

Environment and Sustainability Committee

Monday, 11 May 2015 at 10:30

County Hall, County Hall, West Bridgford, Nottingham, NG2 7QP

AGENDA

- | | | |
|---|--|---------|
| 1 | minutes of the last meeting held on 2nd April | 3 - 6 |
| 2 | Apologies for Absence | |
| 3 | Declarations of Interests by Members and Officers:- (see note below)
(a) Disclosable Pecuniary Interests
(b) Private Interests (pecuniary and non-pecuniary) | |
| 4 | Waste Local Plan - Consultation on Site Selection Methodology | 7 - 30 |
| 5 | Revisions to the Minerals and Waste Development Scheme | 31 - 54 |
| 6 | Responses on Planning Consultations and Strategic Planning Observations | 55 - 66 |
| 7 | Work Programme | 67 - 70 |

Notes

- (1) Councillors are advised to contact their Research Officer for details of any Group Meetings which are planned for this meeting.
- (2) Members of the public wishing to inspect "Background Papers" referred to in the reports on the agenda or Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act should contact:-

- (3) Persons making a declaration of interest should have regard to the Code of Conduct and the Council's Procedure Rules. Those declaring must indicate the nature of their interest and the reasons for the declaration.

Councillors or Officers requiring clarification on whether to make a declaration of interest are invited to contact Martin Gately (Tel. 0115 977 2826) or a colleague in Democratic Services prior to the meeting.

- (4) Councillors are reminded that Committee and Sub-Committee papers, with the exception of those which contain Exempt or Confidential Information, may be recycled.
- (5) This agenda and its associated reports are available to view online via an online calendar - <http://www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/dms/Meetings.aspx>

Meeting Environment and Sustainability Committee

Date Thursday 2nd April 2015 (commencing at 10:30 am)

Membership

Persons absent are marked with an 'A'

COUNCILLORS

Jim Creamer (Chairman)
Pamela Skelding (Vice-Chairman)

	Richard Butler	Bruce Laughton
	Steve Calvert	Parry Tsimbirdis
A	Stan Heptinstall MBE	John Wilkinson
	Roger Jackson	

Ex-Officio (non-voting)

A Alan Rhodes

OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE

Lisa Bell	-	Team Manager Planning Policy
Suzanne Osborne-James	-	Principal Planning Officer
Mick Allen	-	Group Manager Waste & Energy Management
Helen Lester	-	Team Manager Waste Strategy and Development
Sean Parks	-	Transport Planning and Programme Development
Kevin Sharman	-	Transport Planning and Programme Development
Martin Gately	-	Democratic Services

MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

The minutes of the meeting held on 12 February 2015, having been circulated to all Members, were agreed to be a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

None.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None.

SUPPORTING LOCAL COMMUNITIES CAPITAL PROGRAMME

Officers indicated that where schemes included both capital and revenue elements they would have to work with applicants on the capital elements. The Supporting Local Communities Capital Programme would also be subject to review.

RESOLVED 2015/012

- 1) That the delivery of the 2014/15 SLC programme as detailed in paragraphs 3 to 6 of the report be noted
- 2) That the proposed 2015/16 SLC programme for implementation as contained in the report and detailed in appendix 2

DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS PRACTITIONER POST

RESOLVED 2015/013

That the establishment of the Developer Contributions Practitioner post be approved.

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE MINERALS AND WASTE DEVELOPMENT PLAN – ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT 2013/14

RESOLVED 2015/014

That the content of the Nottinghamshire Minerals and Waste Development Plan – Annual Monitoring Report 2013/14 be noted.

PETITIONS RECEIVED OBJECTING TO THE EXPLORATION FOR AND USE OF SHALE GAS AND COAL BED METHANE IN BASSETLAW AND NOTTINGHAMSHIRE

RESOLVED 2015/015

That the proposed response (as detailed at paragraph 18 of the report) be approved and sent to the petition organiser.

RESPONSES ON PLANNING CONSULTATIONS AND STRATEGIC PLANNING OBSERVATIONS

RESOLVED 2015/016

That the report be noted.

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE MINERALS LOCAL PLAN – LOCAL AGGREGATES ASSESSMENT UPDATE

RESOLVED 2015/017

That the 2013 aggregate production figures and approve the 2014 Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Local Aggregates be noted.

WASTE MANAGEMENT PFI CONTRACT – REVISED PROJECT PLAN

RESOLVED 2015/018

That the report be noted.

WORK PROGRAMME

The Chairman reminded Members that the meeting set for 30 April had been cancelled and an extra meeting of the committee set for Monday 11th May at 10:30 a.m.

RESOLVED 2015/011

That the work programme be noted.

The meeting concluded at 11.28 am

Chairman

**REPORT OF THE CORPORATE DIRECTOR FOR POLICY, PLANNING AND
CORPORATE SERVICES****WASTE LOCAL PLAN – CONSULTATION ON SITE SELECTION
METHODOLOGY****Purpose of the Report**

1. To seek Committee approval for informal consultation on the site selection methodology for the Waste Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Policies Document.

Information and Advice

2. Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council are working together to prepare a replacement Waste Local Plan for Nottinghamshire and Nottingham. This is being produced in two parts and, when complete, will replace the remaining saved policies from the existing Waste Local Plan which was adopted in 2002. The first part of the replacement Plan, known as the Waste Core Strategy was adopted by both Councils in December 2013 and sets out the overall vision and strategic planning policies for the development of future waste management facilities over the next fifteen years
3. The second part of the replacement Plan, to be known as the Sites and Policies document, will be used to identify specific sites or areas of search for waste facilities and will also include a set of detailed development management policies to control matters such as noise, dust, traffic and other environmental impacts. As for the previous document, a cross party Members project group has been set up to assist with the preparation of the Sites and Policies document. This is a non-decision making group comprising Members and officers of both Councils. The first meeting of this group was held on 5 March 2015 to outline current progress and the planned future timetable.
4. Work on the Sites and Policies document is being progressed in stages and is currently focussed on identifying potential sites for allocation. This will include sites for recycling, composting, anaerobic digestion, waste transfer operations, and energy recovery facilities. Provision will also need to be made for residual waste disposal, where appropriate, although site options are known to be limited.
5. Strategic policies within the adopted Waste Core Strategy set out the broad areas and types of locations that are most likely to be appropriate for the development of new or extended waste management facilities. In line with national policy and anticipated future housing and

employment growth, it is envisaged that most additional waste management infrastructure will be required in, or close to, the main urban areas of Nottingham, Mansfield/Ashfield, Newark, Worksop and Retford (Policy WCS4). Within these broad areas, waste management facilities are considered to be most appropriately sited on existing or proposed employment/industrial land (Policy WCS7). Priority will be given to additional disposal facilities within the main shortfall areas around Nottingham and Mansfield/Ashfield (Policy WCS5).

6. An initial assessment of potential site availability was carried out as part of the wider evidence base for the Waste Core Strategy. This confirmed that approximately 300 or 730 hectares of land was potentially available to support the delivery of the Waste Core Strategy. These sites now need to be assessed in more detail in terms of their location, size and environmental impact in order to identify those most suitable for allocation within the Sites and Policies document. This will include further consultation with each of the Nottinghamshire District/ Borough Councils, Nottingham City Council, the waste industry, landowners and developers to update the existing evidence base.
7. The National Planning Policy for Waste Site requires early and meaningful engagement in plan preparation. To ensure that the ongoing process of site selection is as robust and transparent as possible, it is proposed to consult informally on the proposed methodology for site selection which is attached at Appendix A. This will be accompanied by an updated Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.
8. It is proposed to consult with statutory bodies, key stakeholders and other interested parties for a six-week period during June and July 2015. This will include all parties who responded during earlier consultations on the Waste core Strategy. Feedback from this stage will be used to refine the site selection process.
9. Nottingham City Council approved the document for public consultation on 13th April 2015.
10. Subject to further Committee and City Council approval, it is anticipated that the shortlisted sites will then be published, alongside a draft set of development management policies for informal public consultation in November 2015. Dependent on responses to this consultation it is proposed to publish the Submission Draft version of the Sites and Policies document for formal consultation in May 2016. Submission to the Secretary of State is anticipated in October 2016 with adoption expected by April 2017.

Other Options Considered

11. Not to consult on the proposed site selection methodology as there is no statutory requirement for consultation at this stage. The County Council has a statutory duty to prepare a Waste Local Plan.

Reason/s for Recommendation/s

12. Consulting on our proposed methodology will give key statutory bodies, environmental groups and other interested parties the opportunity to highlight any issues with this approach before any firm decisions are made. It is considered that this should reduce the risk of any future legal challenge to the Plan.

Statutory and Policy Implications

13. This report has been compiled after consideration of implications in respect of crime and disorder, finance, human resources, human rights, the NHS Constitution (Public Health only), the public sector equality duty, safeguarding of children and vulnerable adults, service users, sustainability and the environment and ways of working and where such implications are material they are described below. Appropriate consultation has been undertaken and advice sought on these issues as required.

Financial Implications

14. The City Council will contribute 28% of the costs of producing the Waste Local Plan; the remaining 72% will be met through a reserve which has been established to cover these costs.

RECOMMENDATION/S

- 1) It is recommended that the Committee approves:
 - a) The publication of the of the proposed site selection methodology for a period of 6 weeks public consultation;
 - b) Authority to be given to the Chairman in consultation with the Group Manager to make any final minor changes required prior to consultation to correct typographical or other errors.

