

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2: Sand and	gravel pr	ovision			
23401 - The Bell Family Trust [2866]	Comment	Insufficient sites have been identified to meet the target provision (upon which we have commented elsewhere.) Some of the sites included in the existing provision have question marks regarding their deliverability and we therefore feel other sites should be allocated, especially where this can be done through the use of extensions to existing sites rather than through new sites.  We feel that site PA27, Manor Farm, Spalford should be reconsidered as an extension to Girton Quarry. Girton is a quarry where the principle of extensions is accepted and has the capacity for barge transport too.		Based on the assessment work undertaken the County Council has allocated adequate sites that if feels are deliverable and realistic to meet the provision figure set out in Policy MP1. The Manor Farm proposal is not considered deliverable due to the lack of mineral operator involvement. Also given the lack of an operator the Manor Farm proposal site is classed as a new greenfield site as it could only process mineral through the existing Girton Quarry if both sites were worked by the same operator.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23611 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006	5]	The RSPB encourages the Council to allocate the Langfield West site.  Being a riparian site, Langfield West would be a key component in the delivery of Water Framework Directive objectives in Nottinghamshire. Langfield West could include re-connecting the River Trent with its floodplain, naturalising the river channel, flood alleviation, management of diffuse pollution and improved riparian public access. Allocating Langfield West would have the added benefit of enabling these Water Framework Directive objectives to be delivered on both sides of the river, providing double the benefits. These benefits could not be achieved on a non-riparian allocation.  The site would also make a valuable addition to the biodiversity-led restoration of the Langford / Besthorpe / Cromwell complex, bringing the total area of this complex to over 600ha. This would make this complex of sites one of the most important in the country for landscape-scale creation of priority habitats on restored mineral sites.  Finally, it is worth noting that Langford West has a better Sustainability Appraisal score than many of the allocated sites, especially in the long-term.	Include Langford West	Comments accepted. Initially the western extension to Langford quarry was not proposed for allocation as the southern and northern extensions to Langford would have been adequate for the plan plan period. However as a result of the feedback from the Preferred Approach consultation, including a reduction to the area of Langford South and revised site outputs, further sand and gravel reserves are needed within the plan period. Given the potential benefit from the site restoration and the additional sand and gravel reserves the site is now proposed for allocation.	Include Langford West extension allocation in future versions of the document.
23116 - Mrs Greta Johnson [2724]	Comment	We would agree with existing proposals to use the existing gravel extraction sites and to extend them as proposed currently to deal with current and immediate demand, rather than opening up new gravel extraction sites.		Support noted	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23719 - Newark and Sherwood District Council (Matthew Tubb) [2950]	Comment	Six sites have been identified in the Besthorpe and Langford (SGh, MP2e, MP2f, SGj, MP2i and MP2h) area and the District Council is therefore concerned that this does not give rise to detrimental cumulative impact on the local environment or the amenity of local residents.		Comments noted.	
		The phased approach, ensuring no more than 2 of these sites are operational at one time, should help to minimise the impacts which could arise from development occurring concurrently across the sites and so is supported.			
		Due to their proximity there is the strong potential for these sites to provide a network of linked Green Infrastructure through their restoration. This approach would reflect the aims of the District's Green Infrastructure Strategy and would therefore be supported.			
		The proposed restoration schemes are supported, in particular where they incorporate flood risk alleviation measures. It is also crucial that the approaches to restoration reflect the recommendations of the District's Landscape Character Assessment; this appears to be the case and so is supported.			

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23921 - Mr Roy Hunt [2552]	Object	Once again we are asked our views on an application for sand/gravel extraction in our village of Little Carlton.  The dirt, noise and extra traffic would make village life a misery. In the last year the volume of traffic and farm tractors has increased greatly. Most of the cars and lorries coming down Debdale Hill on the A616 speed up as they pass though the village.  Plus any accident or break down on the A1 brings more as the diversions are through and around the village.  The recent repair of Kelham Bridge brought all the surrounding roads to a standstill.  Another concern is the level of the water table. We have been told it is quite high in this area. I understand the need for sand and gravel extraction but please not in any village.		A potential site was put forward at Little Carlton as part of the call for sites exercise however this site has not been allocated in the preferred approach document nor is there a current planning application in this area relating to sand and gravel extraction.	

MP2: Sand and gravel provision

### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23779 - Lafarge Tarmac [2795]	Object	Policy requires more information on permitted reserves at each site and reserve shortfall. Policy should set out anticipated reserves for the proposed allocations to demonstrate shortfall/surplus over the Plan period. Using the policy justification there is a requirement to supply 49 million tonnes of sand and gravel over the Plan period. Existing permitted reserves are 19 million tonnes, leaving a shortfall of 30 million tonnes. The allocations total 24 million tonnes leaving a shortfall of 6 million tonnes. We believe additional sites at Home Farm, Kelham, and Langford West should be allocated to meet this shortfall.	Provide more detail on permitted reserves within Policy MP2 for each site. Allocate additional sites at: - Home Farm, Kelham - Langford West	The inital allocations set out in the Preferred Approach consultation document provided adequate sand and gravel provision to meet the requirement over the plan period based on the information available at the time. However as a result of the feedback from the consultation further reserves are needed to make up the shortfall identified throughout the plan period. Previously submitted sites and new sites put forward through the consultation have been assessed through the Sustainability Appraisal and deliverability process and further allocations have been made which are subject to an additional consultation.  The Langford West extension is being proposed as an allocation due to the additional reserves it provides and the potential for a high quality restoration scheme. The green field site at Home Farm is not being allocated as it is not considered deliverable within the current plan period bearing in mind current inactive permitted sites within control of the same operator.	Include Langford West extension allocation in the revised plan.
23440 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Object	Historic environment issues do not always get reflected in the development briefs. We also have concerns about cumulative impacts on the historic environment. A number of preferred sites are partly or wholly located within areas of Highest Environmental Sensitivity. We question whether it is suitable to allocate such sites given the constraints. A number of development briefs require proposals to "consider" the impact on heritage assets. This seems rather vague and does not make clear that the significance and setting of heritage assets should be protected and enhanced.	Amend development briefs to be clearer on historic environment issues for specific sites. Consider suitability of sites in areas of Highest Environmental Sensitivity.	Comments noted. The aim of the development briefs is to highlight the key issues that will need to be addressed at the planning application stage. The planning application would need to be in-line with the policies set out in the plan including DM6: Historic Environment, as well as undertaking detailed assessment work'. If further information comes forward as the plan is progressed this will be included in the development brief	

MP2: Sand and gravel provision

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23902 - Mick George (Mr John Gough) [2752] 23922 - Tessa Rentoul [2956]	Object	Promotion of the allocation of Flash Farm on the basis of:  - Doubts about the deliverability of certain sites in the Plan compared to the immediate proposals for Flash Farm A preference for extensions should not be used to exclude entry to new operators in the County - The apportionment for sand and gravel should be increased as future demand has been underestimated A very significant proportion of the mineral to be worked within the plan period is within the direct control of a single operator which could be considered anti-competitive.  Support expressed for this allocation on the basis that the site can: - make a valuable contribution to the mineral provision - provide a greater degree of competition in the market place dominated by a single producer - make caluable contributions to the County's Biodiversity Action Plan and provide permanent extension to the flood storage capacity within the Trent Valley can be developed without removing any sections of any hedgerows		The proposed site at Flash Farm was not previously considered for allocation prior to the Preferred Approach consultation document as the site was not being promoted by a mineral operator and therefore deliverability could not be demonstrated. Adequate reserves to meet demand over the plan period had also be identified through the delivery of other sites.  As a result of the feedback from the consultation further sand and gravel reserves are needed. The Flash Farm proposal is now being promoted by a mineral operator and as such the site has been assessed through the Sustainability Appraisal and in the light of the shortfall in provision over the plan period is now being proposed as an allocation which is subject to an additional public consultation.	Include the Flash Farm allocation in the revised document (including site development briefs).
23741 - Rotherham Sand and Gravel Ltd [496]	Support	Rotherham Sand and Gravel Co. Ltd supports the inclusion of MP2c Scrooby North and MP2d Scrooby South within Draft Policy MP2.		Support noted.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23354 - Roberta Prime [2469]	Support	I was delighted to note that following the detailed and through research (as set out in background papers) to select sites, both Bulcote Farm and the two Shelford sites were rejected. You clearly acknowledge that: - both sites lie within an area of multiple environmental sensitivity (with lots of ecological and historical 'hotspots') and high flood risk - the impact of climate change in the future is highly to exacerbating flooding - economic considerations should not take precedence over environmental and community factors  National guidance states that inappropriate development in areas of flood risk should be avoided by directing development away from areas of highest risk. The sustainability appraisal document makes it clear that the negative impacts upon the environment and communities for these sites far outweigh economic considerations and that no amount of 'mitigation' would ever make them acceptable.		Support noted	

