# **MINUTES OF MEETING**



Meeting title: Date and time: Location:	Nottinghamshire Schools Forum 5 June 2018, 2pm to 4pm National Watersports Centre, NG12 2LU
Membership 'A' denotes absence	
Mr A Rossington Mrs H Atkins Mrs H Richardson A Ms L Regan Ms L Toom A Ms L Corner-Brown / Adrian Nash Vacancy Ms Anne Hall A Mr C Weaver	Maintained Primary Head Teacher (Gedling) Maintained Primary Head Teacher (Mansfield) – (Vice Chair) Maintained Primary Head Teacher (Newark) Maintained Primary Head Teacher (Ashfield) Maintained Primary Head Teacher (Rushcliffe) Maintained Primary Head Teacher (Broxtowe) Maintained Secondary Head Teacher Academy Representative Academy Representative
<ul> <li>A Mill C Weaver</li> <li>Mr D Bell</li> <li>Mr D Vasey</li> <li>Mr J MacDonald</li> <li>A Mr J McGeachie</li> <li>Mr N Holmes</li> <li>Ms D Wigley</li> <li>Mr A O'Malley</li> <li>Ms A Williams</li> <li>Ms F Jones</li> <li>Mr M Thixton</li> <li>Mr T Colton</li> <li>Vacancy</li> <li>Mr G Tinley</li> <li>Ms K O'Connell</li> <li>Mr C Cuomo</li> <li>A Ms C Meese</li> <li>Mr J Jefferies</li> <li>A Ms L Maddison</li> </ul>	Academy Representative Academy Representative Academy Representative Academy Representative Academy Representative Academy Representative Special School Head Teacher Academy Special School Head Teacher Governor Maintained Primary Governor Maintained Primary Governor Maintained Primary Governor Maintained Primary Governor Maintained Secondary Governor PVI - Early Years Group Member PVI - Early Years Group Member Diocesan Representative - (Chair) Diocesan Representative Trades Union Representative Trades Union Representative
Mr C Pettigrew Mrs M Clay Mr C Savage Mrs S Summerscales Mr M Sharpe Mr S Ray Mr S Ray Mr P Gray Mr S Hawkins Mrs T Gardner (Clerk)	Corporate Director for Children, Young People and Schools Service Director – Education Learning and Skills Principal Educational Psychologist Senior Finance Business Partner, Children & Families Finance Team Manager, Pupil Place Planning Acting Team Manager, Schools and Families Specialist Services High Needs Consultant (SSCYP) Finance Business Partner, Children & Families Finance Assistant Account, Children & Families Finance

1.	Welcome	
	Carlo Cuomo welcomed all members to the meeting.	
	Apologies for absence	
	Apologies were received from Craig Weaver, James McGeachie, and Claire Meese.	
2.	Minutes of the Schools Forum 8th February 2018	ACTION
	Minutes were agreed as accurate.	
3.	3a – Schools Forum Constitution Update	
	Joe Jefferies explained the methodology used by the working group to respond to the changes presented.	
	Marion Clay referred to Appendix B as a summary that reflected the major and more contentious changes, and went through each point and the decision made.	
	Article 5 Marion Clay commented that Appendix B is incorrect, the response for the Chair and Vice Chair would also be the same for the forum members, and it should state, 2 terms of 3 years then members should have a break of 1 year before seeking re- appointment.	
	Dawn Wigley confirmed that during the working group, the members felt 1 term of 3 years wasn't long enough and agreed 2 terms of 3 years with a 1 year break.	
	Tony Colton raised a query regards the relationship between Trusts and how they would be represented. Marion Clay advised that Trusts are represented by the schools / academies and sectors on the Forum Dawn Wigley – the concern at the working group was that any one Trust could have governor, primary and secondary representatives on the schools forum which	
	<ul> <li>hypothetically could allow one Trust to be 'over represented' on the Forum.</li> <li>Marion Clay explained that the documents are legally written, details are yet to be decided, elements of which are for the forum members to agree on. We do not want mass resignation; the priority is for natural change to the membership.</li> </ul>	
	A further discussion was had around the length of term; Karen O'Connell found a term of 3 years would be too short, and what would happen if within the Early Years Consultation group there was no one willing to participate, would the existing member stay on?	
	Helen Atkins asked, what would happen to current members that were CEOs? Carlo Cuomo replied that the details have not yet been agreed and were still being discussed so until then everything would remain the same.	
	Dick Vasey asked, whether the maintained primary sector was represented geographically? Marion replied, that they were not; there are only 6 primary members for that sector but 7 areas of Nottinghamshire.	
	Dick Vasey commented that some MATs have no local governing bodies, but a trust board; therefore he did not agree with point 2.05 (c) However, he agreed that we couldn't have just one MAT over represented on the Forum. Marion Clay asked, whether a further discussion around CEO membership should be considered.	