Jayne Francis-Ward, Corporate Director, Policy, Planning and Corporate Services

For any enquiries about this report please contact: Suzanne Osborne-James, Principal Planning Officer – Planning Policy, Tel: 0115 9772108.

Constitutional Comments (SLB 07/04/15)

15. Environment and Sustainability is the appropriate body to consider the content of this report.

Financial Comments (SES 09/04/15)

16. The financial implications are set out in the report.

Background Papers and Published Documents

Except for previously published documents, which will be available elsewhere, the documents listed here will be available for inspection in accordance with Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972.

None

Electoral Division(s) and Member(s) Affected

All

Nottinghamshire and Nottingham

Replacement Waste Local Plan

Part 2: Sites and Policies

A consultation on the proposed site selection methodology

Summary

Every year the UK produces more than 150 million tonnes of waste from households, shops, offices, manufacturing and construction¹. Although we now re-use and recycle far more of our waste than before, maintaining this progress and meeting ambitious future recycling targets depends on developing new waste management facilities to deliver these aims.

Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council are working together on a replacement Waste Local Plan to guide the development of these new facilities within Nottinghamshire and Nottingham. The first part of the replacement Waste Local Plan, known as the Waste Core Strategy, was adopted in December 2013. This sets out the broad framework for developing future waste management facilities including broad locations and site criteria.

Following on from the Waste Core Strategy we are now preparing more detailed policies to decide which sites should be allocated and the types of environmental controls that we should put in place.

Together we need to decide on the most suitable locations for new waste management infrastructure across Nottinghamshire and Nottingham. Before we identify and consult on any specific sites we are first seeking your views on the most appropriate criteria for selecting and shortlisting possible sites.

Anyone can comment on this consultation but we need to hear from you by 26th June 2015.

This consultation closes on 26th June 2015

¹ Waste Management Plan for England, Defra, December 2013

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Every year the UK produces more than 150 million tonnes of waste from households, shops, offices, manufacturing and construction². The Government and local authorities are working hard to reduce this and we now re-use and recycle far more of our waste than ever before - but maintaining this progress, and meeting ambitious future recycling targets, depends on developing new facilities to manage this waste as sustainably and efficiently as possible.
- 1.2 Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council are working together on a replacement Waste Local Plan to guide the development of these new facilities within Nottinghamshire and Nottingham. This is being prepared in two parts.
- 1.3 The first part of the replacement Waste Local Plan, known as the Waste Core Strategy, was adopted in December 2013. This anticipates future waste management needs and identifies, broadly, where new facilities will be needed.
- 1.4 Work is now being carried out to prepare the second part of the Plan, to be known as the Sites and Policies document. This will be used to allocate specific sites, or areas of search, for waste management use and will include detailed policies on site layout, design and operation
- 1.5 Until these detailed policies are in place, saved policies from the Councils' existing Waste Local Plan will be used to determine waste planning applications.
- 1.6 As part of preparing the Sites and Policies document, there will be on-going consultation with statutory bodies, other local authorities, the waste industry, interest groups and local communities. The Councils are currently seeking your views on how potential waste management sites should be selected. This includes sites for recycling, anaerobic digestion, composting, energy recovery and disposal.

Why do we need to identify sites for waste management use?

- 1.7 As well as producing less waste in future, better ways of managing the waste that is produced have to be found in order to make the best use of our resources. The Waste Core Strategy therefore sets an ambitious, albeit voluntary, target of recycling 70% of our waste by 2025. We also know that significant growth in both housing and employment is planned across Nottinghamshire over the next fifteen years.

² Waste Management Plan for England, Defra, December 2013

- 1.8 Meeting future recycling targets, and supporting this level of growth, will therefore require significant new waste management infrastructure to be developed.
- 1.9 National planning policy³ requires us to identify sites and/or areas for new or enhanced waste management facilities in appropriate locations and sets out clear guidelines as to the physical and environmental factors that should be considered.
- 1.10 At the local level, the Sites and Policies document is therefore the key tool for making sure that sufficient land is available for the waste industry to come forward with new facilities to re-use, recycle and recover as much of our waste as possible. We may also need to identify additional disposal capacity for residual waste where there is no other realistic alternative.

What is this consultation about?

- 1.11 Broad locations for future waste management facilities have already been identified within the Waste Core Strategy. This promotes new facilities in or close to the main urban areas of Nottingham, Mansfield/Ashfield, Newark, Worksop and Retford in order to manage waste close to where it is produced as far as practically possible.
- 1.12 The Sites and Policies document will therefore need to identify the most suitable sites within these 'broad areas' to ensure an appropriate balance between meeting future waste management needs and minimising potential environmental impacts.
- 1.13 The purpose of this informal consultation is to make sure that the way in which possible future sites are shortlisted is as robust and transparent as possible, and that it takes account of relevant local circumstances and the most up to date information available.
- 1.14 No specific site allocations or areas of search are being identified at this stage of the plan preparation process.

Have your say

- 1.15 The following pages set out the proposed methodology for selecting suitable sites and seek your views on whether there are any other relevant factors that should be considered.

³ National Planning Policy for Waste, Department for Communities and Local Government, October 2014 which should be read in conjunction with the National Planning Policy Framework, Department for Communities and Local Government, March 2012.

- 1.16 Feedback from this consultation will be used to inform the final site selection methodology to ensure that only the most appropriate sites are put forward for public consultation at a later stage of the process.
- 1.17 Comments on the proposed methodology can be submitted online using the following link www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/wastehaveyoursay or by email at development.planning@nottscc.gov.uk.
- 1.18 If you prefer you can send your comments in writing to: Planning Policy Team, Nottinghamshire County Council, County Hall, West Bridgford, Nottingham NG2 7QP.
- 1.19 Please make sure that we receive your comments by 26th June 2015.

Alternative Formats

- 1.20 This document can be made available in alternative formats or languages on request.

2. Background to Site Selection Methodology

- 2.1 In order to identify which sites should be allocated, it is important to ensure that the selection process is comprehensive, clear, and robust. The sites which are taken forward should be those that are the most suitably located to meet future needs whilst being both physically and environmentally acceptable in order to deliver the aims of the Waste Core Strategy.
- 2.2 The adopted Waste Core Strategy is the starting point for site selection as this identifies the broad areas where new facilities are expected to be required and sets out general site criteria as to the types of location that are likely to be most suitable for these uses. The majority of new facilities are expected to be developed in or around the main urban areas of Nottingham, Mansfield/Ashfield, Newark, Retford and Worksop. Within these broad areas, priority is given to existing or proposed industrial and employment areas in line with national policy.
- 2.3 Section 3 of this consultation outlines the proposed methodology that will be used to narrow down the choice of potential sites.

What types of sites are we looking for?

- 2.4 There are a wide range of different technologies that can be used to process waste and these may have differing environmental impacts depending upon the treatment methods used. However, in very general terms, most kinds of recycling, energy recovery and waste transfer operations will have similar characteristics in terms of requiring an appropriate building in which to process the waste and acceptable road access for HGVs. Large-scale facilities may also be able to make use of possible rail or water links but all facilities will involve some degree of road transport.
- 2.5 Most of these operations are therefore similar to light industrial, warehousing and distribution type uses although some specific uses, such as scrapyards or aggregates recycling, have greater potential for disturbance and are better suited to more general industrial areas that are typically located away from other sensitive land uses i.e. residential properties.
- 2.6 Where required, disposal facilities (landfill or land-raise) have their own specific characteristics and also need to be sited an appropriate distance away from sensitive areas such as housing or water aquifers.
- 2.7 This ongoing process of site selection therefore distinguishes between sites that may be suitable for a range of possible waste treatment uses (i.e.

typically 'built' uses) and sites that would be suitable for either non-hazardous or inert waste disposal.

Work carried out so far

- 2.8 As part of the background evidence for preparing the Waste Core Strategy the Councils carried out an initial assessment of land availability in order to demonstrate that there were sufficient sites to deliver the spatial approach set out within the strategy. This involved a desk based study of all existing and proposed employment sites and records of other previously developed (brownfield) land, quarries, mines and landfill sites. Specific suggestions were also sought from the waste industry, mineral operators and landowners.
- 2.9 These sites were then assessed in terms of their size, location and development status to establish a list of sites for further evaluation. Details of this preliminary work and the proposed methodology for on-going future assessment were set out within Background Paper 2 to the Waste Core Strategy which was prepared in December 2012⁴. This set out six separate stages for assessing potential sites.

Updating existing evidence

- 2.10 Following adoption of the Waste Core Strategy in December 2013, the Councils are revisiting this work to update the evidence base of potential sites and refine the proposed site assessment methodology.
- 2.11 This consultation on the site selection methodology therefore updates each of the six steps set out within the Background Paper and seeks your views on the information collected so far and the criteria that will be used to select suitable sites for allocation.

⁴ see Waste Core Strategy Background Paper 2: Existing Waste Management Sites and Initial Assessment of Future Sites, Nottinghamshire County Council and Nottingham City Council, December 2012

3. Proposed Site Selection Methodology

- 3.1 Figure 1 below illustrates the six key stages of the proposed site selection process which are then explained in more detail in the following sections.

