed as cohort is below 5
    Pupils where the ethnicity is unknown, not obtained or refused are included in the unclassified section