MP2: Sand and gravel provision

### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23700 - Burton Joyce Preservation Society (Richard Fife) [2946]	Support	Burton Joyce Preservation Society fully supports the provisional decision in the Minerals Plan Preferred Options to exclude site PA07 (Bulcote Farm) as a potential site for mineral extraction. We entirely endorse the motion recently passed by Burton Joyce Parish Council to this effect, and also the representations of the Burton Joyce Residents' Association. This Society is in full agreement with the findings expressed in the Preferred Options that the increased flood risk, damage to human health, injury to wildlife, the natural environment and landscape would be unacceptable if mineral extraction were to be allowed on this site. We consider the site could only be considered economically viable by ignoring the fact that the true costs would not be paid by the extraction industry, but overwhelmingly by the resident community in this area.		Support for the Plan.	
23208 - Broxtowe Borough Council (Mr Dave Lawson) [2622]	Support	The Council welcomes the absence of proposals for sand and gravel extraction west of the City, as any additional processing at the Attenborough plant would be liable to cause serious harm to the Attenborough Gravel Pits SSSI and to local residential amenity.		Support noted	
23156 - Shelford Against Gravel Extraction (SAGE) (Mr J R Whysall) [2392]	Support	Shelford Against Gravel Extraction supports the Council's recognition from the Issues and Options stage as to the importance of in selecting sand and gravel sites:  a) Minimising flood risk b) Protecting agricultural land c) Looking to the extension of existing sites rather than allocating new ones		Support noted	
23110 - North Yorkshire County Council (Mrs Joan Jackson) [2716]	Support	North Yorkshire County Council supports Policy MP2 because they would assist in maintaining supply of sand and gravel into markets also served by quarries in North Yorkshire and therefore help avoid the potential need for increased sand and gravel working in the North Yorkshire area, where there is already pressure on continued maintenance of supply.		Support noted	

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23162 - Burton Joyce Parish Council (Mrs Jackie Dawn) [896]	Support	Burton Joyce Parish Council notes with satisfaction the exclusion of site PA07, Bulcote Farm, from those which might be approved for gravel extraction in the preferred option for the new County Minerals Plan currently being finalised. We strongly endorse the conclusions in the proposed document that extraction in our area would impact very adversely on flood risk; would score very negatively in respect of human health and quality of life; would badly reduce local biodiversity; and would have further negative effects on historic environment and landscape. This Council therefore strongly urges that this area remains, after final consultation, excluded from any possible aggregate extraction.		Support noted	
23732 - Mr Richard Green [2425]	Support	Erewash Borough Council supports the preferred approach document; in particular: Policy MP2: Sand and gravel provision Policy MP11: Coal - Particularly the criteria for the proposal to be environmentally acceptable Policy MP12: Hydrocarbons - Particularly the criteria that proposals will be supported provided they do not give rise to any unacceptable impacts on the environment or residential amenity.		Support noted.	
23112 - Mr RW Gill [2487] 23115 - Patricia Baseley [2545]	Support	Two comments stating that 'Clearly in developing the Minerals Plan Nottinghamshire County Council Planning Officers have taken account of our concerns and recommendations [in relation in sand and gravel provision], accordingly we are happy with the Draft Proposals as written.'		Support noted	

# Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23301 - Burton Joyce Residents Association [93]	Support	We note with satisfaction the exclusion of the Bulcote Farm site and strongly endorse the negative findings listed in the Sustainability Appraisal. The conclusions accord with objections we have made for many years; any gravel extraction would impact very adversely on the health and lives of adjacent communities, increase the risk of serious flooding and could cause subsidence to buildings nearby through de-watering.		Support noted	
		It makes insufficient reference to dust, noise and risks to safety connected with extraction in a relatively densely populated area. Further, it would seriously damage local biodiversity, the historic environment and valuable landscape.			
		We strongly urge that the Bulcote Farm site remain excluded from the Local Minerals Plan. Serious consideration should be given to removing it entirely from all future Minerals Plans.			
23120 - Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council (Local Development Framework) [1049]	Support	A number of site identified in Policy MP2 (sand and gravel provision) will contribute towards supplying aggregate mineral to the Doncaster area and South Yorkshire region. This has been evidenced in our Local Aggregate Assessment.		Support noted	
MP2a Finningley	Extensi	on			
23441 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There is the potential for the site to contain non-designated archaeology. The setting of the nearby grade II listed Newlands Farm should also be considered. The development brief does not refer to these issues and how they should be addressed.		Comments noted. Additional text will be included in the site development breif to highlight the potential for non-designated archaeology and the setting of the Grade II listed Newlands Farm. The site development briefs dont state how issues such as those above should be addressed as this will be undertaken at the planning application stage. Detailed assessments will be required at this stage and will need to be inline with policies set out in the including policy DM6: Historic Environment.	Make reference to the potential for non-designated archaeology and the setting of the Grade II listed Newlands Farm in the site development brief for MP2a Finningley Extension.

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2a Finningley Extension

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23263 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  The flood risk assessment (FRA) should consider how works can mitigate against the loss of floodplain storage caused by temporary spoil heaps. Location of spoil heaps should also be away from flood conveyance routes so as not to increase flood risk. The FRA must also consider how the site will be restored to ensure that flood risk is managed appropriately.  This potential site allocation lies within Source Protection Zone 3 and is underlain by a Secondary Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution.  Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the SPZ and Secondary Aquifer would be required as part of a planning application submission. Reference to the SPZ and aquifer will also be included in the site development brief.	Include references to Source Protection Zones and aquifer in MP2a Finningley Extension site development brief.
23290 - Lafarge Tarmac (David Atkinson) [2797]	Support	Lafarge Tarmac will shortly be submitting a planning application for an extension to Finningley Quarry to facilitate the extraction of approximately 1.5 million tonnes of sand and gravel, and reaffirm their support in principle for the allocation proposed for the quarry in MP2a.		Support noted. If a plannng application is submitted and approved the emerging Plan will be updated to reflect the change.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2b Bawtry Road North

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2b Bawtry Ro	oad North	)			
23264 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  This site lies within flood zone 2 and there may be opportunities through site restoration to not only deliver priority habitat but also flood risk improvements.  This potential site allocation lies within Source Protection Zone 3 and is underlain by a Principal Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution. Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.		Comments noted. Detailed assessment work in relation to the Source Protection Zone and Principal aquifer will be required as part of the planning application process. However reference to the Source Protection Zone and the principal Aquifer will be included in the site development brief. The potential for flood risk improvements as part of the restoration scheme will also be highlighted.	Amend the site development brief for MP2b to make reference to the Source Protection Zone, Principal Aquifer and potential for flood risk improvements as part of the restoration scheme.
23442 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There are a number of designated heritage assets at Austerfield and Misson; the settings of which will need to be considered. The development brief mentions these assets but needs to explain how they should be addressed.		Comments noted. The aim of the development briefs is to highlight the key issues that will need to be addressed at the planning application stage. The planning application would need to be in-line with the policies set out in the plan including DM6: Historic Environment, as well as undertaking detailed assessment work'. If further information comes forward as the plan is progressed this will be included in the development brief	
23796 - Natural England (Consultation Services) [1750]		The Habitats Regulation Assessment screening report states that MP2b could have a possible indirect links to the Hatfield Moor SAC.		Comments noted. The County Council acknowledges the possible indirect links to the Hatfield Moor SAC via hydrological pathways. This would be dealt with through the site specific EIA as part of the planning application process. However, a note will be added to the site development brief to ensure that relevant consideration is given.	Update MP2b: Bawtry Road North site development brief to ensure consideration is given to the potential indirect links to the Hatfield Moor SAC.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2c Scrooby North