Figure 1 – Proposed Stages of Site Selection

Stage 1	Preliminary site identification Identify comprehensive list of potential waste treatment and disposal sites for subsequent shortlisting;
Stage 2	Preliminary site elimination Elimination of sites with immediately obvious constraints in terms of size and/or availability, or where there are particularly sensitive neighbouring land uses;
Stage 3	Compliance with Waste Core Strategy Assess sites against strategic policies in adopted Waste Core Strategy;
Stage 4	Further site elimination Assess remaining against wider range of environmental criteria;
Stage 5	Site deliverability and availability assessment Confirmation of site ownership, availability and likely timescales for development;
Stage 6	Statutory assessments and public consultation on shortlisted sites Carry out statutory sustainability, habitat, transport, flood and health assessments and consult on shortlisted sites.

Stage 1 - Preliminary site identification

- 3.2 The first stage of site selection is to compile a comprehensive list of potential sites from which to shortlist those most suitable for possible waste management use.
- 3.3 As explained in paragraphs 2.4 – 2.6 above, different types of waste management facilities have different land use characteristics. The initial search for sites has therefore been divided into two separate categories to reflect the different requirements for the various waste treatment processes or 'built' uses (i.e. recycling/materials recovery, enclosed or in-vessel composting, anaerobic digestion, waste transfer and energy recovery) and 'disposal' facilities (i.e. landfill or land-raise schemes).

A) Treatment facilities

- 3.4 To identify sites which may potentially be suitable for waste treatment, it is proposed to use the following sources of information:
- i. [Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Waste Local Plan \(Jan 2002\)](#) – sites previously allocated or shown as areas of search within the Waste Local Plan (January 2002). Any sites which have not been implemented will be re-assessed alongside all of the sites identified from other sources.
 - ii. [District/Borough/City Council Local Plans](#) – sites allocated or protected for employment use. This includes land allocated for general industrial (B2) and storage and distribution (B8) uses as well as mixed use schemes (usually a mix of housing and employment or retail uses) where suitable mitigation could be provided and there may be benefits in locating appropriate waste management infrastructure close to proposed new development. For the purposes of this site search we are using both sites that are allocated in adopted plans and those that are proposed within emerging Local Plans
 - iii. [District/Borough/City Employment Land Studies](#) – periodic studies of the amount of existing and allocated employment land in each of the Nottinghamshire Districts/Boroughs and the City Council will be used to identify remaining vacant sites and exclude those which have already been developed/ occupied.
 - iv. [East Midlands Development Agency Brownfield Land Survey, 2005](#) – this was a detailed survey of all Brownfield land within the East Midlands in 2005 which looked at the suitability of these sites for redevelopment or recreational use. This provided a useful initial checklist of former industrial sites, railway, colliery and derelict land but has now been superseded by

the more up to date local assessments of employment land within the District/Borough/City Council Employment Land Studies.

- v. [Nottingham City Region Employment Land Study, 2007](#) – this reviewed available employment land throughout the Nottingham City region, including the City, Rushcliffe, Gedling, Broxtowe, Erewash and the southern part of Ashfield.
- vi. [East Midlands Northern Sub-Region Employment Land Study, 2008](#) – assessed the demand for, and supply of, employment land in Northern Sub-Region of the East Midlands including the Nottinghamshire districts of Ashfield, Bassetlaw, Mansfield and Newark and Sherwood.
- vii. [Employment Land Forecasting Study for the Nottingham Core and Outer Nottingham Housing Market Areas](#) – Ashfield District, Broxtowe Borough, Erewash Borough, Gedling Borough, Mansfield District, Newark and Sherwood District, Nottingham City and Rushcliffe Borough Councils have recently commissioned to a new study to identify anticipated future economic trends and associated employment land requirements using the most recent economic and housing forecasting data. This may affect future allocations of employment land by these Councils. The findings of this study have not yet been published but will be reviewed once they become available.
- viii. [Sites promoted by landowners and developers](#) – initial expressions of interest were sought from landowners, developers, the waste industry and mineral operators during the early consultation stages on the Waste Core Strategy. A separate, formal, call for sites was then carried out in June 2011. This was then repeated in October 2013 following the receipt of the Inspector's Report into the Waste Core Strategy which confirmed that the spatial approach and site criteria within the Strategy were considered 'sound'. Details of all of the sites put forward at each stage have been kept on file and the Councils have contacted all those who responded to confirm whether or not they wish these to be assessed as part of preparing the second part of the Waste Local Plan – the Sites and Development Management Policies Document. Sites may also be put forward in response to this current consultation.

B) Disposal facilities

- 3.5 The following sources of information will be used to compile a list of sites potentially suitable for disposal (i.e. landfill or land-raise):

- i. **Existing landfill sites** – a review of existing landfill sites to see whether these are suitable, in principle, to be extended. The operators of existing non-hazardous, inert and restricted-user landfill sites were contacted about their anticipated future requirements and to ascertain whether or not they felt that extending any of their existing sites was economically and physically feasible. This work will need to be updated to take account of the proposed closure of two non-hazardous landfill sites prior to the next stage of the plan preparation process.
- ii. **Former colliery land in need of restoration** – Nottinghamshire’s coal mining legacy left a large number of former colliery tips and pit heads to be restored. The majority of former pit heads have now been redeveloped for employment use and most of the tips have been restored, but there are some which are potentially in need of further restoration. With the help of the County Council’s Reclamation Team, who are responsible for monitoring the former pit tips, a number of potential sites have been identified where landscape or ecological benefits could be gained from improved restoration schemes involving the importing of waste materials or re-working of the colliery spoil to provide additional voidspace. Sites which have already been fully restored have been excluded from this assessment.
- iii. **Existing and potential future mineral voids** – a desk-based survey of existing quarries, including old un-restored sites as well those currently being worked, and sites allocated within the existing Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan (2005) or those which are being considered for allocation within the review of the Minerals Local Plan.
- iv. **Sites promoted by landowners and developers** –in conjunction with the desk-based survey above, we have contacted all of the existing mineral operators in the County and those who have responded to consultation on the emerging replacement Minerals Local Plan, to identify sites which they consider may be suitable for waste disposal. The power companies who operate the County’s three coal-fired power stations were also contacted to establish whether they would be seeking any additional ash disposal capacity.

Q. Are the sources of information listed above the most appropriate to identify potential sites? Are there any other potential sources of site information that you think should be included?

Stage 2 - Preliminary site elimination

- 3.6 A preliminary sieving exercise will be carried out in order to eliminate those sites with immediate constraints in terms of size and/or availability, or where there are particularly sensitive neighbouring land uses, as follows:

A) Treatment facilities

- **Sites with an area less than 1 hectare** – sites of less than 1 hectare were removed as these were considered unlikely to be suitable for significant waste treatment facilities. This threshold was derived from *Planning for Waste Management Facilities: A Research Study*, ODPM, 2004 and through consultation with the Waste Core Strategy stakeholder group involving the waste industry, local authority (environmental health, waste collection and planning) representatives, statutory consultees, and local environmental and other interest groups.
- **Sites which have already been developed** – consultation with District/Borough/City Council Planning Officers to identify and remove any sites which have subsequently been developed or where there is less than 1 hectare of vacant land remaining.
- **Sites committed for alternative uses** – consultation with District/Borough/City Council Planning Officers to identify and remove any sites which have subsequently been allocated or granted planning permission for non-employment uses such as housing.
- **Sites not considered suitable for waste management use** – the use of site visits, aerial photos, and consultation District/Borough/City Council Planning Officers to examine the relationship of sites to their surrounding area and identify obvious constraints such as poor accessibility or proximity to sensitive uses such as housing or sites restricted to B1 employment uses⁵. Where suitability is uncertain sites will be retained for further assessment.

B) Disposal facilities

- **Colliery land not suitable for disposal** – the use of site visits, aerial photos, and consultation with District/Borough/City Council Planning Officers and the County Council's Reclamation team to remove those sites which are considered physically or environmentally unsuitable due to lack of void space, ecological or landscape issues, poor accessibility, or proximity to sensitive

⁵ Offices (other than those that fall within A2), research and development of products and processes, light industry appropriate in a residential area.

uses such as housing. Where suitability is uncertain sites will be retained for further assessment.

- **Flood and groundwater constraints** – high-level assessment of known flood and groundwater constraints which would restrict the use of sites for non-hazardous waste in particular. This will be based on published groundwater and flood mapping and preliminary advice from the Environment Agency.

Q. Are there any other site elimination criteria which should be used at this stage or any which should not be used (please give details)?

Stage 3 - Compliance with Waste Core Strategy

- 3.7 Part 1 of the replacement Waste Local Plan: the Waste Core Strategy sets out the spatial approach and general site criteria as to where future waste management facilities should be located. Site suitability for the different types of waste treatment and disposal will therefore be assessed against the following strategic policies to ensure that only sites compliant with the Waste Core Strategy are carried forward for more detailed assessment:

A) Treatment facilities

- **Policy WCS4 - Broad locations for waste treatment facilities** – identifies the broad areas where new or extended waste treatment facilities are likely to be needed and seeks to focus the majority of new waste treatment infrastructure in or close to the main urban areas of Nottingham, Mansfield/Ashfield, Newark, Worksop and Retford. GIS mapping will be used to plot the preliminary list of sites against the broad areas indicated on the Waste Core Strategy Key Diagram. As these are indicative boundaries, any sites which are considered borderline as to whether or not they fall within the boundary will be retained for further assessment.
- **Policy WCS7 – General site criteria** – directs waste treatment facilities to predominantly employment/industrial land along with derelict sites and other previously developed land within the broad areas identified in Policy WCS4 (see above). Those sites which have been identified from District/Borough Local Plans and Employment Land Studies will already satisfy this policy test but sites identified in other studies or submitted by landowners, developers or operators will need to be assessed against Policy WCS7.

