

[REDACTED]

From: Steven Osborne-James
Sent: 10 October 2019 09:00
To: Planning Policy
Subject: FW: Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan
Attachments: Blaxton PC - Notts CC Minerals Plan Response Form101019.pdf; Blaxton PC NCCMineralsPlanResponse Comments.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

See attached

Steven Osborne-James
Planning Policy Team
[REDACTED]

Information regarding the development of the new Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan can be found at:
Nottinghamshire.gov.uk/minerals

From: Philip Dennis [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 October 2019 21:55
To: Steven Osborne-James [REDACTED]
Cc: 'janecox' [REDACTED]; 'richardjones' [REDACTED]; 'stevecox' [REDACTED];
[REDACTED]; 'Julia Staniforth CwB PC' [REDACTED];
'Julie Eshelby' [REDACTED]; 'Sykes, Roy' [REDACTED]
Subject: Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan

Steven

Please find attached a completed collective response form from the Parish Councils of Auckley, Blaxton, Cantley with Branton and, Finningley, together with a collective supporting comments document.

If you need any further information please let me know.

Your confirmation of receipt would be appreciated.

Kind Regards

Philip

Philip Dennis

Clerk and Responsible Financial Officer
Blaxton Parish Council
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
<http://www.blaxtonpc.org.uk/>

For information about how we use your information see our [Privacy Policy](#).

The following message has been applied automatically, to promote news and information from Nottinghamshire County Council about events and services:

Got a question about recycling in Nottinghamshire? Check out our [Check out our frequently asked questions.](#)
#RecycleForNotts

Emails and any attachments from Nottinghamshire County Council are confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to the email, and then delete it without making copies or using it in any other way. Senders and recipients of email should be aware that, under the Data Protection Act 2018 and the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the contents may have to be disclosed in response to a request.

Although any attachments to the message will have been checked for viruses before transmission, you are urged to carry out your own virus check before opening attachments, since the County Council accepts no responsibility for loss or damage caused by software viruses.

You can view our privacy notice at: <https://www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/global-content/privacy>

Nottinghamshire County Council Legal Disclaimer.

Part A – Personal details

Office use only

Person No:

Rep Nos:

	1. Personal details	2. Agent details (where applicable)
Title	Mr	
First name	Philip	
Last name	Dennis	
Address line 1	██████████	
Address line 2	██████	
Address line 3	██████████	
Postcode	██████████	
Email	████████████████████	
<i>For those replying on behalf of an organisation or group:</i>		
Organisation	Blaxton Parish Council	
Job title	Clerk and Responsible Financial Officer Replying on behalf of:- Auckley Parish Council Blaxton Parish Council Cantley with Branton Parish Council Finningley Parish Council	

Part B – Your representation

Office use only

Person No:

Rep No:

Please read the guidance note before completing this section.

Name or organisation: Blaxton Parish Council and on behalf of Auckley, Cantley with Branton and Finningley Parish Councils which constitute the Doncaster MBC Finningley Ward

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Policy		Site code		Map/Plan		Paragraph		Other	X
--------	--	-----------	--	----------	--	-----------	--	-------	---

4. Do you consider the identified part of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant?	Yes		No	X
Sound?	Yes		No	X
Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	X

Please tick as appropriate.

5. Please give details of why you consider the identified part of the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound, or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Because it is hard for a layman to determine which categories the Council's concerns relate to, it is registering that it does not consider the Plan to be 'Legally Compliant'; 'Sound' or compliant with the 'duty to cooperate' and, the Council looks to the Inspector to assess the concerns below and attached.

There concerns are that:-

- HGV minerals haulage from Nottinghamshire to South Yorkshire for processing is unnecessary and seriously impacts upon communities in the Doncaster MBC Finningley Ward
- There are no reliable, up to date, road traffic census figures for the main roads in the Finningley Ward. This means that the real impact of approvals for Minerals developments is never properly quantified.

To address these concerns:-

- Highways Authorities should be required to maintain up to date traffic census information to provide baseline data.
- At application, Minerals Developers should be required to assess the impact of traffic flows all the way along proposed routes (other than to end users).
- At application, Minerals Developers should be required to reflect the projected vehicle numbers for all major developments under consideration in the area at the time to their traffic impact assessments.

An analysis of factors supporting these concerns is attached.

6. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the identified part of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. (please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

(Continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

See above and attached

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in the examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in the hearing session(s)	X
Yes, I wish to participate in the hearing session(s)	

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in the hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Signature		Date	10 October 2019
Name	Philip Dennis		

PARISH COUNCILS OF AUCKLEY, BLAXTON, BRANTON-WITH-CANTLEY AND FINNINGLEY (ALL PART OF DMBC FINNINGLEY WARD).

JOINT COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION ON THE NOTTINGHAMSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL DRAFT MINERALS PLAN.

Summary

In isolation the proposals to develop sites at Austerfield, Misson, Barnby Moor and Scrooby seem innocuous, however when considered alongside existing and proposed developments in both Nottinghamshire and the DMBC area, we have serious concerns about the impact on our communities, particularly the potential increase in Heavy Goods and other vehicles on an already busy road network in and around our villages.

We would oppose the development of these sites as proposed in the recent planning applications and question their inclusion in the Minerals Plan without conditions being set, within the plan, to mitigate their potential impact on our communities.

From NCC Draft Minerals Local Plan – Policy SP4 – Sustainable Transport

1. All mineral proposals should seek to maximise the use of sustainable forms of transport, including barge, rail and pipeline.
2. Where it can be demonstrated that there is no viable alternative to road transport, all new mineral working and mineral related development should be located as follows:
 - a) within close proximity to existing or proposed markets to minimise transport movement; and
 - b) within close proximity to the County’s main highway network and existing transport routes in order to avoid residential areas, minor roads, and minimise the impact of road transportation.
3. Proposals requiring the bulk transport of minerals, minerals waste/fill or materials/substances used for the extraction of minerals by road will be required to demonstrate that more sustainable forms of transport are not viable.

We presume the policy would be applied when roads pass from one local authority to another, in our case, neighbouring South Yorkshire.

Routes from Nottinghamshire into South Yorkshire relevant to the above.

Ref	Roads	Possible use
R1	A638 through Bawtry to Hurst Lane.	Access to Hanson site on Hurst Lane
R2	A638 through Bawtry to Great Yorkshire Way A6182 and M18 Junction 3.	Access to Motorway network M18, A1M, M180, M1
R3	A638 through Bawtry to Great Yorkshire Way as an alternative access to Hurst Lane.	Access to Hanson site on Hurst Lane
R4	A614 through Bawtry, Austerfield, Finningley & Blaxton to Hatfield Woodhouse and M18N / M180.	From sites at Austerfield / Misson to M18 / M180 and via Bawtry to A1 South
R5	A614 through Bawtry, Austerfield & Finningley to Blaxton roundabout then B1396 Mosham Road to Auckley and Branton.	Access to Hanson site on Hurst Lane From sites at Austerfield / Misson to M18N / M180 and M18S via Hurst Lane / A6182

Ref	Roads	Possible use
R6	High Common Lane in Austerfield connects A614 with A638 and is used a route from 1, 2 & 3 above to 4 & 5 and vice versa.	All of the above.
R7	Springs Road Misson to Bank End Road B1396 then North or South on A614 or continuing on B1396 through Auckley and Branton.	From Misson as route to motorway networks

These are all busy routes, some passing through highly populated areas. There is also a large Secondary School and a 6th Form College on Hurst Lane, and a large Primary school with 334 pupils on roll within 200 yards of Hurst Lane. Also sited on Hurst Lane will be the new entrance to the Yorkshire Wildlife Park with an estimated 1 million plus visitors each year.

Already operating Minerals / Minerals Related Sites in the area

Ref	Site	Routing
AO1	Hanson Quarry, Hurst Lane, Auckley.	1, 2, 3 & 5
AO2	Lafarge / Tarmac, Croft Road (off Bawtry Road), Finningley.	4, 5 & 6
AO3	Rotherham Sand & Gravel, Bank End Road, Finningley.	7, 4, 5 & 6
AO4	Bank End Quarry, Bank End Road, Finningley.	7, 4, 5 & 6
AO5	Bank End Pre-Mix, Bank End Road, Finningley.	7, 4, 5 & 6
AO7	Yorkshire Aggregates, Wroot Road, Finningley.	7, 4, 5 & 6
AO8	Quarry, High Common Lane, Austerfield.	4, 5, 6, & 2
AO9	Tetron Finningley, Old Bawtry Road, Finningley.	4, 5, 6, & 2
AO10	Hanson Quarry, Newington Lane, Misson.	4, 5, 6, & 2
AO11	Hanson Quarry, High Field Lane, Austerfield.	4, 5, 6, & 2
AO12	Finningley Quarry & Landfill, Rossington.	Possibly all of the routes excepting 7