Andrew Rossington commented that a concern for the working group around CEOs was when they came from out of authority schools.	
Marion Clay suggested organising a conference or group chat where we could discuss the details, if members could digest the report further in preparation.	МС
Members also felt that head teachers should be voting for head teachers not governors. It was agreed we would take this back to check with any existing regulation through Linda McCarthy.	MC/TG
Dawn Wigley asked if the new members had been taken through the induction process. Carlo Cuomo confirmed that they had, and we now have an induction pack for new members in Nottinghamshire	
The recommendation was to discuss further and for it to be bought back to the forum in September.	
3b – Schools Budget Final Accounts 2017-18	
Sue Summerscales presented the paper and explained that it was presented in blocks to reflect how the funds are received into the Local Authority.	
Karen O'Connell commented that given that the Early Years Block had such a big underspend and the funding rate was reduced by 1p per hour for 2018-19, with increasing costs, could this go to the Early Years providers. Sue Summerscales replied, the amount is in reserves and the decisions as to how reserves are spent is down to the Schools Forum. The figure in appendix A was not yet confirmed so we would need to be mindful of this. Sue also commented that there was currently considerable other pressures due to the High Needs Block. Ged Tinley requested clarification as to why the underspend was in reserves and not ring-fenced for Early Years. Sue Summerscales confirmed this was correct and explained that in the past the reserve had been used for a variety of different uses, these being:-	
<ul> <li>For 2 years the reserves were used to give early years an increased hourly rate</li> <li>Reserves have also been used to ease some of the pressure in the High Needs Block</li> </ul>	
RECOMMENDATION/S	
That the Schools Forum:	
1. Note the contents of the report – report was noted	
 3c – Central School Services Block Funding 2018-19	
Sue Summerscales presented the paper and took the members through each line. The copyright licenses does not require approval, but it was good practice to share this with members.	
Helen Atkins asked what services are included within Education Welfare? Officers replied, it includes services such as persistent absences and the team around this. Dick Vasey queried which services are included under the Strategy, Finance and Information section? Sue Summerscales replied, It includes the schools formula and budgets	

# **RECOMMENDATION/S**

### That the Schools Forum:

1. Approve the Authority's application to centrally retain funding within the Central School Services Block for the services set out at Appendix A

Votes for	Votes against	Abstentions	
17	0	0	

## 2. Approve the transfer of £153k to the Schools Block

Votes for	Votes against	Abstentions
17	0	0

#### 3d – Pupil Growth Fund (staffing and non-staffing) 2018-19

Mike Sharpe presented the paper

Point 18 – growth in pupil numbers is now been felt in key stage 3 and secondary schools are advising the local authority that the lagged funding is becoming a problem for them.

Marion confirmed that it was now time to agree a secondary growth fund, equivalent of the primary pupil growth.

Carlo Cuomo clarified that 'Basic Need' was where there was an increase in demographic pressure and the effects of this on schools, and was not where a school was changing admissions criteria and voluntarily recruiting above PAN. The forum was asked to form a working party made up of secondary members to set out principles around establishing a workable criteria for a secondary pupil growth fund. A date has already been booked for the **10<sup>th</sup> July** for colleagues who would wish to take part.

Sue Summerscales commented that there is no extra money and that any secondary growth fund would come from the schools block; any decisions would need to be modelled to show impact. A discussion was also required around what would need to be consulted on accompanied with appropriate financial modelling. Dick Vasey asked, is the pupil growth in year, and if the AWPU was not enough? Mike Sharpe confirmed no; it was transferred from primary to secondary Secondary is different to primary as it's not a case of an extra form, curriculum and teacher arrangements are more complicated in a secondary school.