B) Disposal facilities






- **Policy WCS5 – disposal sites for hazardous, non-hazardous and inert waste** – identifies shortfall areas around the Nottingham and Mansfield/Ashfield areas preferred but difficulties may mean have to extend to whole plan area. This also identifies a sequence of search based on extensions to existing disposal sites; the restoration and/or re-working of old colliery tips and existing or proposed mineral workings. Disposal on Greenfield sites will be considered only where there is no other alternative.

Q. Are there any other strategic Waste Core Strategy policies that you think should be considered at this stage (please give details)?

Stage 4 – Further site elimination

- 3.8 Having eliminated those sites which are not in accordance with the Waste Core Strategy, or that are subject to insurmountable constraints in terms of their location, access or surrounding land uses, all remaining sites will then be assessed against a wider range of environmental criteria as set out in Table 2. These criteria have been grouped broadly under the following categories.
- Biodiversity;
 - Historic environment;
 - Landscape/Townscape;
 - Open Space;
 - Water (including flooding);
 - Soil;
 - Air;
 - Transport and Site Access;
 - Health and Safety.
- 3.9 This further elimination stage will not replace the need for a Sustainability Appraisal but will identify whether there are whether there are any other significant or overriding environmental factors which might rule out individual sites at this stage.
- 3.10 This assessment will consider the scale and nature of the predicted impact in terms of whether this is likely to be positive or negative; short, medium or long term; and whether it is likely to contribute to cumulative effects alongside other factors. This will also take into account whether the impact is likely to be temporary or permanent and whether the effects could be adequately mitigated.

- 3.11 Based on this this assessment, it is proposed to grade sites according to the likely significance of any anticipated impacts as follows:

	Unacceptable/severe adverse impact – mitigation not considered achievable
	Significant adverse impact – mitigation considered problematic
	Limited adverse impact – mitigation considered possible
	No adverse impact
	No adverse impact – opportunity for enhancement

- 3.12 The use of colour-coded grades rather than a number based system is considered more appropriate as this avoids the temptation to add up a score for each individual site. A scoring or weighting system is not considered appropriate as the impacts in each case are unlikely to be directly comparable. The colour coded grading will be used as a visual indicator of the issues to be addressed when making an objective comparative assessment of each site.
- 3.13 Where more detail is needed to inform the assessment the Councils will consult in-house specialists and/or external consultants to carry out additional assessments.

Q. Is the proposed grading of impacts shown in Table 1 appropriate? If not, why not?

Table 2 – Further site elimination criteria

Theme	Indicator	Information Source
Biodiversity	Special Protection Area (SPA) Special Area for Conservation (SAC) Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) Ancient woodland National Nature Reserve (NNR) Local Nature Reserve (LNR) Local Wildlife Site Protected species Local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP) habitats	Local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP) Natural England NCC and City Ecologist Biological Records Centre Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust Local Green Infrastructure Strategies
Historic environment	Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM) Ancient woodland World Heritage Site Grade I/II* listed buildings Registered battlefield Historic parks and gardens Conservation area	Historic England Buildings at risk register NCC and City Council Archaeology and Conservation Teams
Landscape/Townscape	Ancient woodland Conservation area Tree Preservation Orders	Landscape character assessment NCC and City Council Highway and Conservation Teams
Open space	Green Belt Playing Field Urban Green Space	District/Borough and City Council Local Plans
Water	Nitrate vulnerable zones Groundwater Protection Zones Flood risk	Environment Agency Internal Drainage Boards Lead Flood Authorities Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (Level 1)

Theme	Indicator	Information Source
Transport and Site Access	site accessibility water/rail opportunities pipeline Rights of Way	Network Rail/Rail Authority Highway Agency (trunk roads) Local Highway Authority Canal and River Trust Commercial Boat Operators Association NCC and City Council Highway and Public Access teams
Soil	Best and most versatile agricultural land	Natural England/Defra archive
Air	Air quality Management Areas (AQMAS)	District/Borough and City Council Pollution Control teams
Health and safety	Ground stability Contaminated land Air quality Airfield safeguarding Defence estates safeguarding	Contaminated land register

Q. Are there any other elimination criteria that you think should be added to this list (please give details)?

Stage 5 - Site deliverability and availability assessment

- 3.14 All of those sites remaining after Stage 4 will be subject to a deliverability and availability assessment. This will be used to establish that the site is still available for development and that there are no fundamental constraints to it being brought forward within the timescale required for the Waste Core Strategy.
- 3.15 This will involve further consultation with the District and Borough Councils, the County and City Council's economic development teams, land owners and waste operators to confirm site ownership, availability and timescales for development.
- 3.16 This may also include any identified infrastructure constraints such as highway or drainage improvements that might be required before a site could be developed.
- 3.17 Where sites are being put forward for allocation by a landowner or developer, and are not already identified for employment uses within a District, Borough or City Local Plan, the County and City Councils will require evidence that there is an appropriate waste operator linked to the proposal to demonstrate that the site is deliverable.

Stage 6 – Statutory assessments and public consultation on shortlisted sites

- 3.18 At this stage, remaining sites which are considered suitable 'in principle' for inclusion within the Sites and Policies document, will then be subject to the following statutory assessments in accordance with current national policy and guidance:
- **Sustainability Appraisal** – an appraisal of the environmental, social and economic effects using the sustainability objectives and decision making criteria set out with the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.
 - **Strategic Flood Risk Assessment** – this will provide information on potential sources of flooding and mitigation to both inform the sustainability appraisal and enable the sequential test to be applied to prospective sites. This assessment will enable comparison of the sites in terms of their likely impacts on, and susceptibility to, flooding.
 - **Transport Assessment** – will provide a baseline from which to assess waste movements associated through the plan area and enable a comparative assessment of the traffic impacts from each of the proposed sites.

- **Habitats Regulations Assessment** – a formal assessment of the impacts of each site on the integrity of a Special Protection Area, proposed Special Protection Area, Special Area for Conservation or Ramsar site.
- **Health and Equality Impact Assessments** – will ensure that any implications that the proposals may have on health and equality are considered and addressed.

Q. Are there any other detailed appraisals that you think should be added to this list? If so, what are they?

- 3.19 Public consultation on the shortlisted sites will be carried out in accordance with the County and City Council's respective Statements of Community Involvement later this year. All of the comments received will be used to help prepare the final Submission Draft of the Sites and Policies document which will be subject to further public consultation.
- 3.20 Please note that if you are a landowner, developer or site operator and have not already submitted site details to us, it is important that you now contact us as soon as possible as it may not be possible to consider sites submitted after this stage. Contact details are provided at the start of this consultation paper.

Q. Are there any sites which you wish to put forward for consideration that you have not previously submitted? If so, please provide details of the site's location, size and intended use on the form provided (insert web link to form when available).

Please make sure that all comments or site suggestions reach us by 26th June 2015

11th May 2015

Agenda Item: 5

REPORT OF THE CORPORATE DIRECTOR FOR POLICY, PLANNING AND CORPORATE SERVICES

REVISIONS TO THE MINERALS AND WASTE DEVELOPMENT SCHEME

Purpose of the Report

1. To seek Committee approval for amendments to the Nottinghamshire Minerals and Waste Development Scheme (MWDS).

Information and Advice

2. The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2008 (amended) requires the County Council to produce a Development Scheme. The Development Scheme does not form part of the Development Plan, its purpose is to set out the timetable and resources for preparing Minerals and Waste Local Plans.
3. The revised Minerals and Waste Development Scheme is attached in Appendix 1 and sets out the County Council's programme for the preparation of Development Plan Documents (DPDs). This revised scheme will replace the current Minerals and Waste Development Scheme which was approved by the County Council in March 2013.
4. The two additional consultations on the Sand and Gravel provision element of the Minerals Local Plan have led to delays in the preparation process and as such revisions to the timetable contained in the 2013 Development Scheme have been necessary to ensure that it is kept up to date. Small textural changes to the scheme have also been made to ensure consistency with the Government's new approach to Local Plans.
5. The MWDS has two key objectives:
 - To inform the public and stakeholders of the Council's commitment to producing documents that will make up the development framework for minerals and waste planning in Nottinghamshire and the programme for their preparation; and
 - To reflect the County Councils priorities in terms of producing documents for both the Waste and Minerals Local Plans.

6. The County Council will continue to review the Development Scheme and progress will be reported annually through the Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).

