### Appendix 1: Summer Play Scheme 2015: Data Table 1: Applications received, recommendations and awards by district

District	Applications	Recommended by NCC	Declined by Group	Play Schemes delivered
Ashfield	3	3	1	2
Bassetlaw	3	3	0	3
Broxtowe	2	2	0	2
Gedling	2	2	0	2
Mansfield	11	10	4	6
Newark & Sherwood	4	4	0	4
Rushcliffe	3	3	0	3
Countywide Totals	28	27	5	22

## Note:

- Each application was assessed against the agreed criteria.
- Assessors made recommendations using a formula based on the cost per child, per hour.
- Assessors agreed to fund up to a maximum of £1.10 per child, per hour.
- For any applicant that was funded in 2014, the recommendation made is for the group to receive a similar amount to last year unless they demonstrated evidenced of a significant change in the service/project.
- Where groups requested less than the amount generated by the formula, assessors recommended the lesser amount be award.
- After the assessment, The C&VS team completed light-touch moderation and any queries were resolved with the Youth Service.
- All recommendations were subject to a satisfactory pre-start visit.
- Four out of the 22 awards were not previously funded.
- The summer Play Schemes were open to Nottinghamshire children aged 5-14 years.

## Table 2: Funding awarded and intended outcomes

Reporting Criteria	Data	Comments
Total amount of funding applied for	£25,695	
Recommended (27 Play Schemes)	£16,499	
Total amount awarded (22 Play Schemes)	£15,040	Five Play Schemes declined their award.
Total number of Play Scheme sessions (planned)	204 sessions	An average of 34 Play Scheme sessions per week received a Grant Aid contribution during the school summer holidays in Nottinghamshire.
Total number of Play Scheme hours (planned)	554 hours	
Total number of attendances (planned)	3,020 CYP	
Number of individual attendances (planned)	1,787 CYP	

# Table 3: Summer Play Scheme Grant Aid 2015 - Amounts awarded

District	Organisation Name	Amount Awarded
Ashfield	Huthwaite Community Action Group	£975
Ashfield	Selston Parish Council	£550
Bassetlaw	Blue Skies Community Initiative	£955
Bassetlaw	Friends of Ordsall Primary	£880
Bassetlaw	Worksop OASIS Community Church / Centre	£975
Broxtowe	Bramcote Hills Community Association Play Scheme	£526
Broxtowe	Toton Holiday Zone	£292
Gedling	Going Bananas	£468
Gedling	St James Porchester & Westdale Baptist Church Holiday Club	£1,000
Mansfield	Friends of Robin Hood Association	£1,000
Mansfield	Lighthouse Mansfield	£1,000
Mansfield	Meden Vale Play Scheme	£460
Mansfield	Pleasley Play Scheme	£341
Mansfield	Rainworth and Blidworth Detached Youth Project	£780
Mansfield	Sutton Road School Parents Group	£660
Newark & Sherwood	Elston Play Scheme	£360
Newark & Sherwood	Hetts Lane Holiday Club	£495
Newark & Sherwood	Newark and Sherwood Play Support Group	£1,000
Newark & Sherwood	Stacy's Youth Club	£1,000
Rushcliffe	Cotgrave Playdays	£300
Rushcliffe	Radcliffe Family Playdays	£390
Rushcliffe	Sutton Bonnington Play Scheme	£633

## Table 4: Summer Play Scheme Grant Aid 2015 - Awards declined

The following groups were recommended for funding, however the groups declined their award for the reasons stated below:

District	Organisation Name	Reason for declining award	
Ashfield	Saplings Stay and Play	Lack of volunteers.	
Mansfield	Mansfield Play Forum <i>Carr Bank</i>	<ul> <li>Having considered other options, the group reported that they could not deliver a quality Summer Play Scheme for the money allocated</li> <li>(note that the funding offer was less than what was applied for).</li> </ul>	
Mansfield	Mansfield Play Forum Oak Tree		
Mansfield	Mansfield Play Forum Unit 31		
Mansfield	Titchfield and Oakham Family Forum	Having considered other options, the group reported that they could not deliver a quality Summer Play Scheme for the money allocated (note that the funding offer was less than what was applied for).	

## Summer Play Scheme Grant Aid 2015 - Application not recommended

A Place to Call Our Own Ltd (based in Mansfield) applied for £990 from the Summer Play Scheme Fund as a contribution towards transport costs. Their application was not recommended as transport costs are not funded under this theme.