The Nottinghamshire Economic Development Capital Fund
Stage 1 Assessment – Individual Scorer Record
Name of Project …… Unique Reference No. ……..
Name of Scorer ……..
Date ……

Criterion	Score	Notes	Score	Comments
2.1 Project Description				
The proposal offers a business case providing sufficient justification for the project, including : • A clear case for how the proposal will o improve productivity o grow the business o create new jobs • Evidence of need and/or demand • A clear case for the viability of the proposal	Up to 3 points for each of the identified bullet points 0-9 max	Scoring: 0 – insufficient information 1 – poor information 2 – good information 3 – excellent information This will also be explored in more detail by the Stage 2 assessors	(max 9)	
2.2: Project Outputs				
This criterion will be tested in more detail at Stage 2 so Stage 1 is a comparison at a general level. However, for this criterion to be assessed, the applicant MUST provide estimates of both costs of the project and the no. of new jobs to be created.	0-3 Weighted x2, max score 6	The benchmark costs per job is £10,000 of total public sector input per job Scoring: 0 – insufficient information 1 – cost per job higher than benchmark 2 – at / around the benchmark 3 – cost per job below benchmark Final score weighted x2	(max 6)	

85% jobs created at the Living Wage Foundation Living Wage rate £8.45	3 points	Either 3 points or none	(max 3)	
2.3: Additional Benefits				
The applicant provides an explanation of how the activity will result in benefits for the wider community, specifically referencing • action plans on recruitment and training (inc. apprenticeships etc); • the potential for supply chain opportunities and • potential environmental / equality considerations.	0-3	Scoring: 0 – insufficient information 1 – poor information 2 – good information 3 – excellent information	(max 3)	
2.4: Timeframes & Deliverability				
The proposal is realistic / deliverable based on analysis of the following: The timescales and milestones set out are judged to be reasonable for the type of activity proposed;	0-3	Scoring: 0 – insufficient information 1 – poor information 2 – good information 3 – excellent information	(max3)	
2.5: Barriers				
Barriers to delivery have been identified and suitable mitigation has been proposed to the identified risks; (if the applicant states there are no barriers, this suggests the application is unrealistic and would result in a nil score		0 – insufficient information or 1 – poor information 2 – good information 3 – excellent information	(max3)	

3: Costs & Funding				
The proposal offers leverage to support meeting the Fund's overall outputs	0-3 Weighted x2, max score 6	The benchmark being the Fund's overall ambitions of 1:2 leverage Scoring: 0 – insufficient information 1 - below benchmark 2 – at / around the leverage benchmark 3 – better than benchmark	(max 6)	
			Score	*Comments* *(incl any ref to potential feedback and other sources of funding potentially available to the applicant)*
Maximum Score for Stage 1	*33*			

Signature of Scorer ……..

Date ……

Once both scorers have completed the assessment, please proceed to moderation stage.