The Minerals and Waste Development Scheme Timetable

7. The new preparation timetable for the production of the Minerals Local Plan and Waste Development Framework documents is set out in the revised Development Scheme and detailed in the tables below:

Minerals Local Plan:

Stage	Dates	Completed
Minerals Safeguarding Consultation	April 2009	Yes
Issues and Options Consultation	January – 30 March 2012	Yes
Preferred Approach Consultation	October 2013	Yes
Additional consultation on Sand and Gravel	May 2014	Yes
Additional Consultation on Sand and Gravel Provision – Shelford West	October 2014	Yes
Submission Draft consultation	September 2015	No
Submission	January 2016	No
Pre-hearing meeting	March 2016	No
Examination	April 2016	No
Adoption	December 2016	No

Waste Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy:

Stage	Dates	Completed
Waste Core Strategy Issues and Options Consultation	October 2006	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Further Issues and Options Consultation	September 2010	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Preferred Approach Consultation	July 2011	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Proposed Submission Draft	March 2012	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Submission	January 2013	Yes

Waste Core Strategy Pre-hearing meeting	March 2013	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Examination Hearing	May 2013	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Adoption	December 2013	Yes

Waste Local Plan Part 2: Site Allocations and Development Management Policies

Stage	Dates	Completed
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Preferred Approach	November 2015	No
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Submission Draft	May 2016	No
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Submission	October 2016	No
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Pre-hearing meeting	November 2016	No
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Examination	December 2016	No
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Adoption	April 2017	No

Other Options Considered

8. None. It is a statutory requirement for the Council to have up to date Minerals and Waste Development Local Plans.

Reason for Recommendation

9. To provide clarity for Members, Officers, members of the public, developers and other interested parties on the timetable for the production of the Minerals Local Plan and a Waste Development Framework in order for the County Council to meet its statutory functions as a Minerals and Waste Planning Authority.

Statutory and Policy Implications

10. This report has been compiled after consideration of implications in respect of finance, the public sector equality duty, human resources, crime and disorder, human rights, the safeguarding of children, sustainability and the environment and those using the service and where such implications are material they are

described below. Appropriate consultation has been undertaken and advice sought on these issues as required.

Financial Implications

11. There is a provision in the financial reserves to cover the preparation, examination and adoption of the two Local Plans.

RECOMMENDATION

- 1) That Committee approve the revised Minerals and Waste Development Scheme.

Jayne Francis-Ward, Corporate Director, Policy, Planning and Corporate Services

For any enquiries about this report please contact: Lisa Bell, Team Manager – Planning Policy, Tel: 0115 9774547.

Constitutional Comments (SLB 04/02/2015)

12. Environment and Sustainability Committee is the appropriate body to consider the content of the report.

Financial Comments (SEM 04/02/15)

13. The financial implications are set out in the report.

Background Papers and Published Documents

Except for previously published documents, which will be available elsewhere, the documents listed here will be available for inspection in accordance with Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972.

Electoral Division(s) and Member(s) Affected

All

**MINERALS AND WASTE DEVELOPMENT
SCHEME**

MAY 2015

Preface

Nottinghamshire County Council has prepared this Development Scheme in accordance with the requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. The County Council resolved to bring this scheme into effect on the **?????** and it replaces the previous scheme brought into effect in March 2013.

Contents

1.	Introduction to Local Plans and Development Plan Documents	2
2.	The Minerals and Waste Local Development Scheme.....	3
3.	Existing Plans and Transitional Arrangements.....	3
4.	The Timetable.....	4
5.	Progress to Date.....	5
6.	Joint Working.....	6
7.	Risk Assessment.....	7
8.	Community Involvement.....	8
9.	Local Development Scheme Monitoring and Review.....	9
10.	Further Information.....	9
	Glossary.....	10
	Appendix A – Geographical Plan Coverage.....	12
	Appendix B – Waste Policies replaced by the Waste Core Strategy.....	13
	Appendix C – Minerals and Waste Timetables.....	14

1 Introduction to Local Plans and Development Plan Documents (DPDs)

- 1.1 Nottinghamshire County Council is the minerals and waste planning authority for the county of Nottinghamshire; see Appendix A for a map showing the geographical coverage of the plan area. This means that it is responsible for all matters associated with minerals and waste development, including setting the land use policies and determining planning applications for such development.
- 1.2 The Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan (2005) and Waste Local Plan (2002) form part of the statutory development plan along with district and borough Local Plans within Nottinghamshire. Decisions on planning applications should be made on the basis of having an up-to-date statutory development plan. Both the Waste and Minerals Local Plans are in the process of being replaced.
- 1.3 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in March 2012 and sets out that each Local Planning Authority should produce a Local Plan for its area and that any additional Development Plan Documents should only be prepared where clearly justified. Previous national guidance required local planning authorities to produce Local Development Frameworks which could include:
 - **Core Strategies**, which set out the spatial vision, spatial objectives and core policies for the development of the local planning authority area;
 - **Policies Map**, which illustrates county wide proposals
 - **Site Specific Allocations and Development Management Policies Documents**, which set out detailed policy guidance and also land allocations;
 - **Additional DPDs**, such as area specific documents. NPPF guidance states however, that these should only be prepared where clearly justified.
- 1.4 Nottinghamshire County Council had significantly advanced the review of the 2002 Waste Local Plan prior to the new requirements set out in the NPPF and as such continued to progress a separate Waste Local Plan, Part 1: Core Strategy which was subsequently adopted in December 2013. This is being followed by a Waste Local Plan, Part 2: Site Specific Allocations and Development Management Policies Document and a Policies Map to illustrate the proposals.
- 1.5 The review of the Minerals Local Plan was in its infancy in March 2012 when the NPPF was published and as such the County Council is progressing the Minerals Local Plan to replace the 2005 Local Plan. This document will contain a vision, strategic objectives, strategic policies, policies identifying the future provision requirements, development management policies and a policies map.

- 1.6 The Waste and Minerals Local Plans are both subject to examination by an independent Planning Inspector.
- 1.7 **Complementary documents** are important related documents which exist alongside the Local Plans, these include:
- **Supplementary Planning Documents**, add further detail to the policies of the Local Plan. They can be used to provide further guidance for development on specific sites, or on particular issues, such as design. SPDs are capable of being a material consideration in planning decisions but are not part of the Development Plan.
 - **Statement of Community Involvement**, specifies how the authority intends to involve communities and stakeholders in the process of preparing planning policy documents. The Planning Bill 2008 removed the requirement for future reviews of the Statement of Community Involvement to be subject to examination.
 - **Development Scheme** (this document), sets out details of planning policy documents to be produced and the time scales and arrangements for production.
 - **Annual Monitoring Report**, sets out progress in terms of producing planning policy documents and implementing policies.

2 Minerals and Waste Development Scheme

- 2.1 This Minerals and Waste Development Scheme (MWDS) sets out the County Council's programme for the preparation of the Waste and Minerals Local Plans.
- 2.2 The MWDS has two key objectives:
- To inform the public and stakeholders of the Council's commitment to producing the Waste and Minerals Local Plans in Nottinghamshire and the timetable for their preparation; and
 - To establish the County Council's priorities in terms of producing documents for both the Waste and the Minerals Local Plans.
- 2.3 The County Council will review this MWDS and progress will be reported annually through Annual Monitoring Reports (AMRs). The AMRs are published on the Council's website.

3 Existing Plans and Transitional Arrangements

- 3.1 Following the review of the planning system through the 2004 Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act which altered the way in which statutory development plans were prepared, the Government put in place transitional arrangements that allow existing plans and policies to be 'saved' while the new local plan documents are prepared. These saved policies form part of the development plan until they are replaced under the current planning system. The plans/policies which are currently saved are as follows:

- The Joint Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Waste Local Plan (2002) (with the exception of policies W2.1, W3.2 and W3.24). A list of policies which have subsequently been replaced by the Waste Core Strategy can be found in Appendix B;
- The Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan (2005) (with the exception of policies M3.2, M3.21, M6.5, M6.9, M6.10, M7.4 and M11.1)

4 The Timetable

4.1 The new development plan will comprise of separate waste development plan documents and a Minerals Local Plan as detailed in Table 1 below:

Table 1: Minerals and Waste Documents to be produced

Minerals Local Plan		
Document	Date for submission	Date for adoption
Minerals Local Plan	April 2016	December 2016
Waste Local Plan		
Waste Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy	ADOPTED DECEMBER 2013	
Waste Local Plan Part 2: Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Document	October 2016	April 2017

4.2 The detailed production stages and key milestones are set out in Appendix C.

4.3 All new development plan documents are subject to an on going process of strategic environmental assessment (SEA), combined with the existing practice of sustainability appraisal (SA). This examines the likely environmental, social and economic effects of new policies and proposals and informs the direction and content of each stage of the plan preparation process. The information used and the outcome of the appraisal process is to be set out in a report, which will accompany each document at each stage of its preparation and subject to public consultation.

4.4 All development plan documents are also subject to equalities impact assessment (EQIA) which is a management tool that ensures that all new policies do not discriminate against certain groups and that all opportunities to promote equality are sought in plan preparation.

- 4.5 The Minerals Local Plan and Waste Development Framework will be subject to a Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). The purpose of the HRA of land use plans is to ensure the protection of the integrity of European sites is a part of the planning process at a regional and local level. A HRA Screening Report (March 2011) has been produced, covering both minerals and waste and concluded that no additional assessment would be necessary at this stage of the process.
- 4.6 Local Plans are also subject to Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRA), a Level 1 SFRA has been carried out which collates and reviews available information on flood risk in Nottinghamshire and individual SFRA's for both the Minerals and Waste Plans will be undertaken at the appropriate stages of Local Plan production.

5 Progress to Date

- 5.1 The table below sets out the progress made to date on the Minerals Local Plan and the Waste Core Strategy.

