Audit opinion plan

Nottinghamshire Pension Fund

Audit 2010/11

March 2011



The Audit Commission is an independent watchdog, driving economy, efficiency and effectiveness in local public services to deliver better outcomes for everyone.

Our work across local government, health, housing, community safety and fire and rescue services means that we have a unique perspective. We promote value for money for taxpayers, auditing the £200 billion spent by 11,000 local public bodies.

As a force for improvement, we work in partnership to assess local public services and make practical recommendations for promoting a better quality of life for local people.

Contents

Introduction	2
Responsibilities	3
Fee for the audit	4
Specific actions Nottinghamshire Pension Fund could take to reduce its audit fees	5
Auditors report on the financial statements	6
Materiality	6
Identifying opinion audit risks	6
Testing strategy	7
Key milestones and deadlines	8
The audit team	9
Independence and objectivity	9
Meetings	9
Quality of service	9
Planned outputs	. 10
Appendix 1 Basis for fee	.11
Assumptions	.11
Appendix 2 Independence and objectivity	.12
Appendix 3 Working together	.14
Meetings	. 14
Sustainability	. 14
Appendix 4 Glossary	.15

Introduction

This plan sets out the audit work that I propose to undertake for the audit of the pension fund's 2010/11 financial statements

- 1 The plan is based on the Audit Commission's risk-based approach to audit planning, which assesses:
- current national risks relevant to your local circumstances; and
- your local risks.

Responsibilities

The Audit Commission's Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and of Audited Bodies sets out the respective responsibilities of the auditor and the audited body. The Audit Commission has issued a copy of the Statement to every audited body.

2 The Statement summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body begin and end and I undertake my audit work to meet these responsibilities.

3 I comply with the statutory requirements governing our audit work, in particular:

- the Audit Commission Act 1998; and
- the Code of Audit Practice.

4 Specifically, the work of auditors on pension fund accounts is defined by the Auditing Practices Board practice note 15 on the audit of pension fund accounts.

Fee for the audit

The indicative fee for the audit is £49,500.

5 The fee for the audit is £49,500, as indicated in my letter to the Chief Executive of 1 June 2010.

- 6 In setting the fee, I have assumed that:
- the level of risk in relation to the audit of accounts is consistent with that for 2009/10;
- good quality, accurate working papers are available at the start of the financial statements audit.

7 Where these assumptions are not met, I will be required to undertake additional work which is likely to result in an increased audit fee. Where this is the case, I will discuss this first with the Service Director - Finance and I will issue supplements to the plan to record any revisions to the risk and the impact on the fee.

8 Further information on the basis for the fee is set out in Appendix 1.

Specific actions Nottinghamshire Pension Fund could take to reduce its audit fees

9 The Audit Commission requires its auditors to inform audited bodies of specific actions it could take to reduce its audit fees. As in previous years, I will work with officers to identify any specific actions that the Pension Fund could take and provide ongoing support.

10 The Audit Commission has indicated that it intends to continue to keep its scale of fees under review in the light of the 2010/11 audit costs. We will discuss the Audit Commission's proposals with you when they are published.

Auditors report on the financial statements

I will carry out the audit of the financial statements in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) issued by the Auditing Practices Board (APB).

11 I am required to issue an audit report giving my opinion on whether the accounts give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Pension Fund as at 31 March 2011.

12 I am also required to review the pension fund annual report as per the LGPS regulations 1997.

Materiality

13 I will apply the concept of materiality in both planning and performing the audit, in evaluating the effect of any identified misstatements, and in forming my opinion.

Identifying opinion audit risks

14 I need to understand fully the audited body to identify any risk of material misstatement (whether due to fraud or error) in the financial statements. I do this by:

- identifying the business risks facing the Pension Fund, including assessing your own risk management arrangements;
- considering the financial performance of the Pension Fund;
- assessing internal control including reviewing the control environment, the IT control environment and Internal Audit; and
- assessing the risk of material misstatement arising from the activities and controls within the Pension Fund information systems.

15 At this stage of my audit planning I have not identified any significant risks of material misstatement which require me to carry out additional specific audit procedures. I will update my risk assessment as the audit progresses and if necessary provide a revised audit plan to the Audit Committee.

Testing strategy

On the basis of risks identified above I will produce a testing strategy which will consist of testing key controls and/or substantive tests of transaction streams and material account balances at year end.

16 I can carry out the testing both before and after the draft financial statements have been produced (pre- and post-statement testing).

17 Wherever possible, I will complete some substantive testing earlier in the year before the financial statements are available for audit. I have identified the following areas where substantive testing may be carried out early.

- Review of accounting policies.
- Bank reconciliation.
- Investments verification and liaison with Admitted Body auditors regarding the work of the Actuary and the IAS19 reports

18 I will also seek to rely on the work of other auditors and experts, as appropriate, to meet my responsibilities. For 2010/11, I again plan to rely where applicable and as far as possible on the financial systems audit work carried out on the Nottinghamshire County Council audit. This includes the main accounting, payroll and creditors systems which are common to both the County Council and Pension Fund.