Marion commented that what was required from the working group were principles for funding

#### **RECOMMENDATION/S**

That the Schools Forum:

- 1. Notes the content of the report and agrees the allocations made from the growth fund for Autumn 18 and Spring 19
- 2. Notes the content of the report and agrees the indicative allocations for Summer 19

	3.			d throughout 2019-20, & for future years, in light of any	
		Above 3 points noted	d and agreed		
	4.	Establish a working secondary growth		me criteria and principles for a	
		The following member	ers volunteered: -		
		James MacDonald Neil Holmes David Bell			
36	e – F	uture staffing arrang	jements to support h	earing and impaired pupils	
		Ray presented the pa	• • •	at the money is already within the	
re im It	ecruit npaire is a r	2 teachers for hearing ed pupils next year.	g impaired pupils this y rds recruitment of such	the report, explained the plan to year and 2 teachers for visually in teachers, therefore we would	
Si Se M pl Si no	imon end tl larior aces imon ow.	Ray confirmed it is on nem to Birmingham. Clay asked, could we are booked very quic Ray replied that it wa	e reserve the visually i kly.	we start the recruitment process	
	/otes	for	Votes against	Abstentions	
1	17		0	0	
	hat tl	MMENDATION/S ne Schools Forum: Notes the contents	of the report		
		Report was noted			

Votes for	Votes against	Abstentions	
17	0	0	
	·		
3f – High Needs Block Bud	laet Monitoring		
of Thigh Needs Block Bu	iget monitoring		
Steve Hawkins presented th	e paper.		
cost of tribunals from the De	partment of Education nately there was no ave	enues for Local Authorities to	
RECOMMENDATION/S			
That the Schools Forum:			
1. Notes the contents	of the report		
Report was noted			
3g – High Needs Block rev	view update		
Young People with High New the report. Gave a brief history of the D how the current National Fa	eds in Nottinghamshire SG, the historical fundi ir Funding Formula was t it has not balanced fu	ers 'Funding for Children and ' and gave a presentation around ng allocated to Local Authorities, s meant to balance this out, and nding or had the desired effect. rrent cap of 3% per year on	
		nose that receive significantly	
<b>.</b> .		he lower funded authorities that school places was not necessarily	
Peter Gray advised the exec that members will eventually		lavour of a much bigger report	
	responsibility yet no fir	egic change in mind set. For ancial contribution is made from	
As a forum we should make	ourserves neard		
small amount of this budget by Nottinghamshire Local A Colin to send information to	is met by the HNB; the uthority, the amount be Toni Gardner which ne issues with their repres	hool transport budget. Only a majority of the budget is paid for ing around £8m eds circulating to members, and sentative areas. The combined LA	TG
Marion Clay advised a furthe Partnership with schools, pa		back in the next few months. to go forward.	

	A question was asked, have Independent Non Maintained (INM) been part of this report? Peter Gray replied that he had not talked in detail with any of the INM, and felt we need to be clear and have a strong stance on what we want first.		
	Peter Gray mentioned the locality of Portland and the Post 16 facility, cost and the need to look at supporting the children to be as independent as possible.		
	Colin Pettigrew expressed caution here' in the past £0.5m was taken out of the budget to train pupils to be more independent, in the first year 200 pupils were trained, last year only 30 pupils		
4.	Any Other Business		
	Joe Jefferies commented that new members will not know that he is an F40 member. He expressed his disappointment that NCC, as a founder member, had withdrawn from the F40 group, the cost was £1,000 per annum which is not a large expense to be part of the group Colin Pettigrew replied that this was a political decision; it's an annual subscription that Nottinghamshire was not renewing, and that the F40 group had not been effective in increasing funding for Nottinghamshire.		
6.	Confidentiality           No items were identified as confidential.		
7.	Date and time of next meeting		
	Tuesday 18th September 2018 2-4pm National Watersports Centre		
	Thursday 18 <sup>th</sup> October 2018 2-4pm National Watersports Centre		
	Thursday 6th December 2018         2-4pm National Watersports Centre		