Table 2: Minerals and Waste development plan progress to date

Minerals Local Plan	
Stage	Date
Minerals Call for Sites Key Stakeholders Consultation	June-December 2008
Minerals Safeguarding Key Stakeholders Consultation	April 2009
Minerals Background Papers Industry Consultation	November-December 2011
Issues and Options Public Consultation	27 January – 30 March 2012
Minerals Revised Call for Sites Consultation	May-June 2012
Preferred Approach Consultation	October – December 2013
Additional Consultation – Sand and Gravel	May – July 2014
Additional Consultation on Sand and Gravel Provision – Shelford West	October – December 2014

Waste Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy	
Stage	Date
Issues and Options Public Consultation	23 October – 8 December 2006
Further Issues and Options Public Consultation	3 September – 29 October 2010
Preferred Approach Public Consultation	22 July – 16 September 2011
Proposed Submission Draft Public Consultation	5 March – 30 April 2012
Schedule of Proposed Changes Public Consultation	1 October – 12 November 2012
Submission to Secretary of State for Independent Examination	14 January 2013
Examination	8 -17 May 2013
Main Modification Consultation	June 2013
Adoption	10 December 2013

5.2 A full timetable for the Minerals Local Plan and Waste Development Plan Documents to be produced is contained in Appendix B.

6 Joint Working

- 6.1 The Waste Local Plans (Parts 1 and 2) have been/are being prepared jointly with Nottingham City Council, as was the case with the 2002 Waste Local Plan. The County Council has taken the lead role and financial resources are split proportionately according to the population. The County Council therefore contribute 72% of the costs; and the City Council 28%.
- 6.2 There are no significant mineral issues within the Nottingham City boundary and therefore the new Minerals Local Plan is not being prepared jointly. However a joint Local Aggregates Assessment is prepared annually to consider future apportionments for aggregate minerals within both the City and County Councils boundaries. The City Council are currently preparing their Land and Policies Part 2 Local Plan which, when adopted, accompanies their adopted Core Strategy and contains policies in relation to Minerals development.

7 Risk Assessment

7.1 In setting out the proposed timetable for work on the new Local Plans, it is important to ensure that these targets are realistic, achievable and take account of possible problems that may arise. The main areas of risk in terms of implementing the development documents are identified as:

- **Uncertainty** – as the new documents are being prepared there is a risk that critical new policy guidance, such as revisions to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), national planning guidance or legislation could impact upon the timetable for plan preparation.
- **Consultation outcomes** - the consultation process could identify additional issues/options to be evaluated and/or the scale of objections could significantly increase the workload and delay implementation. To minimise the risk, extensive pre-submission consultation is to be carried out with stakeholders, statutory consultees, local enterprise partnerships and other key consultees, including parish councils, local environmental groups and the minerals and waste industry.
- **Demand on consultees and the Planning Inspectorate** – many local authorities are likely to be preparing new development plans documents to a similar timetable. This could lead to delays in consultee responses and in time-tabling independent examinations. To minimise this risk the County Council intends to liaise with the Planning Inspectorate to ensure a realistic timetable for preparing each document is achieved.
- **Soundness of development plan documents** – if any document was declared unsound by an Inspector the County Council would have to address the issues of why the document was found unsound, therefore additional work would need to be carried out and the timetable would slip. This would result in significant budget and timetable implications.
- **Joint working** – uncertainty of decision making could lead to significant issues, in addition both the County Council and City Council have different Committee/Cabinet reporting mechanisms and timetables (this relates to the Waste Local Plan only).
- **Legal Challenge** – the document could be subject to successful legal challenge which would result in all or part of the plan being quashed, this would mean starting the plan over.

8 Community Involvement

8.1 The Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) sets out the standards to be achieved by the County Council in involving stakeholders and the community in the preparation, alteration and continuing review of planning policy documents and planning applications. It contains the County Council's proposals for fully engaging all sections of the community and stakeholders at an early stage in the preparation of each document.

- 8.2 An independent examination into the County Council's SCI was carried out and found sound. The SCI was formally adopted by the County Council in June 2007.
- 8.3 A review of the adopted SCI took place in 2013 and the updated document was adopted in Spring 2013.

9 Development Scheme Monitoring and Review

- 9.1 An Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) is prepared each year to assess progress in implementing the Development Scheme, and subsequently to determine whether the aims and objectives are being achieved through the policies and proposals of the planning policy documents. In the event that there have been unavoidable slippages in the LDS timetable, and 'milestones' have not been achieved, the AMR will explain what the reasons are for the delay. It will also set out any amendments to the Development Scheme and the revised timetable for implementation to reflect the delay.
- 9.2 The AMR will also include annual technical monitoring information to provide the context for assessing the success of the policies in the planning policy documents.

10 Further Information

- 10.1 For further information on this minerals and waste development scheme or any of the documents mentioned please contact the County Council at the address shown below. Information on the existing minerals and waste local plans and the development plan process is also available on the County Council's website.

By Post: Nottinghamshire County Council
Planning Policy
County Hall
Loughborough Road
West Bridgford
Nottingham
NG2 7QP

Email: development.planning@nottscc.gov.uk

Website: www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk

- 10.2 This information can be made available in alternative formats and languages.

Glossary

Terms shown in *italics* are defined elsewhere in the glossary.

Annual Monitoring Report (AMR): the annual monitoring report will assess the implementation of the Local Development Scheme and whether policies in *Local Development Documents* are being successfully implemented.

Core Strategy (CS): a *Development Plan Document* which sets out the long-term spatial vision for the local planning authority area.

Development Plan (DP): consists of the relevant Local Plans for the area. In respect of the Waste Development Plan, this incorporates the Waste Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy and Waste Local Plan Part 2: Site Specific and Development Management Policies. In terms of Minerals provision, this relates to the Minerals Local Plan.

Development Plan Documents (DPD): statutory documents which set out the *local planning authority's* formal planning policies for its area. There are different types of document (see also *Core Strategy*, *Development Management Policies*, *Site Specific Policies*, and *Proposals Map*).

Development Management Policies (DMP): a suite of criteria-based policies designed to ensure that all development meets the aims and objectives set out in the *Core Strategy*. Can be included in another *Development Plan Document* or may form a stand-alone document.

Development Scheme: a document setting out the timescales for the production of development plan documents.

Equalities Impact Assessment (EQIA): a management tool that makes sure that policies and working practices do not discriminate against certain groups and that, opportunities are taken to promote equality.

Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA): required under the European Directive 92/43/EEC on the “conservations of natural habitats and wild fauna and flora for plans” that may have an impact of a European nature conservation site, such as a Special Protection Area. It is an assessment of the impacts of implementing a plan or a policy on a European nature conservation site with the purpose of considering the potential impacts against conservation objectives of the site and to ascertain whether it would adversely affect the integrity of the site.

Independent Examination: all *Development Plan Documents* need to be tested for soundness through an independent examination held by an independent inspector appointed by the Secretary of State.

Issues and Options (IO): initial, informal consultation stage setting out the main planning issues and a range of possible options. Responses will help to identify

what are the most realistic options, which will then be put forward as *Preferred Options*.

Local Development Document (LDD): the collective term for *Development Plan Documents*, *Supplementary Planning Documents* and the *Statement of Community Involvement*.

Local Development Framework (LDF): the name for the portfolio of *Local Development Documents*. It consists of *Development Plan Documents*, *Supplementary Planning Documents*, a *Statement of Community Involvement*, the *Local Development Scheme* and *Annual Monitoring Reports*. Together these documents will provide the framework for delivering the spatial planning strategy for a local authority area (see also *Waste Development Framework*).

Local Plan (LP): a plan setting out the spatial and strategic direction for development within a plan area. For Nottinghamshire this only relates to minerals and waste development.

Local Strategic Partnership (LSP): partnerships of stakeholders who develop ways of involving local people in shaping the future of their neighbourhood in how services are provided.

Waste Development Framework (WDF): the equivalent of the *Local Development Framework*, produced by County Councils who are responsible for minerals and waste planning.

Minerals and Waste Development Scheme (MWDS): the equivalent of the *Local Development Scheme* produced by County Councils who are responsible for minerals and waste planning.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF): the NPPF replaces all other national planning policies documents (PPG/PPS) and many circulars, with the exception of Planning Policy Statement 10 'Planning for Sustainable Waste Management', streamlining them into one document. It sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. It provides a framework within which local and neighbourhood plans can be produced reflecting the needs and priorities of the local area.

Neighbourhood Plan (NP): A plan prepared by a Parish Council or Neighbourhood Forum for a particular neighbourhood area (made under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).

Preferred Approach (PA): Informal consultation stage which will identify the Local Planning Authority's preferred approach(es) to likely development proposals and any alternatives that have been rejected, along with the reasons for this.

Proposals Map: the adopted proposals map illustrates on a base map all the policies contained in *Development Plan Documents*, together with any saved policies. It must be revised as each new *Development Plan Document* is adopted, and it should always reflect the up-to-date planning strategy for the area.

Saved Policies or Plans: existing adopted development plans which are to be saved (usually up to 3 years unless otherwise extended by Government direction) until they are replaced by the new style *Development Plan Documents*.

Site Allocations Document: *Development Plan Document* which allocates specific sites for development.