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2c Scrooby N	lorth				
23443 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There is the potential for non-designated archaeology at this site. The development brief does not refer to this issue and how it should be addressed.		Comments noted. Additional text will be included in the site development breif to highlight the potential for non-designated archaeology. The site development briefs dont state how issues such as those above should be addressed as this will be undertaken at the planning application stage. Detailed assessments will be required at this stage and will need to be inline with policies set out in the plan including policy DM6: Historic Environment.	Make reference to the potential for non-designated archaeology on the site in the site development brief for MP2c Scrooby North.
23265 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  This site lies within flood zone 1 and is therefore at low risk of flooring from fluvial sources such as our 'main rivers'. Development over 1 hectare will require a flood risk assessment to ensure that surface water runoff is managed effectively on site and does not lead to flood risk elsewhere.  This potential site allocation lies within Source Protection Zone 3 and is underlain by a Principal Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution. Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the SPZ and aquifer would be required as part of a planning application submission. Reference to the SPZ and aquifer will also be included in the site development brief.	Include information on the SPZ and Aquifer in the site development brief for MP2c Scrooby North.
23852 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Comment	It should be noted that Scrooby north is within an area with historical records of nightjar and woodlark, protected under the Birds Directive and the Conservation Regulations 2010 and so this may be a particular consideration at an application stage.		Comments noted. Detailed assessment work would be undertaken as part of the planning application process. Potential risk will be highlighted in the site specific development brief.	Amend the site development brief for MP2c to make reference to the historical records of Nightjar and Woodlark in the area.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2c Scrooby North

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23792 - Natural England (Consultation Services) [1750]		The Habitats Regulation Assessment screening document has highlighted that there could be possible indirect links to Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Forest p SPA from MP2c and MP2d. These indirect links are both hydrological and could be via air pollution.		Comments noted. The County Council acknowledges the possible indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Foest p SPA via hydrological pathways. This would be dealt with through the site specific EIA as part of the planning application process. However, a note will be added to the site brief to ensure that relevant consideration is given.	Update site development briefs for MP2c and MP2d to ensure that consideration is given to the potential indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Forest p SPA.

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2c Scrooby North

### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23302 - Mrs AC Barlow [2805]	Nature Object	I have lived at Scrooby Top Farm and Scrooby all my life surrounded by quarries. All the fields where our cattle grazed are now an enormous hole, so having another quarry across the north road doesn't fill me with pleasure. They are good available fields and grow good crops, we might need more food in the years to come.  There will be more and more lorries crossing the north road and risk of accidents. I am concerned that this development may affect the value of my property.	Suggested Change to Plan	Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment, however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.  Whilst it is important to protect our highest quality agricultural land from being lost to other development, minerals such as sand and gravel can only be worked where they naturally occur and provide the basis for construction and manufacturing. Without the raw materials our way of life would be very different. Many things we take for granted such as roads and houses would not be able to be built or maintained. The UK is largely self-sufficient in construction minerals and this industry contributes significantly to the economy as a whole. It is therefore important to manage the resources we have to maintain agricultural land whilst providing adequate mineral resources.  The restoration of quarries can include a return back to agricultural land however this is very much dependent on the availability of overburden or suitable fill at individual sites to return the site back to previous ground levels.  Most mineral is transported by road, as this is often the cheapest and most flexible way of serving a diverse range of markets. As part of any planning permission for minerals development, a Transport Assessment would be required and measures would be put in place to minimise the impact of the HGV traffic. This would include detailed designs regarding the location of the site access, road layout, and any improvements that were deemed necessary on safety grounds in the	Council's Change to Plan

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2c Scrooby North

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				routing agreements could be put in place if this is relevant to the application.	
				As part of the assessment work, the highways authority was consulted and pending a detailed site specific Transport Assessment (which would be undertaken at the planning application stage) no significant issues were raised. A strategic transport assessment was also commissioned to assess the wider impacts of the increase in HGV movements. This didn't raise any significant issues related to the site either individually or cumulatively.	
MP2d Scrooby S	South				
23793 - Natural England (Consultation Services) [1750]	Comment	The Habitats Regulation Assessment screening document has highlighted that there could be possible indirect links to Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Forest p SPA from MP2c and MP2d. These indirect links are both hydrological and could be via air pollution.		Comments noted. The County Council acknowledges the possible indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Foest p SPA via hydrological pathways. This would be dealt with through the site specific EIA as part of the planning application process.	Update site development briefs for MP2c and MP2d to ensure that consideration is given to the potential indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Forest p SPA.
23853 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Comment	It should be noted that Scrooby south is within an area with historical records of nightjar and woodlark, protected under the Birds Directive and the Conservation Regulations 2010 and so this may be a particular consideration at an application stage.		Comments noted. Detailed assessment work would be undertaken as part of the planning application process. Potential risk will be highlighted in the site specific development brief.	Amend the site development brief for MP2d to make reference to the historical records of Nightjar and Woodlark in the area.

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23266 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  This site lies within flood zone 1 and is therefore at low risk of flooring from fluvial sources such as our 'main rivers'.  Development over 1 hectare will require a flood risk assessment to ensure that surface water runoff is managed effectively on site and does not lead to flood risk elsewhere.  This potential site allocation lies within Source Protection Zone 3 and is underlain by a Principal Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution. Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the Source Protection Zone designation and aquifer would be required as part of a planning application. Reference to the SPZ and aquifer will also be included in the site development brief.	Include information on the SPZ and Aquifer in the site development brief for MP2d Scrooby South.
23892 - Bassetlaw District Council (Tom Bannister) [2955]	Comment	This extension to permitted site to the north (SGf - Scrooby) will surround more of the local community at the Lodge Farm area north on Ranskill. Bearing in mind that both the existing Scrooby Top site and the permitted Scrooby site are next to this community, has the cumulative impact on the local community of further expansion sites been fully considered in line with the requirement of proposed Minerals LP policy DM8: Cumulative Impact?		MP2d Scrooby South extension would only be worked once the existing reserves have been exhausted minimising the impact of the quarry on the local community. In line with Policy DM 1 - Protecting Local Amenity adequate screening and stand off areas would be included through the planning application process.	
23118 - P Lloyd [2450](	Comment	Our property is within 250m of the proposed site. I have spoken to the farmer who owns this field and believe that heavy goods vehicle would be from an entrance on the main Bawtry Road. Folly Nook Lane is totally unsuitable for such heavy traffic if this is not the case. It is already used as a rat run for such vehicles. My main concerns would be noise and extra dust particles in the air. I presume the plant for grading gravel would remain at the Scrooby Top site SSe.		The proposed access for the site would be on to the A638 Bawtry Road with the mineral being transported to the existing Scrooby Top site for processing. This would would minimise the impact of noise and dust, however as part of the planning application process adequate stand off areas and screening to sensitive areas such as nearby properties would be required. This is set out in Policy DM1-Protecting Local Amenity.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23444 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There is the potential for non-designated archaeology at this site. The impact upon the setting of listed buildings at Scrooby Top Farmhouse and Cottages should be considered. The development brief does not refer to these issues and how they should be addressed.		Comments noted. Additional text will be included in the site development breif to highlight the potential for non-designated archaeology and the setting of the Grade II listed Newlands Farm. The site development briefs dont state how issues such as those above should be addressed as this will be undertaken at the planning application stage. Detailed assessments will be required at this stage and will need to be inline with policies set out in the including policy DM6: Historic Environment.	Witihn development brief for MP2d Scrooby South, ensure reference is made to the potential for non-designated archaeology on the site and consideration of any potential impacts on local listed buildings.

#### MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2d Scrooby South

# Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent Nature Summary Suggested Change	to Plan Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
Respondent Nature Summary Suggested Change (2303 - Mrs AC Barlow [2805]   Object I have lived at Scrooby Top Farm and Scrooby all my life surrounded by quarries. All the fields where our cattle grazed are now an enormous hole, so having another quarry across the north road doesn't fill me with pleasure. They are good available fields and grow good crops, we might need more food in the years to come.  There will be more and more lorries crossing the north road and risk of accidents. I am concerned that this development may affect the value of my property.	Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment, however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.  Whilst it is important to protect our highest quality agricultural land from being lost to other development, minerals such as sand and gravel can only be worked where they naturally occur and provide the basis for construction and manufacturing. Without the raw materials our way of life would be very different. Many things we take for granted such as roads and houses would not be able to be built or maintained. The UK is largely self-sufficient in construction minerals and this industry contributes significantly to the economy as a whole. It is therefore important to manage the resources we have to maintain agricultural land whilst providing adequate mineral resources.  The restoration of quarries can include a return back to agricultural land however this is very much dependent on the availability of overburden or suitable fill at individual sites to return the site back to previous ground levels.  Most mineral is transported by road, as this is often the cheapest and most flexible way of serving a diverse range of markets. As part of any planning permission for minerals development, a Transport Assessment would be required and measures would be put in place to minimise the impact of the HGV traffic. This would include detailed designs regarding the location of the site access, road	Council's Change to Plan

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2d Scrooby South

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				routing agreements could be put in place if this is relevant to the application.	
				As part of the assessment work, the highways authority was consulted and pending a detailed site specific Transport Assessment (which would be undertaken at the planning application stage) no significant issues were raised. A strategic transport assessment was also commissioned to assess the wider impacts of the increase in HGV movements. This didn't raise any significant issues related to the site either individually or cumulatively.	
MP2e Besthorp	pe East				
23267 - Environmen Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]		The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the Secondary Aquifer would be required as	Include additional text in the development brief for MP2e to include reference to the Secondary

Pitts) [2714]

to the following mitigation measures being implemented:

A flood risk assessment (FRA) should consider how works can mitigate against the loss of floodplain storage caused by temporary spoil heaps. Location of spoil heaps should also be away from flood conveyance routes so as not to increase flood risk. The FRA must also consider how the sites will be restored to ensure that flood risk is managed appropriately and identify how any flood risk related assets will be managed during the sites operations. Ground investigations and a watercourse inspection must be undertaken to ensure that the 9m easement from the minor watercourse is suitable enough to withstand an ingress of water from the watercourse into the quarry.

This potential site allocation is underlain by a Secondary Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution. Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.

to the Secondary Aquifer would be required as part of a planning application. However reference to the Secondary Aquifer will be included in the site development brief. Further text will also be included regarding the 9 metre easement.

include reference to the Secondary Aquifer. Add further text regarding the 9 metre easement.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23788 - Natural England (Consultation Services) [1750]	Comment	NE previous response, highlighted that this site is 350m north of Besthorpe Meadow Special Site of Scientific Interest and that impacts on the adjacent stream to the site could indirectly affect the SSSI, if dewatering were to occur. Natural England welcomes that this has been flagged up in the environmental constraints for this site and we reiterate our previous advice that wet- working only should be permitted or a hydrological study should be undertaken prior to allocation to ensure that there will be no adverse effects on the interest features of the SSSI.		Comments noted.	Include additional text in the development brief for MP2e to highlight the potential impact on the Besthorpe Medow SSSI.
23445 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	Further extraction at this site may impact on non-designated archaeology which will need to be assessed. There is high potential for non-designated archaeology at this site, as noted by the Sustainability Appraisal and development brief. It is not clear how important and extensive the archaeology is, and whether it should be preserved in-situ (with the site reduced in size or not allocated at all).  The site also lies between the conservation areas of Besthorpe and Collingham (which contain many various listed buildings), but there is no reference to these heritage assets in the SA or development brief.		Comments noted. Additional text will be included in the site development breif to highlight the potential for non-designated archaeology and the setting of the listed buildings located with Besthorpe and Collingham. The site development briefs dont state how issues such as those above should be addressed as this will be undertaken at the planning application stage. Detailed assessments will be required at this stage and will need to be inline with policies set out in the including policy DM6: Historic Environment.	Amend site development brief for MP2e Besthorpe East to make reference to the potential for non-designated archaeology on the site. Identify listed buildings located within Besthorpe and Collingham.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
Pic [370]	Comment	The proposed site is crossed by National Grid's high voltage overhead electricity transmission line (4VK route).  National Grid does not object to the proposals outlined, however the following points should be taken into consideration:  - National Grid does not own the land over which the overhead lines cross, and it obtains the rights from individual landowners to place our equipment on their land. Potential operators of the sites should be aware that it is National Grid policy to seek to retain our existing overhead lines in-situ because of the strategic nature of our national network. We advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning a development.  - Statutory electrical safety clearances must be maintained at all times. These distances are outlined at the following webpage:  http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/LandandDe velopment/DDC/devnearohl_final/appendix III/appIIIpart2  Should any of these sites be taken forward as a minerals site within these areas, the operators should be made aware of the above issues.		Comments noted. The information provided will be included in the site brief.	Amend site brief for MP2e Besthorpe East to make reference to the High Voltage overhead electricity transmission line running across the site.
23724 - Newark and Sherwood District Council (Matthew Fubb) [2950]	Support	In terms of access arrangements for the Besthorpe East extension this is proposed to be taken from Besthorpe Road. Whilst the Besthorpe South extension would utilise one of the existing quarries (Besthorpe or Langford Lowfields). The existing routeing arrangements to avoid Collingham village and Northcroft Lane are to be retained for both sites whilst an emphasis for the southern extension is placed on barge transportation. Such measures will help to minimise the impacts of the local community and so are supported.		Comments noted. The existing routeing agreements for Besthorpe and Langford Lowfields quarries would be maintained. Barge Transport would be encouraged where ever possible.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2f Besthorpe	South				
23789 - Natural England (Consultation Services) [1750]	Comment	This site is also in close proximity to a cluster of SSSIs and SINCs, our comments on MP2e Besthorpe east on the potential indirect impacts are therefore relevant. Natural England's previous response flagged up that a phase 1 ecological survey for protected species; we therefore still advise this to be the case if this site was to come forward. Natural England also advised that this site could be more preferable than MP2e.		Comments noted. The site development brief already highlights the potential impacts on the nearby SINCs and SSSIs. Detailed assessments and surveys will need to be undertaken as part of the planning application process and inline with polices contained in the plan.	
23446 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There is high potential for non-designated archaeology at this site, as noted by the SA and development brief. It is not clear how important and extensive the archaeology is, and whether it should be preserved in-situ.  The nearby village of Collingham is designated as a Conservation Area and contains a number of listed buildings which should be considered. In addition, there is a scheduled monument to the west of the site. Cromwell also contains a number of listed buildings. Consideration should be given to all of the above designated heritage assets and how they should be addressed.		Comments noted. Additional text will be included in the site development breif to highlight the potential for non-designated archaeology and potential impact on the Collingham conservation area and buildings within the area. The site development briefs dont state how issues such as those above should be addressed as this will be undertaken at the planning application stage. Detailed assessments will be required at this stage and will need to be inline with policies set out in the including policy DM6: Historic Environment.	Amend site development brief for MP2f Besthorpe South to make reference to the potential for non-designated archaeology and potential impact on the Collingham conservation area and any listed buildings located in the conservation area.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23268 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  A flood risk assessment (FRA) should consider how works can mitigate against the loss of floodplain storage caused by temporary spoil heaps. Location of spoil heaps should also be away from flood conveyance routes so as not to increase flood risk. The FRA must also consider how the sites will be restored to ensure that flood risk is managed appropriately and identify how any flood risk related assets will be managed during the sites operations.  Ground investigations and a watercourse inspection must be undertaken to ensure that the 9m easement from the minor watercourse is suitable enough to withstand an ingress of water from the watercourse into the quarry.  This potential site allocation lies is underlain by a Secondary Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution.  Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the Secondary Aquifer would be required as part of a planning application. However reference to the Secondary Aquifer will be included in the site development brief. Further text will also be included regarding the 9 metre easement.	Add text to the development brief for MP2f to include reference to the Secondary Aquifer. Add further text regarding the 9 metre easement.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23187 - Valerie Clark [2762]	Object	We don't need the flood banks weakening any more than they are, we flooded 2 times in 2012.	Need more flood preventions, rather than holes been dug all around us, we are not allowed to build an extention because we are on a flood plain, but you can dig big pits.	National guidance allows mineral extraction in the flood plain as it is considered 'water compatible' and allowed to take place in areas of flood risk. It goes on to state that developments should be well designed and managed and any fixed plant or stockpiles should be located away from the areas of highest risk to minimise obstructions to flood water. Details regarding the location of any fixed machinery or stockpiles would be identified at the planning application stage.  At times of flood active sand and gravel sites are allowed to flood, maintaining the role of the natural flood plain before being pumped out once the flood water has subsided. In many cases mineral workings can provide temporary flood storage capacity reducing the potential for wider flooding. Minimum stand-off distances are required from the base of flood defences to ensure that activities such as mineral extraction avoid weakening or undermining the flood defences.	

#### MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2f Besthorpe South

# Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Council's Response Council's Change to Plan Respondent Nature Summary Suggested Change to Plan 23184 - Mr Mark Object The four residential properties that Alternative locations should be sought National guidance allows mineral extraction in Grocock [2726] constitute the residents of Trent (Carlton the flood plain as it is considered 'water and considered 23188 - Mr Mark Ferry Lane Farm. The Wharf. compatible' and allowed to take place in areas Wharf Bungalow & Wharf Cottage will all of flood risk. It goes on to state that Grocock [2726] be negatively affected by noise, dust. developments should be well designed and managed and any fixed plant or stockpiles disruption, increased traffic movement etc. The existing excavations are an should be located away from the areas of eyesore so any extension is certainly not highest risk to minimise obstructions to flood welcome. water. Details regarding the location of any fixed machinery or stockpiles would be The area is within a known floodplain. The identified at the planning application stage. risk of flooding is increased and the levels of dust and noise will be increased. The At times of flood active sand and gravel sites area already has enough excavation, any are allowed to flood, maintaining the role of the further digging will create a moonscape, natural flood plain before being pumped out not a pleasant natural balanced once the flood water has subsided. In many cases mineral workings can provide temporary environment flood storage capacity reducing the potential for wider flooding. Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document. Any planning application for a new quarry proposal would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations. Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment, however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred

Approach document.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23186 - Mr Mark Grocock [2726]	Object	Modern construction utilises more and more concrete. Alternative construction practices should be considered and investigated, using expertise and experience from abroad if necessary. Recycling of existing materials should also be considered. Sustainable construction practices are now more widely available which would not require the use of concrete, blocks & bricks.	Use more recycled products and seek alternative, sustainable construction methods and products.	It is not within the remit of the Minerals Local Plan process to investigate alternative or sustainable construction practices. The use of secondary and recycled aggregates is covered in policy MP5 which supports new developments that will increase the supply of secondary and or recycled materials.  The County Council is required (through the National Planning Policy Framework) to prepare a Local Aggregates Assessment (LAA) which sets out the future apportionment requirements for each aggregate (including sand and gravel). This requirement in relation to sand and gravel is identified in Policy MP1 of the Local Plan and the most suitable sites to meet the future requirement have been allocated (in Policy MP2).	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response. April 2014

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2f Besthorpe South

hange to Plan Council's Response	Council's Change to Pla
Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set our in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or remove at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.  Any planning application for a new quarry proposal would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.  National guidance allows mineral extraction in the flood plain as it is considered 'water compatible' and allowed to take place in areas of flood risk. It goes on to state that developments should be well designed and managed and any fixed plant or stockpiles should be located away from the areas of highest risk to minimise obstructions to flood water. Details regarding the location of any fixed machinery or stockpiles would be identified at the planning application stage. At times of flood active sand and gravel sites are allowed to flood maintaining the role of the natural flood plain before being pumped out once the flood water has subsided. In many cases mineral workings can provide temporary flood storage capacity reducing the potential for wider flooding.  Minerals development will inevitably have shot term impacts on the existing natural environment, however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plar targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is	
istea Cr	Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.  Any planning application for a new quarry proposal would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.  National guidance allows mineral extraction in the flood plain as it is considered 'water compatible' and allowed to take place in areas of flood risk. It goes on to state that developments should be well designed and managed and any fixed plant or stockpiles should be located away from the areas of highest risk to minimise obstructions to flood water. Details regarding the location of any fixed machinery or stockpiles would be identified at the planning application stage. At times of flood active sand and gravel sites are allowed to flood maintaining the role of the natural flood plain before being pumped out once the flood water has subsided. In many cases mineral workings can provide temporary flood storage capacity reducing the potential for wider flooding.  Minerals development will inevitably have shorterm impacts on the existing natural environment, however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration

Approach document.

The new allocation is an extension to the

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2f Besthorpe South

#### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Council's Response Council's Change to Plan Respondent Nature Summary Suggested Change to Plan permitted Besthorpe quarry and would not be worked until the existing quarry reserves have been used up. Mineral extracted from the site would be transported by conveyor and processed through the current plant at the existing quarry. Output is expected to remain the same and lorry movements would not be increased from the existing level. 23183 - Valerie Clark Object Taking good quality land away and not We have enough holes all around us Whilst it is important to protect our highest [2762] replacing with anything usable. Will spoil which are not looked after and are quality agricultural land from being lost to other unkept there are fences hanging in the development, minerals such as sand and our view. water looks a mess. gravel can only be worked where they are found and provide the basis for construction and manufacturing. Without the raw materials our way of life would be very different. Many things we take for granted such as roads and houses would not be able to be built or maintained. The UK is largely self-sufficient in construction minerals and this industry contributes significantly to the economy as a whole. It is therefore important to manage the resources we have to maintain agricultural land whilst providing adequate mineral resources. The restoration of quarries can include a return back to agricultural land however this is very much dependent on the availability of overburden or suitable fill at individual sites to return the site back to previous ground levels. Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment, however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is

biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred

Approach document.

#### MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2f Besthorpe South

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23189 - Valerie Clark [2762]	Object	We are concerned about the noise that will arise from all the machines, causing vibrations through the house, dust caused by all the digging, the bunds will ruin the views, lights beaming at all hours, concerned how close to our house the work will be.	We just don't want it !	Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.  Any planning application for a new quarry proposal would have to include a detailed	
				noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.	
				The Besthorpe south allocation area shows the extent of the ownership of the mineral rights rather than the area to be worked. The extraction area would be a smaller to provide adequate stand offs and screening from sensitive receptors such as residential properties. The site development brief will contain more information on stand offs and screening as the plan is developed however the final extraction area would not be confirmed until the planning application stage.	
23725 - Newark and Sherwood District Council (Matthew Tubb) [2950]	Support	In terms of access arrangements for the Besthorpe East extension this is proposed to be taken from Besthorpe Road. Whilst the Besthorpe South extension would utilise one of the existing quarries (Besthorpe or Langford Lowfields). The existing routeing arrangements to avoid Collingham village and Northcroft Lane are to be retained for both sites whilst an emphasis for the southern extension is placed on barge transportation. Such measures will help to minimise the impacts of the local community and so are supported.		Support noted. Existing routeing agreements for Besthorpe and Langford Lowfields quarries would be maintained. Barge Transport would be encouraged where ever possible.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2g Girton West

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan				
MP2g Girton We	MP2g Girton West								
23269 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:		Comments noted, however this site is no longer being considered for allocation as the permitted Girton quarry has adequate reserves for the plan period.					
		The flood risk assessment (FRA) should consider how works can mitigate against the loss of floodplain storage caused by temporary spoil heaps. Location of spoil heaps should also be away from flood conveyance routes so as not to increase flood risk. The FRA must also consider how the site will be restored to ensure that flood risk is managed appropriately and identify how any flood risk related assets will be managed during the sites operation.  This potential site allocation is underlain by a Secondary Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution.  Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.							
23447 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There is high potential for non-designated archaeology at this site, as noted by the Sustainability Appraisal and development brief. It is not clear how important and extensive the archaeology is, and whether it should be preserved in-situ.		Comments noted, however this site is no longer being considered for allocation as the permitted Girton quarry has adequate reserves for the plan period.					