19 I also plan to rely on the work of the pension fund's experts in the following areas:

- Investment Property Valuations These valuations are provided annually by an independent property valuer.
- Pension liabilities disclosures pension fund administering authorities are required from 2010/11 to report future retirement benefits within the pension fund accounts. The estimates for this disclosure to be provided by the Actuary to the fund.

20 I will liaise with both sets of experts to confirm my understanding of their work and to evaluate its relevance and reliability. The Audit Commission employs its own expert (Gerald Eve) to produce a report on trends in the property market which I shall take account of in auditing the investment property figures. The Audit Commission also employs its own expert (PwC) to produce a report on the trends and findings that the actuary is reporting which I shall take account of in auditing the future retirement benefit disclosures and the IAS19 reports to Admitted Bodies.

Key milestones and deadlines

The Pension Fund is required to prepare the financial statements by 30 June 2011. I am required to complete the audit and issue the opinion by 30 September 2011.

21 The key stages in producing and auditing the financial statements are in Table 2.

22 I will agree with officers a schedule of working papers required to support the entries in the financial statements. During the audit, the audit team will meet regularly with the key contact and review the status of all queries.

Table 1: Proposed timetable Activity Date Control and early substantive testing March 2011 Receipt of accounts June 2011 Sending audit working papers to the auditor June 2011 Start of detailed testing June 2011 Weekly Progress meetings Present report to those charged with September 2011 governance at the Audit Committee Issue opinion By 30 September 2011

Table 3 shows the key members of the audit team for the 2010/11 audit.

Table 2: Audit team						
Name	Contact details	Responsibilities				
Andrew Blackburn District Auditor	a-blackburn@audit- commission.gov.uk 0844 798 4361	Responsible for the overall delivery of the audit including the quality of outputs and signing the opinion.				
Mike Norman Audit Manager	ma-norman@audit- commission.gov.uk 0844 798 4403	Manages and coordinates the different elements of the audit work.				

Independence and objectivity

23 I am not aware of any relationships that may affect the independence and objectivity of the District Auditor and the audit staff, which I am required by auditing and ethical standards to communicate to you.

24 I comply with the ethical standards issued by the APB and with the Commission's requirements in respect of independence and objectivity as summarised in Appendix 2.

Meetings

25 The audit team will ensure we have knowledge of your issues to inform our risk-based audit through regular liaison with key officers. Our proposals are set out in Appendix 3.

Quality of service

26 I aim to provide you with a fully satisfactory audit service. If, however, you are unable to deal with any difficulty through me and my team please contact Chris Westwood, Director of Professional Practice, Audit Practice, Audit Commission, 1st Floor, Millbank Tower, Millbank, London SW1P 4HQ (c-westwood@audit-commission.gov.uk) who will look into any complaint promptly and to do what he can to resolve the position.

27 If you are still not satisfied you may of course take up the matter with the Audit Commission's Complaints Investigation Officer (The Audit Commission, Westward House, Lime Kiln Close, Stoke Gifford, Bristol BS34 8SR).

Planned outputs

28 My team will discuss and agree reports with the right officers before issuing them to the Audit Committee.

Table 3: Planned outputs					
Planned output	Indicative date				
Annual governance report	by 30 September 2011				
Auditor's report giving an opinion on the financial statements	by 30 September 2011				
Final accounts memorandum (if required)	30 November 2011				

Appendix 1 Basis for fee

The Audit Commission is committed to targeting its work where it will have the greatest effect, based upon assessments of risk and performance. This means planning work to address areas of risk relevant to our audit responsibilities and reflecting this in the audit fees.

The risk assessment process starts with the identification of the significant financial and operational risks applying to the Pension Fund with reference to:

- my cumulative knowledge of the Pension Fund;
 - planning guidance issued by the Audit Commission;
 - the specific results of previous and ongoing audit work;
- interviews with Pension Fund officers; and
- liaison with Internal Audit.

Assumptions

In setting the fee, I have assumed that:

- the level of risk in relation to the audit of the financial statements is not significantly different from that identified for 2009/10;
- you will inform me of significant developments impacting on the audit;
- you provide:
 - good quality working papers and records to support the financial statements at the start of the audit;
 - information asked for within agreed timescales;
 - prompt responses to draft reports; and
- there is no allowance for extra work needed to address questions or objections raised by local government electors.

Where these assumptions are not met, I will be required to undertake additional work which is likely to result in an increased audit fee.

Appendix 2 Independence and objectivity

Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission are required to comply with the Commission's Code of Audit Practice and Standing Guidance for Auditors, which defines the terms of the appointment. When auditing the financial statements, auditors are also required to comply with auditing standards and ethical standards issued by the Auditing Practices Board (APB).

The main requirements of the Code of Audit Practice, Standing Guidance for Auditors and the standards are summarised below.

