

Worksheet 1: Assessment of Security Sub-objective

Security Indicator	Relative importance (High/Medium/Low)	Without strategy (Poor/Moderate/High)	With strategy (Poor/Moderate/High)
Site perimeters, entrances and exits	N/A	N/A	N/A
Formal surveillance	Medium	Moderate – CCTV in operation, 3 cameras in total used 24 hours a day.	High – effective CCTV will be in place.
Informal surveillance	Medium	High – Close proximity to High Street retailers, library and market place.	High – Close proximity to High Street retailers, library and market place.
Landscaping	Medium	Moderate – some provision but lack of space on the High Street restricts success of measures. High Street can be very crowded because of narrow footpaths.	High – positive provision and use of landscaping features should open up visibility and deter anti-social behaviour.
Lighting and visibility	High	Moderate – existing High Street does have ‘dark’ spots but High Street lit up at all times.	High – Design will try to avoid recesses ensuring CCTV can monitor whole of High Street at all times of day without hindrance.
Emergency call	Medium	Moderate – public telephones on High Street in case of an emergency.	Moderate – public telephones on High Street in case of an emergency.

Approximate numbers of users affected: **10,090 pedestrian users have been counted on the High Street.**

Overall assessment of impact on Security sub-objective (slight/moderate/large positive/negative or neutral): **Slight Positive**

Reference Source(s): **WebTag 3.4.2**

Qualitative comments: **Security (perceived and actual) for public transport users and pedestrians in general should increase as a result of the scheme being built.**