Statement of Community Involvement (SCI): a document which informs how a council will involve the community on all major planning applications and in the preparation of documents.

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA): a procedure (set out in the Environmental Assessment of Plan and Programmes Regulations 2004) which requires the formal environmental assessment of certain plans and programmes which are likely to have significant effects on the environment.

Submission Draft: Final draft of the Local Plan/Core Strategy, submitted to the Secretary of State and Local Government, subject to independent examination by the Planning Inspectorate, which includes public hearings and a Inspector's Report. Formal representations made at this stage will be considered at the *Independent Examination*

Sustainability Appraisal (SA): a document that examines the social, economic and environmental effects of strategies and policies in a development plan from the outset of its preparation. In the UK this process incorporates the EU requirement for *Strategic Environmental Assessment* of plans or programmes.

Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS): local authorities are required to prepare these, with the aim of improving the social, environmental and economic well being of their areas in conjunction with local public, private, voluntary and community sectors.

Appendix A – Geographical Plan Coverage



Appendix B – Waste Local Plan Policies replaced by the Waste Core Strategy

Appendix

Appendix 1

Waste Local Plan policies replaced by the Waste Core Strategy

The following policies within the Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Waste Local Plan (adopted January 2002) have been replaced:

Chapter 3 – Environmental Protection

W3.16 – Bulk Transport of waste

Chapter 5 – Waste Recycling

W5.1 – Household Waste Recycling Centres – Areas of Search

W5.2 – Household Waste Recycling Centres in Disposal Sites

W5.3 – Mini Recycling Centres

W5.4 – Material Recovery Facility – Eastcroft

W5.5 – Material Recovery Facilities – Industrial Estates

W5.6 – Material Recovery Facilities – Waste Disposal Sites

W5.7 – Permanent Aggregate Recycling Centres

W5.8 – Mobile Aggregate Recycling Centres

W5.9 – Recycling Soils

W5.10 – Scrapyards – Areas of Search

W5.11 – Scrapyards – Existing Sites

Chapter 6 – Waste Treatment & Energy Recovery from Waste

W6.1 – Future Provision of Municipal Incinerators

W6.2 – Clinical Incinerators

W6.3 – Other Technologies

W6.4 – Refuse Derived Fuel

W6.5 – Energy Recovery from Incineration – Environmental Impact

W6.6 – Energy Recovery from Incineration – Economic Viability

W6.7 – Energy Recovery from Waste Disposal – Environmental Impact

W6.8 – Energy Recovery from Waste Disposal – Economic Viability

Chapter 7 – Composting & Landspreading

W7.1 – Commercial Composting Sites – Areas of Search

W7.2 – Commercial Composting – Waste Disposal Sites

W7.3 – Small Scale Composting Schemes in Agricultural Areas

Chapter 8 – Waste Water & Sewage Treatment

W8.1 – Future Requirements

Chapter 9 – Waste Transfer Stations

W9.1 – General Waste Transfer Stations – Areas of Search

Chapter 10 – Waste Disposal

W10.1 – Waste Disposal in Mineral sites, other Voids and Colliery Spoil Heaps

W10.2 – Waste Disposal in Derelict or Degraded Land

W10.3 – Waste Disposal in Greenfield Sites

W10.4 – Bantinck Void & Colliery Tip - Allocation

Appendix C – Minerals and Waste Timetables

Minerals Local Plan

Stage	Dates	Completed
Minerals Safeguarding Consultation	April 2009	Yes

Stage	Dates	Completed
Issues and Options Consultation	27 January – 30 March 2012	Yes

Stage	Dates	Completed
Preferred Approach Consultation	October 2013	Yes
Additional Consultation on Sand and Gravel	May 2014	Yes
Additional Consultation on Sand and Gravel Provision – Shelford West	October 2014	Yes
Submission Draft consultation	September 2015	No
Submission	January 2016	No
Pre-hearing meeting	March 2016	No
Examination	April 2016	No
Adoption	December 2016	No

Waste Core Strategy:

Stage	Dates	Completed
Waste Core Strategy Issues and Options Consultation	23 October – 8 December 2006	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Further Issues and Options Consultation	3 September – 29 October 2010	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Preferred Approach Consultation	22 July – 16 September 2011	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Proposed Submission Draft	5 March – 30 April 2012	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Submission	January 2013	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Pre-hearing meeting	March 2013	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Examination Hearing	May 2013	Yes
Waste Core Strategy Adoption	December 2013	Yes

Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies:

Stage	Dates	Completed
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Preferred Approach	November 2015	No
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Submission Draft	May 2016	No
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Submission	October 2016	No
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Pre-hearing meeting	November 2016	No
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Examination	December 2016	No
Waste Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Adoption	April 2017	No

11 May 2015

Agenda Item: 6

REPORT OF THE CORPORATE DIRECTOR FOR POLICY, PLANNING AND CORPORATE SERVICES

RESPONSES ON PLANNING CONSULTATIONS AND STRATEGIC PLANNING OBSERVATIONS

Purpose of the Report

1. To provide a summary of the current status of planning consultations received, and being dealt with, by the County Council from Nottinghamshire District and Borough Councils, neighbouring authorities and central government.
2. To provide information to Committee on the formal responses which have been agreed by the Chairman of Environment and Sustainability Committee, in consultation with the Group Manager Planning, requests from Nottinghamshire Borough and District Councils, neighbouring authorities and central government

Information and Advice

Planning Consultations Received

3. The Planning Policy Team has received planning 17 consultations during the period the 24th February to the 31st March 2015 this is set out in Appendix A.

Planning Consultation Responses

4. Responses to Nottinghamshire District and Borough Councils planning consultations are set at Appendix B.
5. It should be noted that all comments contained in the sent responses could be subject to change, as a result of on-going negotiations between Nottinghamshire County Council, the Local Authority and the applicants.

Other Options Considered

6. There are no alternative options to consider as the report is for information only.

Reason for Recommendation

7. This report is for information only.

Statutory and Policy Implications

8. This report has been compiled after consideration of implications in respect of finance, the public sector equality duty, human resources, crime and disorder, human rights, the safeguarding of children, sustainability and the environment and those using the service and where such implications are material they are described below. Appropriate consultation has been undertaken and advice sought on these issues as required.

RECOMMENDATION

1) Environment and Sustainability Committee note the report.

Jayne Francis-Ward
Corporate Director, Planning, Policy and Corporate Services

For any enquiries about this report please contact: Nina Wilson, Principal Planning Officer, Planning Policy Team, 0115 97 73793

Background Papers

Individual Consultations and their responses.

Except for previously published documents, which will be available elsewhere, the documents listed here will be available for inspection in accordance with Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972.

Constitutional Comments

9. As this report is for noting only constitutional comments are not required.

Financial Comments

10. There are no direct financial implications arising from the contents of this report.

Electoral Division(s) and Member(s) Affected

All.

Appendix A – Nottinghamshire County Council: Planning Consultations Received – February/March 2015

Date Received	ID	Address	Details	Officer Dealing	Response Type	Reason	Notes
Ashfield District Council							
13.03.15	Ashfield District Council		Consultation on the Review of the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report for Ashfield – 16 th March to 30 th April 2015	NW	O	Did not meet agreed protocol	Comments sent to LPA
Bassetlaw District Council							
24.02.15	Bassetlaw District Council		Sturton Ward Draft Neighbourhood Development Plan	KH	C	Meets agreed protocol	April E & S Committee
06.03.15	Bassetlaw District Council 15/00192/OUT	Land South West of Worksop Bypass, Worksop	Outline planning application with some matters reserved for employment and distribution development (Use Classes B1, B2 and B8) and the construction of a new access off the A57	NW	O	Did not meet agreed protocol	Comments sent to LPA
09.03.15	Bassetlaw District Council	Sutton Grange Lound, Low Road, Sutton Cum Lound,	Demolish existing weighbridge offices and erect replacement	NW	O	Did not meet agreed protocol	Comments sent to LPA

	15/00100/FUL	Retford	weighbridge offices				
Gedling Borough Council							
03.03.15	Gedling Borough Council 2011/0523	Woodborough Park, Foxwood Lane	Erection of 1 medium sized wind turbine with a generating capacity of 330kw. The turbine has a hub height of 50.09m and a blade length of 16.7m. Ancillary development comprises a permanent access track and crane pad	NW	C	Meets agreed protocol	April E & S Committee
Mansfield District Council							
27.02.15	Mansfield District Council 2015/0083/NT	Balmoral Drive, Mansfield	Outline application with all matters reserved for 35 no. dwellings	NW	O	Did not meet agreed Protocol	S106 comments requested only
Newark and Sherwood Council							
26.02.15	Newark & Sherwood DC		Consultation on the Gypsy & Traveller Development Plan Document Preferred Strategy	NW	C	Meets agreed protocol	April E & S Committee
27.02.15	Newark & Sherwood DC 15/00036/FULM	207 Hawton Road, Newark On Trent	Erection of 20 new build homes to replace existing consent 12/00572/FULM with social housing relocated to 17 Northgate, Newark	NW	C	Meets agreed protocol	April E & S Committee