International Standard on Auditing (UK and Ireland) 260 (Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance) requires that the appointed auditor:

- discloses in writing all relationships that may bear on the auditor's objectivity and independence, the related safeguards put in place to protect against these threats and the total amount of fee that the auditor has charged the client; and
- confirms in writing that the APB's ethical standards are complied with and that, in the auditor's professional judgement, they are independent and their objectivity is not compromised.

The standard defines 'those charged with governance' as 'those persons entrusted with the supervision, control and direction of an entity'. In your case, the appropriate addressee of communications from the auditor to those charged with governance is the Audit Committee. The auditor reserves the right, however, to communicate directly with the Council or the Pensions Committee on matters which are considered to be of sufficient importance.

The Commission's Code of Audit Practice has an overriding general requirement that appointed auditors carry out their work independently and objectively, and ensure that they do not act in any way that might give rise to, or could reasonably be perceived to give rise to, a conflict of interest. In particular, appointed auditors and their staff should avoid entering into any official, professional or personal relationships which may, or could reasonably be perceived to, cause them inappropriately or unjustifiably to limit the scope, extent or rigour of their work or impair the objectivity of their judgement.

The Standing Guidance for Auditors includes a number of specific rules. The key rules relevant to this audit appointment are as follows.

Appointed auditors should not perform additional work for an audited body (ie work over and above the minimum required to meet their statutory responsibilities) if it would compromise their independence or might give rise to a reasonable perception that their independence could be compromised. Where the audited body invites the auditor to carry out risk-based work in a particular area that cannot otherwise be justified as necessary to support the auditor's opinion and conclusions, it should be clearly differentiated within the Audit and Inspection Plan as being 'additional work' and charged for separately from the normal audit fee.

- Auditors should not accept engagements that involve commenting on the performance of other auditors appointed by the Commission on Commission work without first consulting the Commission.
- The District Auditor responsible for the audit should, in all but the most exceptional circumstances, be changed at least once every seven years, with additional safeguards in the last two years.
- The District Auditor and senior members of the audit team are prevented from taking part in political activity on behalf of a political party, or special interest group, whose activities relate directly to the functions of local government or NHS bodies in general, or to a particular local government or NHS body.

The District Auditor and members of the audit team must abide by the Commission's policy on gifts, hospitality and entertainment.

Appendix 3 Working together

Meetings

The audit team will ensure we have knowledge of your issues to inform our risk-based audit through regular liaison with key officers.

My proposal for the meetings is as follows.

Table 4: Proposed meetings with officers						
Council officers	Audit Commission staff	Timing	Purpose			
Service Director and/or Investments/ Pensions Team	AM and/or Team Leader	Quarterly	General updates plus audit plan, accounts progress and annual governance report			
Audit Committee	DA and/or AM	As determined by the Committee	Formal reporting of the Audit Plan, Annual governance report and other issues as appropriate			

Sustainability

29 The Audit Commission is committed to promoting sustainability in our working practices and I will actively consider opportunities to reduce our impact on the environment. This will include:

- reducing paper flow by encouraging you to submit documentation and working papers electronically;
- use of video and telephone conferencing for meetings as appropriate; and
- reducing travel.

Appendix 4 Glossary

Audit of the accounts

The audit of the accounts of an audited body comprises all work carried out by auditors in accordance with the Code to meet their statutory responsibilities under the Audit Commission Act 1998.

Audited body

A body to which the Audit Commission is responsible for appointing the external auditor, comprising both the members of the body and its management (the senior officers of the body). Those charged with governance are the members of the audited body. (See also 'Members' and 'Those charged with governance'.)

Auditing Practices Board (APB)

The body responsible in the UK for issuing auditing standards, ethical standards and other guidance to auditors. Its objectives are to establish high standards of auditing that meet the developing needs of users of financial information and to ensure public confidence in the auditing process.

Auditing standards

Pronouncements of the APB, which contain basic principles and essential procedures with which auditors are required to comply, except where otherwise stated in the auditing standard concerned.

Auditor(s)

Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission.

Code (the)

The Code of Audit Practice.

Commission (the)

The Audit Commission for Local Authorities and the National Health Service in England.

Ethical Standards

Pronouncements of the APB that contain basic principles that apply to the conduct of audits and with which auditors are required to comply, except where otherwise stated in the standard concerned.

Financial statements

The annual statement of accounts or accounting statements that audited bodies are required to prepare, which summarise the accounts of the audited body, in accordance with regulations and proper practices in relation to accounts.

Internal control

The whole system of controls, financial and otherwise, that is established in order to provide reasonable assurance of effective and efficient operations, internal financial control and compliance with laws and regulations.

Materiality (and significance)

The APB defines this concept as 'an expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of the financial statements as a whole. A matter is material if its omission would reasonably influence the decisions of an addressee of the auditor's report; likewise a misstatement is material if it would have a similar influence. Materiality is not capable of general mathematical definition, as it has both qualitative and quantitative aspects'.

Those charged with governance

Those charged with governance are defined in auditing standards as 'those persons entrusted with the supervision, control and direction of an entity'.