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2g Girton West

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23242 - National Grid Plc [370]	Comment	The proposed site is crossed by National Grid's high voltage overhead electricity transmission line (4VK route).  National Grid does not object to the proposals outlined, however the following points should be taken into consideration:  - National Grid does not own the land over which the overhead lines cross, and it obtains the rights from individual landowners to place our equipment on their land. Potential operators of the sites should be aware that it is National Grid policy to seek to retain our existing overhead lines in-situ because of the strategic nature of our national network. We advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning a development.  - Statutory electrical safety clearances must be maintained at all times. These distances are outlined at the following webpage: http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/LandandDe velopment/DDC/devnearohl_final/appendix III/appIIIpart2  Should any of these sites be taken forward as a minerals site within these areas, the operators should be made aware of the above issues.	Suggested Change to Flan	Comments noted, however this site is no longer being considered for allocation as the permitted Girton quarry has adequate reserves for the plan period.	Council's Change to Flair

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2h Langford	South				
23722 - Newark and Sherwood District Council (Matthew Tubb) [2950]	Comment	A substantial (9.7ha) Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM) is located within the Langford South extension. The justification within the document sets out that the operator has put forward its preservation by record, but that should this be unsuitable then it would likely reduce the size of the allocation. It is therefore suggested that the Development Brief make specific reference to the link between satisfactorily addressing the presence of the SAM and the ultimate extent of the allocated site.  The screening of the eastern boundary of the site to limit the visual impact of the Langford South site on Holme and from the listed Langford Crossing Cottage through off site management of intervening hedgerows is supported.	Add specific reference to Site Development Brief for Langford South to the link between satisfactorily addressing the presence of the SAM and the ultimate extent of the allocated site.	Comments noted. As a result of the feedback from the consultation it is being proposed to reduce the size of the the allocation boundary to exclude the Scheduled Ancient Monument (SAM) and maintain a suitable buffer around the site.	

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2h Langford South

#### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach** Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plar
23137 - Mr Howard Morgan [2574]	Comment	The environmental impact of 'quarry creep' cannot be ignored. A stage does arise when the destruction of an agricultural environment becomes so intrusive that the cry is heard 'enough is enough'. This issue can arise not only in relation to dwellings but also to footpaths, bridle and cycle ways, and vehicle access to a village or community. Indeed a very clear example of this is allocated site MP2h, the southern extension to Langford Lowfields. The site dominates and impacts upon the direct vehicular access to Holme village. The route is an allocated cycle way; and is also regularly used as a footpath and bridleway.	I think it reasonable that Policy should control quarry creep, or quarry expansion, and acknowledge that cumulative and increasing impact of the permanent desecration of fields and hedgerows. Policy should ensure prevention when environmental concerns must override commercial gain [see also rep. 23135 re Policy DM8].	Minerals can only be worked where they are found and in the case of sand and gravel in Nottinghamshire this is along the river valleys of the Trent and Idle. The Trent Valley has historically produced a significant amount of sand and gravel to support economic growth both locally and nationally. The plan promotes the extension of existing sites, where economically, socially and environmentally acceptable to ensure that we make the best use of our available resources.  Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment; however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP) targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.  The current restoration scheme for the Langford South allocation proposes areas of reedbed, wetland and water areas to tie into the existing restoration scheme taking place at Langford Quarry and increase the areas of LBAP habitats.  As part of the planning application process areas of screening and diversions to footpaths and cycle ways will be incorporated into the design to minimise the impact on sensitive receptors and the local area.  A further consultation on sand and gravel section of the plan, which includes an amendment to the boundary of the Langford South allocation to exclude the area of the Scheduled Ancient Monument will be undertaken to reflect responses received from the preferred approach consultation.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23270 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  The flood risk assessment (FRA) should consider how works can mitigate against the loss of floodplain storage caused by temporary spoil heaps. Location of spoil heaps should also be away from flood conveyance routes so as not to increase flood risk. The FRA must also consider how the site will be restored to ensure that flood risk is managed appropriately and identify how any flood risk related assets will be managed during the sites operation.  Ground investigations and a watercourse inspection must be undertaken to ensure that the 9m easement from the minor watercourse is suitable enough to withstand an ingress of water from the watercourse into the quarry.  This potential site allocation is underlain by a Secondary Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution.  Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the Secondary Aquifer would be required as part of a planning application. However reference to the Secondary Aquifer will be included in the site development brief. Further text will also be included regarding the 9 metre easement.	Add text to the development brief for MP2h to include refernce to the Secondary Aquifer. Add further text regarding the 9 metre easement.

#### MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2h Langford South

#### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach** Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent Natui	re Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23704 - Winthorpe with Langford Parish Council [650]	The Parish Council objects to the allocation of the Langford South and North sites;  - Taking of good quality, agricultural land (will lost forever for food production)  - If you do approved, it should be returned to agriculture at whatever the cost  - Concerns about the potential nuisance to the villagers of Collingham and Holme with noise and dust generated at these potential quarry sites  - Concerns about the potential danger that lorries present when leaving the quarry site and then travelling along the A1133 to their destinations		Whilst it is important to protect our highest quality agricultural land from being lost to other development, minerals such as sand and gravel can only be worked where they are found and provide the basis for construction and manufacturing. Without the raw materials our way of life would be very different. Many things we take for granted such as roads and houses would not be able to be built or maintained. The UK is largely self-sufficient in construction minerals and this industry contributes significantly to the economy as a whole. It is therefore important to manage the resources we have to maintain agricultural land whilst providing adequate mineral resources.  The restoration of quarries can include a return back to agricultural land however this is very much dependent on the availability of overburden or suitable fill at individual sites to return the site back to previous ground levels.  Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.  Any planning application for a new quarry proposal would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.  The new allocation is an extension to the permitted Langford Lowfields quarry and would not be worked until the existing quarry reserves have been used up. Mineral extracted from the site would be transported by conveyor and processed through the current plant at the existing quarry. The existing quarry site access would also be used with no expected increase in the number of lorry movements.	

which includes an amendment to the boundary

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2h Langford South

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				of the Langford South allocation will be undertaken to reflect responses received from the preferred approach consultation.	
23448 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Object	We have concerns regarding the allocation of this site. The site boundaries include a scheduled monument and is the location of a Roman Camp. No certainty is provided by the development brief or Policies Map. Even if the scheduled monument is preserved, its setting could be highly compromised by mineral extraction. We would wish to see the monument excluded from the site allocation and the boundary moved northwards, with sufficient buffering between the monument and areas of working. There may be impacts on the setting of designated heritage assets and archaeology within the site.	Greater clarity is needed in the development brief regarding heritage assets, particularly the scheduled monument. Policies Map should be revised in terms of the site boundary and buffering.	Comments noted. As a result of the consultation feedback the Scheduled Ancient Monument has now been excluded from the allocation area and a further consultation will be undertaken.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2i Langford North

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2i Langford N	Vorth				
23271 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  The flood risk assessment (FRA) should consider how works can mitigate against the loss of floodplain storage caused by temporary spoil heaps. Location of spoil heaps should also be away from flood conveyance routes so as not to increase flood risk. The FRA must also consider how the site will be restored to ensure that flood risk is managed appropriately and identify how any flood risk related assets will be managed during the sites operation.  Ground investigations and a watercourse inspection must be undertaken to ensure that the 9m easement from the minor watercourse is suitable enough to withstand an ingress of water from the watercourse into the quarry.  This potential site allocation is underlain by a Secondary Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution.  Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the Secondary Aquifer would be required as part of a planning application. However reference to the Secondary Aquifer will be included in the site development brief. Further text will also be included regarding the 9 metre easement.	Add text to the development brief for MP2i to include information on the Secondary Aquifer. Add further text regarding the 9 metre easement.
23790 - Natural	Comment	and an environmental assessment.  This site is also in close proximity to a		Comments noted. The site development brief	
England (Consultation Services) [1750]		cluster of SSSIs and SINCs, our comments above on the potential indirect impacts are therefore relevant. Natural England's previous response flagged up that a phase 1 ecological survey for protected species; we therefore still advise this to be the case if this site was to come forward.		already highlights the potential impacts on the nearby SINCs and SSSIs. Detailed assessments and surveys will need to be undertaken as part of the planning application process and inline with polices contained in the plan.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2i Langford North

