

DSG- NCC-030956-18

Dear Requester,

Further to your recent Freedom of information request, Please see below.

I have forwarded the request to the district and Borough offices that may have already responded to you in regards to most of the data as this is not held with us.

We hope this now satisfies your request. Should you have any further enquiry please do not hesitate to contact me directly on the details below.

In addition to this and for future reference Nottinghamshire County Council regularly publishes previous FOIR,s and answers on its website, under Disclosure logs. (see link) <http://site.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/thecouncil/democracy/freedom-of-information/disclosure-log/>

You can use the search facility using keywords.

If you are unhappy with the service you have received in relation to your request and wish to make a complaint or request a review of our decision, you should write to the Team Manager, Complaints and Information Team, County Hall, West Bridgford, Nottingham, NG2 7QP or email complaints@nottscc.gov.uk.

Kind Regards

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to make a request for information to Nottinghamshire County Council under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Please send me answers to the following questions:

- What was your Disabled Facilities Grant budget for the period from 1st April 2016 to 31 March 2017?

As indicated in the table below:

Local Authority	16/17 allocation
Ashfield	£ 743,713
Bassetlaw	£ 917,848
Broxtowe	£ 676,273
Gedling	£ 820,019
Mansfield	£ 993,620
Newark & Sher	£ 803,085
Rushcliffe	£ 520,855

HWB	£5,475,413
-----	------------

- What proportion of the budget mentioned above was spent on DFGs during the period from 1st April 2016 to 31 March 2017?

How do you want to interpret “spent”? Funding comes to NCC to distribute to the districts. From an NCC perspective there was a full spend, i.e. response would be “All of the budget in response to question 1 was spent”. But from a district perspective there is some slippage between years (which we account for in the DFG allocation).

- How many applications for DFGs did you receive in the period from 1st April 2016 to 31 March 2017?

Data not collated for this condition. Each district council will have own

- How many applications for DFGs did you receive from people living with motor neurone disease in the period from 1st April 2016 to 31 March 2017?

Data not collated for this condition. Each district council will have own

- How many applications for DFGs were rejected in the period from 1st April 2016 to 31 March 2017?

Data not collated. Data not collated for this condition. Each district council will have own

- How many applications for DFGs from people living with motor neurone disease were rejected in the period from 1st April 2016 to 31 March 2017?

Data not collated for this condition. Each district council will have own

- Please provide a breakdown of the reasons for rejecting DFG applications in the period from 1st April 2016 to 31 March 2017.

Data not collated for this condition. Each district council will have own

- What proportion of DFG applications received during the period from 1st April 2016 to 31st March 2017 received a decision within the nationally recommended target timescale of 6 months?

Data not collated for this condition. Each district council will have own

- What proportion of approved DFG applications received during the period from 1st April 2016 to 31st March 2017 received funding within the nationally recommended target timescale of 1 year?

Data not collated for this condition. Each district council will have own

- Do you currently have a fast –track application process in place to provide DFG funding more rapidly to applicants with urgent needs? Each case is considered on an assessed needs basis by Adult Care and childrens and young people’s services. If the adaptation is feasible and cost effective it should be prioritised, progressed and processed in a timely way. Each

district council will have their own process for dealing with the progress of each adaptation case.

- How many applications were made under the fast track process (if applicable) during the period from 1st April 2016 to 31st March 2017, and how many of these were approved?

Data not collated for this condition. Each district council will have own

- How many requests for funding under £1000 for equipment and adaptations were received during the period from 1st April 2016 to 31st March 2017, and how many of these were approved? answer is none. However Each district council may have own figures

During the year 2016/17 ICELS received 3,052 requests for minor adaptation works under £1000.

Of those 2,551 were finalised and approved for completion

The DFG allocation is not utilised for equipment and adaptations.

If this request is too wide or unclear, I would be grateful if you could contact me as I understand that under the Act, you are required to advise and assist requesters. If any of this information is already in the public domain, please can you direct me to it, with page references and URLs if necessary.

I understand that you are required to respond to my request within the 20 working days after you receive this letter. I would be grateful if you could confirm in writing that you have received this request.

I look forward to hearing from you.