12.03.15	Newark & Sherwood District Council 15/SCO/00001	Land South of Newark Claypole Lane Fernwood	Scoping request for land South of Fernwood	NW	O	Did not meet agreed protocol	Comments sent to LPA
16.03.15	Newark & Sherwood District Council 15/00324/FULM	Field Reference Number 6508, Ossington Road, Carlton on Trent	Construction of a solar photovoltaic farm with attendant equipment and infrastructure	NW	C	Meets agreed protocol	May E & S Committee
Rushcliffe Borough Council							
27.02.15	Rushcliffe Borough Council 15/00339/FUL	Land North of Gamston Lings Bar Road and East of, Melton Road, Edwalton	Erection of 271 dwellings; construction of new access, open space, play area, landscaping, surface water attenuation area, internal roads and associated infrastructure	NW	C	Meets agreed protocol	April E & S Committee
06.03.15	Rushcliffe Borough Council 15/00419/VAR	South Nottinghamshire Academy, Glebe Lane, Radcliffe on Trent	Vary condition 2 of 14/01813/FUL to allow amendments to elevations with reference to height and fenestration and vary condition 4 to change 'Keep Clear' signage requirement to pre occupation not pre commencement	NW	O	Did not meet agreed protocol	Comments sent to LPA
Other							

24.02.15	Derbyshire County Council and Derby City Council		Derbyshire and Derby Joint Minerals Local Plan – Towards a strategy for the provision of Brick Clay and Fire Clay	SOJ	O	Did not meet agreed protocol	On-going
24.02.15	Derbyshire County Council and Derby City Council		Derbyshire and Derby Joint Minerals Local Plan – Towards a strategy for the provision of industrial limestone	SOJ	O	Did not meet agreed protocol	On-going
24.02.15	Derbyshire County Council and Derby City Council		Derbyshire and Derby Joint Minerals Local Plan – Cement Supporting Paper	SOJ	O	Did not meet agreed protocol	On-going
24.02.15	Department for Communities & Local Government		Section 106 Planning Obligations – speeding up negotiations	NW	O	Did not meet agreed protocol	Comments sent to LPA
13.03.15	RPS Planning & Development Ltd	Sustainable Urban Extension, Fernwood, Newark	Request for an Environmental Impact Assessment Scoping Opinion in relation to land at Fernwood South	NW	O	Did not meet agreed protocol	Comments sent to LPA

Response type

C = Committee

O = Officer

Appendix B – Planning Consultations Responded To

A response has been provided on the following consultations:

Date Received	Ref. No.	Address	Details	Comments
Broxtowe Borough Council				
6 th February 2015	n/a	Broxtowe BC	Consultation on the Broxtowe Local Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for responses sent 10th Feb 2015 Request for final comments on draft response sent 6th March 2015 Names of Members notified: Cllr Creamer, Cllr Skelding, Cllr Butler, Cllr Heptinstall MBE, Cllr Carr, Cllr Doddy, Cllr Foale, Cllr Handly, Cllr Jackson, Cllr Longdon, Cllr Owen, Cllr Rigby, Cllr William Response agreed with Chairman on 18th March 2015
Bassetlaw District Council				
20 th February 2015	15/000 92/FUL	Bassetlaw Hospital, Kilton Hill, Worksop	Multi-use building, car parking and landscaping	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for responses sent 20th Feb 2015 Request for final comments on draft response sent 10th March 2015 Names of Members notified: Cllr Creamer, Cllr Skelding, Cllr Butler, Cllr Heptinstall MBE, Cllr Gilfoyle, Cllr Rhodes, Cllr Fielding, Cllr Greaves Response agreed with Chairman on 18th March 2015
23 rd February 2015	N/A	Sturton Ward	Sturton Ward Draft	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for responses sent 24th

			Neighbourhood Ward	<p>February 2015.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for final comments on draft response sent 11th March 2015. Names of Members notified: Cllr Creamer, Cllr Skelding, Cllr Butler, Cllr Heptinstall MBE, and Cllr Ogle Response agreed with Chairman on 18th March 2015.
Newark and Sherwood District Council				
23 rd February 2015	n/a	Newark and Sherwood DC	Consultation of the Gypsy and Traveller DPD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for responses sent 3rd March 2015 Request for final comments on draft response sent 18th March 2015 Names of Members notified: Cllr Creamer, Cllr Skelding, Cllr Butler, Cllr Heptinstall MBE, Cllr Dobson, Cllr Jackson, Cllr Laughton, Cllr Pringle, Cllr Peck, Cllr Roberts, Cllr Saddington, Cllr Walker, Cllr Wallace, Cllr Woodhead Response agreed with Chairman on 23rd March 2015
Rushcliffe Borough Council				
12 th January 2015	14/027 1/FUL	Land to the SW of Edwalton Lodge Close	Erection of 280 dwellings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request for responses sent 19th Feb 2015 Request for final comments on draft response sent 30th March 2015 Names of Members notified: Cllr Creamer, Cllr Skelding, Cllr Butler, Cllr Heptinstall MBE, Cllr Plant, Cllr Calvert, Cllr Response agreed

				with Chairman on 30 th March 2015
27 th February 2015	15/003 39/FUL	Land North of Gamston Lings Bar Road and East of Melton Road, Edwalton	Erection of 271 dwellings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request for responses sent 3rd Feb 2015 • Request for final comments on draft response sent 3rd March 2015 • Names of Members notified: Cllr Creamer, Cllr Skelding, Cllr Butler, Cllr Heptinstall MBE, Cllr Plant, Cllr Calvert, Cllr • Response agreed with Chairman on 10th March 2015
19 th February 2015	n/a	East Leake	East Leake Neighbourhood Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request for responses sent 20th Feb 2015 • Request for final comments on draft response sent 18th March 2015 • Names of Members notified: Cllr Creamer, Cllr Skelding, Cllr Butler, Cllr Heptinstall MBE, Cllr Adair, Cllr Brown • Response agreed with Chairman on 23rd March 2015

11 May 2015**Agenda Item: 7****REPORT OF CORPORATE DIRECTOR, POLICY, PLANNING AND
CORPORATE SERVICES****WORK PROGRAMME****Purpose of the Report**

1. To consider the Committee's work programme for 2014-15

Information and Advice

2. The County Council requires each committee to maintain a work programme. The work programme will assist the management of the committee's agenda, the scheduling of the committee's business and forward planning. The work programme will be updated and reviewed at each pre-agenda meeting and committee meeting. Any member of the committee is able to suggest items for possible inclusion.
3. The attached work programme has been drafted in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman, and includes items which can be anticipated at the present time. Other items will be added to the programme as they are identified.
4. As part of the transparency introduced by the new committee arrangements, each committee is expected to review day to day operational decisions made by officers using their delegated powers. The Committee may wish to commission periodic reports on such decisions where relevant.

Other Options Considered

5. None.

Reason/s for Recommendation/s

6. To assist the committee in preparing its work programme.

Statutory and Policy Implications

7. This report has been compiled after consideration of implications in respect of finance, public sector equality duty, human resources, crime and disorder, human rights, the safeguarding of children, sustainability and the environment and those using the service and where such

implications are material they are described below. Appropriate consultation has been undertaken and advice sought on these issues as required.

RECOMMENDATION/S

- 1) That the Committee's work programme be noted, and consideration be given to any changes which the Committee wishes to make.

Jayne Francis-Ward

Corporate Director, Policy, Planning and Corporate Services

For any enquiries about this report please contact: Martin Gately, Democratic Services Officer on 0115 977 2826

Constitutional Comments (HD)

8. The Committee has authority to consider the matters set out in this report by virtue of its terms of reference.

Financial Comments (PS)

9. There are no financial implications arising directly from this report.

Background Papers

Except for previously published documents, which will be available elsewhere, the documents listed here will be available for inspection in accordance with Section 100D of the Local Government Act 1972.

- New Governance Arrangements report to County Council – 29 March 2012 and minutes of that meeting (published)

Electoral Division(s) and Member(s) Affected

All

ENVIRONMENT & SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE - WORK PROGRAMME

<u>Report Title</u>	<u>Brief summary of agenda item</u>	<u>For Decision or Information ?</u>	<u>Lead Officer</u>	<u>Report Author</u>
Items to be scheduled for future meetings (dates to be confirmed)				
18 June 2015				
Local Government Ombudsman "Not in My Backyard" Focus Report	TBC	Information	Sally Gill	
Responses on Planning Consultations and Strategic Planning Observations	To provide a summary of the current status of planning consultations received and being dealt with by the County Council.	Information	Lisa Bell	Nina Wilson
Annual Recycling and Composting Plan 2015-16	To provide details of the Annual Recycling and Composting Plan	Decision	Helen Lester	
3 September 2015				
Minerals Local Plan	Request approval for consultation	Decision	Lisa Bell	
Responses on Planning Consultations and Strategic Planning Observations	To provide a summary of the current status of planning consultations received and being dealt with by the County Council.	Information	Lisa Bell	Nina Wilson
19 November 2015				
Responses on Planning Consultations and Strategic Planning Observations	To provide a summary of the current status of planning consultations received and being dealt with by the County Council.	Information	Lisa Bell	Nina Wilson

Future Committee Dates

Thursday 21 January 2016 at 10:30 am, Thursday 10 March 2016 at 10:30 am, Thursday 28 April 2016 at 10:30 am, Thursday 21 July 2016 at 2:00 pm.

Future Reports:

Recycling Centre Service Changes (Mick Allen)

Finalisation revised project plan – Veolia	TBC	Information	Jas Hundal	
--	-----	-------------	------------	--

