The table lists all the divisions we are proposing as part of our final recommendations along with the number of voters per county councillor. The table also shows the electoral variances for each of the proposed divisions which tells you how we have delivered electoral equality.

Division name		No. of cilrs	Number of electors per councillor (2020)	Variance from average %	Division name		No. of cilrs	Number of electors per councillor (2020)	Variance from average %
Λehfi	eld District				Mansfield District				
1	Ashfields	1	9,129	-6%	33	Mansfield East	2	9,613	-1%
2	Hucknall North	1	9,130	-6%	34	Mansfield North	2	9,137	-6%
3	Hucknall South	1	8,816	-9%	35	Mansfield South	2	9,823	2%
	Hucknall West	1	8,860	-8%	36	Mansfield West	2	9,023	-5%
4		1	9,775	-0 <i>%</i> 1%	37		1		0%
5	Kirkby North	1			31	Warsop	- 1	9,665	0%
6	Kirkby South	-	10,127	5%	Mann	ante 9 Chamus ad District			
7	Selston	1	10,522	9%	Newa	ark & Sherwood Distric	[
8	Sutton Central &East		9,320	-4%	00	B 11 /		0.050	00/
9	Sutton North	1	9,909	2%	38	Balderton	1	9,953	3%
10	Sutton West	1	10,071	4%	39	Blidworth	1	8,647	-11%
					40	Collingham	1	9,734	1%
	etlaw District				41	Farndon & Trent	1	8,806	-9%
11	Blyth & Harworth	1	10,197	5%	42	Muskham & Farnsfield	1 1	10,440	8%
12	Misterton	1	10,653	10%	43	Newark East	1	9,183	-5%
13	Retford East	1	9,569	-1%	44	Newark West	1	9,000	-7%
14	Retford West	1	9,745	1%	45	Ollerton	1	10,013	4%
15	Tuxford	1	8,846	-9%	46	Sherwood Forest	1	9,161	-5%
16	Worksop East	1	10,078	4%	47	Southwell	1	10,710	11%
17	Worksop North	1	10,131	5%					
18	Worksop South	1	10,139	5%	Rush	ncliffe Borough			
19	Worksop West	1	10,379	7%	48	Bingham East	1	9,297	-4%
					49	Bingham West	1	8,891	-8%
Broxt	owe Borough				50	Cotgrave	1	8,888	-8%
20	Beeston Central &				51	Keyworth	1	8,754	-9%
	Rylands	1	9,366	-3%	52	Leake & Ruddington	2	8,946	-7%
21	Bramcote &		2,222		53	Radcliffe on Trent	1	9,970	3%
	Beeston North	1	10,251	6%	54	West Bridgford North	1	9,939	3%
22	Eastwood	1	10,022	4%	55	West Bridgford South	1	9,974	3%
23	Greasley & Brinsley	1	10,040	4%	56	West Bridgford West	1	9,481	-2%
24	Nuthall & Kimberley	1	10,658	10%	00	West Bridgisia West	•	0,401	270
25	Stapleford &	•	10,000	1070	Total	le	66		
20	Broxtowe Central	2	9,091	-6%	Aver		00	9,670	
26	Toton, Chilwell &	2	9,091	-0 /0	AVEI	age		3,070	
20	Attenborough	2	9,976	3%					
	Attenborough	2	9,970	3%					
Gedling Borough									
27	Arnold North	2	10,068	4%					
28	Arnold South	2	10,395	7%					
29	Calverton	1	10,286	6%					
30	Carlton East	1	10,074	4%					
31	Carlton West	2	10,267	6%					
32	Newstead	1	8,594	-11%					
<u> </u>			3,001	1170					

What happens next?

We have now completed our review of Nottinghamshire County Council.

The recommendations must now be approved by Parliament. A draft order - the legal document which brings into force our recommendations - will be laid in Parliament. Subject to parliamentary scrutiny, the new electoral arrangements will come into force at the county elections in 2017.





