

**SUMMONS TO COUNCIL**

date Thursday, 24 November 2016 venue County Hall, West Bridgford,  
**commencing at 10:30** Nottingham

You are hereby requested to attend the above Meeting to be held at the time/place and on the date mentioned above for the purpose of transacting the business on the Agenda as under.



Chief Executive

- |           |  |         |
|-----------|--|---------|
| <b>1</b>  | Minutes of the last meeting held on 15 September 2016  | 9 - 28  |
| <br>      |  |         |
| <b>2</b>  | Apologies for Absence  |         |
| <br>      |  |         |
| <b>3</b>  | Declarations of Interests by Members and Officers:- (see note below)<br>(a) Disclosable Pecuniary Interests<br>(b) Private Interests (pecuniary and non-pecuniary) |         |
| <b>4</b>  | Chairman's Business<br>a) Presentation of Awards/Certificates (if any)   |         |
| <br>      |  |         |
| <b>5</b>  | Constituency Issues (see note 4)   |         |
| <br>      |  |         |
| <b>6a</b> | Presentation of Petitions (if any) (see note 5 below)  |         |
| <br>      |  |         |
| <b>6b</b> | Responses to Petitions Presented to the Chairman of the County Council   | 29 - 40 |
| <br>      |  |         |
| <b>7</b>  | Clarification of Committee Meeting Minutes published since the last meeting  | 41 - 42 |

<b>8</b>	Submission of Minerals Local Plan for Independent Examination	43 - 72
<b>9</b>	Revised Financial Regulations	73 - 124
<b>10</b>	Changes to the Arrangements for Appointing External Auditors	125 - 128
<b>11</b>	Questions	
	a) Questions to Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire Authority	
	b) Questions to Committee Chairmen	
<b>12</b>	NOTICE OF MOTIONS	

#### MOTION ONE

“This Council deplores the planned central government cuts to funding for pharmacies which could lead to the closure of 3000 community pharmacies across the country.

The result, upon the people of Nottinghamshire, of such a short-sighted policy would be devastating in terms of access to medical care, social cohesion and community life. It would also result in an increasing, and unsupportable, pressure on both General Practitioner appointment, and Accident and Emergency waiting, times.

Therefore, this Council calls upon the Government not to implement the planned reductions in funding to pharmacies and, further,

a) pledges our support for the campaign of the National Pharmacy Association (NPA) against the planned reductions.

b) agrees to contact the Leaders of all District and Borough councils in Nottingham seeking their support, and that of their authorities, for the NPA campaign.

c) agrees to contact all Nottinghamshire Members of Parliament seeking similar support.”

**Councillor John Wilkinson**

**Councillor Alan Rhodes**

## MOTION TWO

“The Bus Services Bill currently passing through Parliament includes Clause 21 that will effectively ‘prohibit a local authority from forming a company for the purposes of providing a local bus service’

That the Localism Act (2011) provides general powers of competence to local authorities

That municipal bus companies like Nottingham provide some of the best bus services in the country and have a successful track record of increasing bus passenger numbers and providing high quality bus services

Nottinghamshire County Council believes that:

Clause 21 contradicts the general powers of competence and the spirit of the Localism Act 2011

If there is a need and a demand from the residents, then Councils should be able to provide their own bus service

Councils should be legally able to follow the model developed by Nottingham.

Consequently Clause 21 should be omitted from the Bus Service Bill

This Council resolves:

Nottinghamshire County Council will write to Lord Ahmad and call on the Department for Transport to omit Clause 21 from the final legislation.

To write to all Nottinghamshire Members of Parliament to ask them to oppose clause 21 when the Bus Services Bill reaches the House of Commons and ask them to write Lord Ahmad and the Department of Transport to raise concerns about Clause 21.”

**Councillor Steve Calvert**

**Councillor Steve Carr**

### MOTION THREE

That the Bus Services Bill currently passing through Parliament includes Clause 21 that will effectively “prohibit a local authority from forming a company for the purposes of providing a local bus service”.

That the Localism Act (2011) provides general powers of competence to local authorities.

That municipal bus companies like Reading and Nottingham provide some of the best bus services in the country and have a successful track record of increasing bus passenger numbers and providing high quality bus services.

That polling by We Own It found that a majority of the public (57%) oppose clause 21, whilst just 22% support it. The opposition to Clause 21 is consistent across voters from all political parties.

This Council believes:

Clause 21 contradicts the general powers of competence and the spirit of the Localism Act 2011.

If there is a need and a demand from their public, then Councils should be able to provide their own bus services.

Should they wish, Councils should be legally able to follow the model developed by Reading and Nottingham.

Consequently Clause 21 should be omitted from the Bus Services Bill.

This council resolves:

To write to Lord Ahmad and to call on the Department for Transport to omit Clause 21 from the final legislation.

To write to local MP's to ask them to oppose clause 21 when the Bus Services Bill reaches the House of Commons and ask them to write to Lord Ahmad and the Department of Transport to raise concerns about Clause 21.

To work with any organisations such as we own it to publicise our opposition to clause 21 in local media.

**Councillor Rachel Madden**

**Councillor David Martin**

**13** ADJOURNMENT DEBATE  
(if any)

Notes:-

**(A) For Councillors**

(1) Members will be informed of the date of their Group meeting for Council by their Group Researcher.

(2) The Chairman has agreed that the Council will adjourn for lunch at their discretion.

(3) (a) Persons making a declaration of interest should have regard to the Code of Conduct and the Procedure Rules for Meetings of the Full Council. Those declaring must indicate whether their interest is a disclosable pecuniary interest or a private interest and the reasons for the declaration.

(b) Any member or officer who declares a disclosable pecuniary interest in an item must withdraw from the meeting during discussion and voting upon it, unless a dispensation has been granted. Members or officers requiring clarification on whether to make a declaration of interest are invited to contact the Monitoring Officer or Democratic Services prior to the meeting.

(c) Declarations of interest will be recorded and included in the minutes of this meeting and it is therefore important that clear details are given by members and others in turn, to enable Democratic Services to record accurate information.

(4) At any Full Council meeting except the annual meeting, a special meeting and the budget meeting, Members are given an opportunity to speak for up to three minutes on any issues which specifically relates to their division and is relevant to the services provided by the County Council. These speeches must relate specifically to the area the Member represents and should not be of a general nature. They are constituency speeches and therefore must relate to constituency issues only. This is an opportunity simply to air these issues in a Council meeting. It will not give rise to a debate on the issues or a question or answer session. There is a maximum time limit of 30 minutes for this item.

(5) Members are reminded that petitions can be presented from their seat with a 1 minute time limit set on introducing the petition.