We have tried to determine the traffic flows for these sites but current information is not readily available. Data for 2017 showed the A614 (measured at Blaxton roundabout) as having an AADF (Annual Average Daily Flow) of 6449 vehicles of which 451 were HGV's. We are convinced that the HGV AADF has significantly increased since 2017.

Proposed Sites – NCC Minerals Plan

Ref	Site	Routing
NCC1	Misson Sand & Gravel, Bawtry Road, Misson.	4, 5, 6 & possibly 7
NCC2	Hanson site, Barnby Moor, Retford.	1,2 & 3 possibly using 6 to divert if necessary
NCC3	Rotherham Sand & Gravel site, Barnby Moor, Retford.	2 & 4
NCC4	Rotherham Sand & Gravel, Scrooby, Bawtry.	2 & 4

Proposed Sites – DMBC Minerals Plan

Ref	Site	Routing
DMBC1	New Minerals site off Wroot Road / Bank End Road – potentially large quarry development.	7 then 4, 5 & 6

Other Developments approved or pending that will add to traffic flow

Ref	Site	Routing
OD1	Yorkshire Wildlife Park – new entrance and car park off Hurst Lane Auckley. Forecast is 1M visitors per annum.	2, 3 & 5
OD2	IGas Shale Gas Production at Springs Road, Misson, Nottinghamshire.	7 & 4
OD3	Former Rocket Site, Springs Road, Misson (long term storage) currently being offered as large warehousing facility.	7 & 4
OD4	Peel Housing Development off Hurst Lane – up to 700 units.	Possibly all of the above routes excepting 7
OD5	Peel Holdings 18/02759/OUTA off High Common Lane, Austerfield offering B1, B2 & B8 warehousing, storage and recycling of waste, and car parking.	Possibly all of the above routes excepting 7

Ref	Site	Routing
OD6	Peel Holdings Solar Panel installations off Old Bawtry Road, Finningley. Planning Application approved. 10 HGV movements per day. 25 construction staff. 3 months construction.	Possibly all of the above routes excepting 7
OD7	Potential Solar PV Farm on A614 opposite Brancroft Farm. Initial Screening advice requested from DMBC. 9.6 Ha.	2, 3, 5 & 6
OD8	Solar PV Farm off High Common Lane next to Partridge Hill Farm. 54.16 Ha. 323 deliveries = 646 HGV movements. Up to 20 contractors.	2, 3, 5 & 6

Summary of Road Usage of listed activities (see route descriptions above)

Category	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7
AO – 12 sites	2	6	2	10	11	10	4
NCC – 4 sites	1	3	1	3	1	2	1
DMBC – 1 site	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
OD – 6 sites	3	6	6	5	6	5	2
TOTAL – 23 sites	6	15	9	19	19	18	8

Conclusion

Without significant benefits to local communities resulting from these potential developments there is no reason for Parish Councils to support them.

There is no justification for movement of materials from Nottinghamshire sites using the routes shown above except for delivery to a customer. Any other purpose appears to be economically and environmentally questionable, resulting in more congestion, more pollution, more road wear, more inconvenience, more noise and more road safety issues for residents of Bawtry, Austerfield, Finningley, Blaxton, Auckley and Branton.

This submission should not be misconstrued as Parish Councils against progress but rather that Parish Councils will support progress that considers communities rather than ignoring them.

DMBC should also note the near saturation of commercial activity in this area and should insist on measured baseline data of current traffic volumes and movement, and proper scrutinised traffic assessments from developers.

We would also appreciate more consideration of total activity in the area when considering individual cases. Up to date information on continuation, growth or reduction of activity based on the data provided above would be a good start point.

Signatories

Clerk to Auckley Parish Council	Marjorie Caygill	
Clerk to Blaxton Parish Council	Philip Dennis	
Clerk to Cantley-with-Branton PC	Julia Staniforth	
Clerk to Finningley Parish Council	Julie Leighton-Eshelby	