Final recommendations on the new electoral arrangements for Nottinghamshire County Council

Summary report

Read the full report and view detailed maps at: www.consultation.lgbce.org.uk
Find out more at: www.lgbce.org.uk
Follow us on Twitter at: @LGBCE

Who we are

The Local Government Boundary Commission for England is an independent body set up by Parliament. We are not part of government or any political party. We are accountable to Parliament through a committee of MPs chaired by the Speaker of the House of Commons.

Our main role is to carry out electoral reviews of local authorities throughout England.

Electoral review

An electoral review examines and proposes new electoral arrangements for a local authority. A local authority's electoral arrangements are:

- The total number of councillors representing the council's voters ('council size').
- The names, number and boundaries of wards or electoral divisions.
- The number of councillors representing each ward or division.

Why Nottinghamshire?

We are conducting an electoral review of Nottinghamshire County Council to deliver improved levels of electoral equality for local voters.

Nottinghamshire currently has high levels of electoral inequality where some councillors represent many more - or many fewer - voters than others. This means that the value of your vote - in county council elections - varies depending on where you live in Nottinghamshire.

Our proposals

Nottinghamshire County Council currently has 67 county councillors. Based on the evidence we received during previous phases of the review, the Commission recommends that 66 county councillors should serve Nottinghamshire in future.

Electoral arrangements

Our final recommendations propose that Nottinghamshire's 66 county councillors should represent 46 single-member electoral divisions and 10 two-member electoral divisions across the county.

The Commission believes the final recommendations meet our statutory criteria to:

- Deliver electoral equality for voters.
- Reflect local community interests and identities.
- Promote effective and convenient local government.

Stage of review	Description
28 Oct 2014 - 9 Feb 2015	Public consultation on new division pattern
9 Jun - 10 Aug 2015	Public consultation on draft recommendations
17 Nov 2015	Publication of final recommendations
May 2017	Subject to parliamentary approval - implementation of new arrangements at county elections

Summary of our recommendations

Our final recommendations propose that Nottinghamshire county councillors should represent 46 single-member electoral divisions and 10 twomember electoral divisions across the county.

Before drawing up the final recommendations the Commission carried out two stages of public consultation, initially inviting proposals for a new pattern of divisions for Nottinghamshire, from which we produced our draft recommendations. These were subject to public consultation. We have considered all the submissions received during both phases of consultation.

The Commission believes that the final recommendations meet the obligations –which are set out in law -to:

- Deliver electoral equality for voters
- Reflect local community interests and identities
- Promote effective and convenient local government.


You can read the full report on our website at www.lgbce.org.uk.



Find out more:

www.consultation.lgbce.org.uk

- view the map of our recommendations down to street level.
- zoom into the areas that interest you most.
- read the full report of our recommendations.
- compare the final recommendations with the draft proposals and existing arrangements.
- find out more about the electoral review process



View this map online and explore it in more detail at: www.consultation.lgbce.org.uk

Follow the Commission on Twitter: @LGBCE

If you are viewing this page online, click on the map to go straight to our interactive mapping area

Map k	cey:	26	Mansfield East
1	Arnold North	27	Mansfield North
2	Arnold South	28	Mansfield South
3	Ashfields	29	Mansfield West30 Misterton
4	Balderton	31	Muskham & Farnsfield
5	Beeston Central & Rylands	32	Newark East
6	Bingham East	33	Newark West
7	Bingham West	34	Newstead
8	Blidworth	35	Nuthall & Kimberley
9	Blyth & Harworth	36	Ollerton
10	Bramcote & Beeston North	37	Radcliffe on Trent
11	Calverton	38	Retford East
12	Carlton East	39	Retford West
13	Carlton West	40	Selston
14	Collingham	41	Sherwood Forest
15	Cotgrave	42	Southwell
16	Eastwood	43	Stapleford & Broxtowe Central
17	Farndon & Trent	44	Sutton Central & East
18	Greasley & Brinsley	45	Sutton North
19	Hucknall North	46	Sutton West
20	Hucknall South	47	Toton, Chilwell & Attenborough
21	Hucknall West	48	Tuxford
22	Keyworth	49	Warsop
23	Kirkby North	50	West Bridgford North
24	Kirkby South	51	West Bridgford South
25	Leake & Ruddington	52	West Bridgford West
		53	Worksop East
		54	Worksop North
		55	Worksop South

Worksop West