



**Nottinghamshire
County Council**

Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan

**Nottinghamshire County Council Statement in
response to Supplementary Questions**

MATTER 5 – SITE ALLOCATION DEVELOPMENT BRIEFS

Questions 53 - 58

MP2k – Bawtry Road West

Question 53. As the Appropriate Assessment has excluded any potential links to the Hatfield Moor Special Area of Conservation (SAC), the reference to such links in the Site Allocation Development Brief should be removed as this would not be justified.

1. Agreed. It is proposed to delete the reference to such links in the Site Allocation Development Brief.

MP2l – Scrooby Thompson Land

Question 54. As the Appropriate Assessment has excluded any potential links to the Birklands and Billhaugh SAC, and the Sherwood Forest possible potential Special Protection Area (ppSPA) the reference to such links in the Site Allocation Development Brief should be removed as this would not be justified.

2. In response to Question 60 in the 'Nottinghamshire County Council Statement in response to Matters, Issues and Questions', submitted on 15th April 2020, it was stated that 'The Council is willing to suggest a modification to the Brief to delete the reference to potential indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Forest ppSPA.'
3. It is confirmed that it is proposed to delete the reference to such links in the Site Allocation Development Brief.

MP2m – Scrooby North

Question 55. As the Appropriate Assessment has excluded any potential links to the Birklands and Billhaugh SAC and the Sherwood Forest ppSPA the reference to such links in the Site Allocation Development Brief should be removed as this would not be justified.

4. In response to Question 18 in the 'Nottinghamshire County Council Statement in response to Matters, Issues and Questions', submitted on 15th April 2020, it was stated that 'The Council is willing to suggest a modification to the Brief to delete the reference to potential indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Forest ppSPA.'
5. It is confirmed that it is proposed to delete the reference to such links in the Site Allocation Development Brief.

MP2p – Mill Hill near Barton-in-Fabis

Question 56. Should the Brief require assessment in accordance with national policy on Green Belt, including measures to mitigate any effects on the Green Belt?

6. The Council does not consider there is a specific need for the Brief to repeat national policy on Green Belt. Paragraph 16 (f) of the Framework makes clear that development plans should not repeat the policies already set out within national policy (the Framework). All planning applications must be considered against national policy, the policies within the Minerals Local Plan, relevant policies within District Borough Local Plans, and Neighbourhood Plans where these have been adopted. Policy SP6 (the Nottinghamshire Green Belt) would apply to all minerals related planning applications within the Green Belt. This would require full consideration of the impacts on the Green Belt and possible mitigation measures.
7. However, the Council has previously indicated that it would be willing to include a reference to the need to address Green Belt issues if required (see response to Question 72 of the Inspector's Matters, Issues and Questions). This could be used to highlight possible mitigation measures that may be required, although this would depend on the detail of any proposals submitted at the planning application stage (see response to Supplementary Question 38).

Question 57. Should a requirement for assessment of effects on the Trent Valley Green Infrastructure Corridor be included?

8. Yes, the Brief will be amended to include a reference to the Trent Valley Green Infrastructure Corridor.

Question 58. Should a requirement for assessment of impact on the Attenborough Nature Reserve be included?

9. Yes, the Brief will be amended to include a reference to the Attenborough Nature Reserve (open space).