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
Plc [370]		The proposed site is crossed by National Grid's high voltage overhead electricity transmission line (4VK route).  National Grid does not object to the proposals outlined, however the following points should be taken into consideration:  - National Grid does not own the land over which the overhead lines cross, and it obtains the rights from individual landowners to place our equipment on their land. Potential operators of the sites should be aware that it is National Grid policy to seek to retain our existing overhead lines in-situ because of the strategic nature of our national network. We advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning a development.  - Statutory electrical safety clearances must be maintained at all times. These distances are outlined at the following webpage:  http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/LandandDe velopment/DDC/devnearohl_final/appendix III/appIIIpart2  Should any of these sites be taken forward as a minerals site within these areas, the operators should be made aware of the above issues.		Comments noted.	Amend site development brief for MP2i Langford North to make reference to the High Voltage overhead electricity transmission line that crosses the site.
23449 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There is high potential for the site to contain non-designated archaeology, as noted by the SA and development brief. It is not clear how important and extensive the archaeology is, and whether it should be preserved in-situ. The site also extends close to the south and west boundary of Collingham Conservation Area and may affect the setting of a number of listed building including the grade I listed Church of St John the Baptist. The development brief mentions these assets but needs to explain how they should be addressed.		Comments noted. The aim of the development briefs is to highlight the key issues that will need to be addressed at the planning application stage. The planning application would need to be in-line with the policies set out in the plan including DM6: Historic Environment, as well as undertaking detailed assessment work'. If further information comes forward as the plan is progressed this will be included in the development brief	

#### MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2i Langford North

# Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Council's Response Respondent **Nature Summary** Suggested Change to Plan Council's Change to Plan 23705 - Winthorpe The Parish Council objects to the Whilst it is important to protect our highest Object with Langford Parish quality agricultural land from being lost to other allocation of the Langford South and Council [650] development, minerals such as sand and North sites: - Taking of good quality, agricultural land gravel can only be worked where they are found and provide the basis for construction (will lost forever for food production) - If you do approved, it should be returned and manufacturing. Without the raw materials our way of life would be very different. Many to agriculture at whatever the cost - Concerns about the potential nuisance things we take for granted such as roads and to the villagers of Collingham and Holme houses would not be able to be built or with noise and dust generated at these maintained. The UK is largely self-sufficient in potential quarry sites construction minerals and this industry - Concerns about the potential danger that contributes significantly to the economy as a lorries present when leaving the quarry whole. It is therefore important to manage the site and then travelling along the A1133 to resources we have to maintain agricultural land their destinations whilst providing adequate mineral resources. The restoration of quarries can include a return back to agricultural land however this is very much dependent on the availability of overburden or suitable fill at individual sites to return the site back to previous ground levels. Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document. Any planning application for a new quarry proposal would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations. The new allocation is an extension to the permitted Langford Lowfields guarry and would not be worked until the existing guarry reserves have been used up. Mineral extracted from the site would be transported by conveyor and processed through the current plant at the existing quarry. The existing guarry site access would also be used with no expected increase in the number of lorry movements.

A further consultation on sand and gravel,

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2i Langford North

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				which includes an amendment to the boundary of the Langford South allocation will be undertaken to reflect responses received from the preferred approach consultation.	
MP2j East Leak	e North				
23272 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  This sites lies within flood zone 1 and is therefore at low risk of flooring from fluvial sources such as our 'main rivers'. The Sheepwash Brook ordinary watercourse is in close proximity to the site and a flood risk assessment will be required to establish the potential flood risk from this source and ensure that surface water runoff is managed effectively on site, and does not lead to flood risk elsewhere.  This potential site allocation lies is underlain by a Secondary Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution. Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the Secondary Aquifer would be required as part of a planning application. However reference to Secondary Aquifer will be included in the site development brief.	Add text to the site development brief for MP2j to include reference the Secondary Aquifer.

#### MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2j East Leake North

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23147 - Mr Stewart Swift [2735]	Comment	These proposals (East Leake East and North) affects a number of farms and their land (livelihoods) and could affect Sheepwash Book. A footpath cuts across the land from Mill Lane in East Leake (Manor Farm Animal Centre and Donkey Sanctuary nearby) over fields to exit at Melton Road in Rempstone (near church).		The sites allocated in the plan were put forward by the minerals industry who would have acquired the minerals rights to the land from the relevant farmer or landowner. As part of the assessment work, the potential impact on Sheepwash brook was considered but no significant issues were raised. As part of the planning application process further more detailed assessment work would be required.  National policy states that policies should be put in place to protect and enhance public rights of way and where appropriate better facilities for users should be sought. This is reflected in Policy DM7 - 'Public Access' of the Preferred Approach document. As part of the planning application process an assessment of any rights of way affect by the development would have to be undertaken and relevant mitigation measures identified such as temporary or permanent diversions.	
23450 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There is high potential for non-designated archaeology at this site, as noted by the Sustainability Appraisal and development brief. It is not clear how important and extensive the archaeology is, and whether it should be preserved in-situ.		Comments noted. Additional text will be included in the site development breif to highlight the potential for non-designated archaeology. The site development briefs dont state how issues such as those above should be addressed as this will be undertaken at the planning application stage. Detailed assessments will be required at this stage and will need to be inline with policies set out in the including policy DM6: Historic Environment.	Amend site development brief for MP2j East Leake North to highlight the potential for non-designated archaeology.
23180 - Mr Stewart Swift [2735]	Comment	The old St Peter's church lies near this site, on Mill Lane (footpath from Rempstone to East Leake). This site should be safeguarded and the site recorded.		The Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach document includes a policy which aims to protect and enhance the historic environment (Policy DM6). As part of the planning application process the operator would be required to consult the Historic Environment Record, submit a detailed archaeological assessment and where appropriate set out measures to preserve or record finds.	Amend the site development brief for MP2j East Leake North to make reference to the potential impact of the site on Old St Peters Church

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2k East Leake East

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2k East Leak	ke East				
23148 - Mr Stewart Swift [2735]	Comment	These proposals (East Leake East and North) affects a number of farms and their land (livelihoods) and could affect Sheepwash Book. A footpath cuts across the land from Mill Lane in East Leake (Manor Farm Animal Centre and Donkey Sanctuary nearby) over fields to exit at Melton Road in Rempstone (near church).		The sites allocated in the plan were put forward by the minerals industry who would have acquired the minerals rights to the land from the relevant farmer or landowner. As part of the assessment work, the potential impact on Sheepwash brook was considered but no significant issues were raised. As part of the planning application process further more detailed assessment work would be required.  National policy states that policies should be put in place to protect and enhance public rights of way and where appropriate better facilities for users should be sought. This is reflected in Policy DM7 - 'Public Access' of the Preferred Approach document. As part of the planning application process an assessment of any rights of way affect by the development would have to be undertaken and relevant mitigation measures identified such as temporary or permanent diversions.	
23273 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  This sites lies within flood zone 1 and is therefore at low risk of flooring from fluvial sources such as our 'main rivers'. The Sheepwash Brook ordinary watercourse is in close proximity to the site and a flood risk assessment will be required to establish the potential flood risk from this source and ensure that surface water runoff is managed effectively on site, and does not lead to flood risk elsewhere.  This potential site allocation is underlain by a Secondary Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution. Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the Secondary Aquifer would be required as part of a planning application. However reference to Secondary Aquifer will be included in the site development brief.	Add text to the site development brief for MP2k to include reference to the Secondary Aquifer.

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2k East Leake East

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23451 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There is high potential for non-designated archaeology at this site as noted by the SA, but not by the development brief. It is not clear how important and extensive the archaeology is, and whether it should be preserved in-situ. The setting of a number of listed buildings including Rempstone Hall, Church of All Saints and Clifton lodge should be considered. Issues in relation to the impact on the setting of Stanford Park should also be considered. These designated heritage assets are noted by the development brief, but it is not clear how they should be addressed.		Comments noted. Additional text will be included in the site development breif to highlight the potential for non-designated archaeology on the site. The site development already highlights the potential impacts on the settings on the listed buildings in the area. The site development briefs dont state how issues such as those above should be addressed as this will be undertaken at the planning application stage. Detailed assessments will be required at this stage and will need to be inline with policies set out in the including policy DM6: Historic Environment.	Make reference to the potential for non-designated archaeology in the site development brief for MP2k East Leake East.
23181 - Mr Stewart Swift [2735]	Comment	The old St Peter's church lies near this site, on Mill Lane (footpath from Rempstone to East Leake). This site should be safeguarded and the site recorded.		The Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach document includes a policy which aims to protect and enhance the historic environment (Policy DM6). As part of the planning application process the operator would be required to consult the Historic Environment Record, submit a detailed archaeological assessment and where appropriate set out measures to preserve or record finds.	Amend the site development brief for MP2k East Leake East to make reference to the potential impact of the site on Old St Peters Church

#### MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2k East Leake East

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23228 - Mr J Moore	Object	This is the first time that we have been		The first stage of consultation on the new	
2786]		informed that mineral workings could be		Minerals Local Plan was undertaken in January	
		within 250m of our property boundary.		2012 however this document discussed the	
		The noise, dust and considerable		broader issues relating to minerals provision	
		disturbance will considerably reduce the		over the plan period rather than specific sites.	
		value of our grade 2 listed property. We		The Preferred Approach consultation	
		will not be able to sell the house because		document is the second stage in the	
		of the proximity of the workings as no one		development of the MLP and is the first time site allocations have been identified.	
		will want to purchase at the current market value.		site anocations have been identified.	
		The mineral workings will also be a health		The allocation area identifies the extent of the	
		hazard to the people living at Clifton		ownership of the mineral rights rather than the	
		Lodge.		area to be worked. The extraction area would	
		The array of wildlife that we are currently		be smaller to provide adequate stand offs and	
		enjoying will disappear forever.		screening from sensitive receptors such as	
		The peace and tranquillity of our home will		residential properties. The site development	
		be taken away from us.		brief will contain more information on stand	
		We will have to erect a boundary fence at		offs and screening as the plan is developed	
		additional cost to us.		however the final extraction area would not be	
		We strongly object to the proposal for the		confirmed until the planning application stage	
		mineral workings to be adjacent to our		which is anticipated to be in 2017.	
		property. The considerable investment		5	
		that we have in our property will be lost.		Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out	
				in the technical annex of the National Planning	
				Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to	
				ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed	
				at source. This approach is reflected in Policy	
				DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the	
				Preferred Approach document.	
				Any planning application for a new quarry	
				proposal would have to include a detailed	
				noise and dust assessment which would	
				include measures to limit dust and noise at	
				nearby sensitive locations.	
				Minerals development will inevitably have short	
				term impacts on the existing natural	
				environment, however it is one of the few	
				activities that through restoration can result in	
				the creation of significant areas of important	
				habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan	
				targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals	
				Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is	
				biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration	
				plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and	
				required at the planning application stage and	

#### MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2k East Leake East

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.	
23145 - Mr Peter Twombley [2733]	Object	As local residents of Rempstone for over 30 years we object to further excavation towards the village of Rempstone because:  a) the proposed site is too near the village church and graveyard b) of the increase of yet more lorries through the village. British Gypsum heavy traffic has increased drastically over recent years. c) of concerns over 'deep water pits' created by any excavation - danger to local children  Our rural environment is being lost - perhaps a bypass could be encouraged, part payment by developers.	Possible encouragement of a bypass around Rempstone, to be part paid for by developers.	The allocation area shows the extent of the ownership of the mineral rights rather than the area to be worked. The extraction area would be a smaller to provide adequate stand offs and screening from sensitive receptors such as residential properties. The site development brief will contain more information on stand offs and screening as the plan is developed however the final extraction area would not be confirmed until the planning application stage.  The new allocation is an extension to the existing East Leake quarry and would not be worked until the existing quarry reserves have been used up. Output is expected to remain the same and lorry movements would not be increased from existing levels.  Quarry operators have to comply with strict health and safety regulations in terms of operational practices including restricting public access to operational sites. The restoration of the site is likely to be restored to wetlands and lakes in-line with the existing site creating valuable biodiversity and habitat gain.  Contributions for local improvements to the highway network can be sought where there is clear evidence that there will be significant impact however any proposals for a bypass are not within the remit of the Minerals Local Plan.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2I Cromwell South

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2I Cromwell	South				
23791 - Natural England (Consultation Services) [1750]	Comment	We reiterate previous comments that a phase 1 survey should be undertaken owing to the tree lined water course flowing through the site. We look forward to seeing the results of this before this is formally allocated. Indirect impacts may need to be taken account of if dewatering undertaken. There are a cluster of SSSI and SINCs around the above sites. These could be subject to a negative cumulative impact from dust, noise and changes in hydrology and hydrogeology.  Long-term, there is potential for a positive cumulative impact on biodiversity through appropriate restoration.  Mitigation measures should be put in place to affectively deal with these negative cumulative impacts.		Comments noted. The site development brief already highlights the potential impacts on the nearby SINCs and SSSIs. Detailed assessments and surveys will need to be undertaken as part of the planning application process and in-line with polices contained in the plan.	
23453 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There is a scheduled monument (ref: 1003492) to the south east of the proposed site; the setting of which is likely be affected and a buffer may be necessary. There is high potential for the site to contain non-designated archaeology. It is not clear how important and extensive the archaeology is, and whether it should be preserved in-situ. In addition, the setting of listed buildings at Cromwell including St Giles Church (grade I) should also be taken into consideration. The development brief mentions these assets but needs to explain how they should be addressed.		Comments noted. The aim of the development briefs is to highlight the key issues that will need to be addressed at the planning application stage. The planning application would need to be in-line with the policies set out in the plan including DM6: Historic Environment, as well as undertaking detailed assessment work'. If further information comes forward as the plan is progressed this will be included in the development brief	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2I Cromwell South

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23274 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  The flood risk assessment (FRA) should consider how works can mitigate against the loss of floodplain storage caused by temporary spoil heaps. Location of spoil heaps should also be away from flood conveyance routes so as not to increase flood risk. The FRA must also consider how the site will be restored to ensure that flood risk is managed appropriately and identify how any flood risk related assets will be managed during the sites operation.  Ground investigations and a watercourse inspection must be undertaken to ensure that the 9m easement from the minor watercourse is suitable enough to withstand an ingress of water from the watercourse into the quarry.  This potential site allocation is underlain by a Secondary Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution.  Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the Secondary Aquifer would be required as part of a planning application. However reference to the Secondary Aquifer will be included in the site development brief. Further text will also be included regarding the 9 metre easement.	Add text to the development brief for MP2I to include reference to the Secondary Aquifer. Add further text regarding the 9 metre easement.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2I Cromwell South

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23727 - Newark and Sherwood District Council (Matthew Tubb) [2950]	Comment	The majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 3 however the Development Brief does not include reference to the need for mitigation of potential flooding impacts or the requirement for a Flood Risk Assessment. For the site to be considered suitable it is important that the site does not give rise to increased flood risk and that where this may be the case that it is sufficiently mitigated for.  In addition given the proximity of the site to the River Trent the inclusion of flood mitigation measures as part of the restoration scheme should be considered, as has been done with the Besthorpe South extension.  Whilst it is recognised that the site is located adjacent to the A1 and that this separates the proposed extension from the village itself the use of screening along the western boundary of the site could assist in limiting the visual impact of the site. The protection of listed buildings, the Scheduled Ancient Monument to the south east of the site and the nearby SINC included as part of the Development Brief are all welcomed.	It is suggested that the addressing of potential flood risk should be a critical part of the approach for the site.	Comments noted.	Amend the site development brief for MP2I Cromwell South to reference location within flood zone 3 and potential for screening to the western boundary of the site.

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2I Cromwell South

## Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23671 - Newark PAGE [2390]	Object	We consider the Cromwell extension, providing as it will a total of 26 years' production along with the alreadypermitted extension, to be unnecessary in the context of an 18-year Plan Period. Bearing in mind that the permitted extension has reserves sufficient for 12 years, a need only exists for an extension of less than half the size of that proposed. If the full size of the proposed allocation is retained, then we consider that its release should at least be phased. We also consider that the allocation should be released only to serve markets to the north, bearing in mind the sustainability implications of transporting aggregate to either Nottingham or Newark where alternative more local supplies exist.  In particular, we consider that the opportunities for the extraction of sand and gravel for the Newark southern extension and relief road to be carried out on or very near the location of those works in the Devon valley should be investigated thoroughly before allocations are made or released that would involve additional transport by lorry.	Suggested Grange to Francisco	The full extent of the quarry has been allocated to ensure that all the potential impacts of the site and the restoration of the site can be taken account of at an early stage of the process. A planning application for the quarry would still be required and the extent of working would be identified at that stage. The operator may choose to apply for permission to only work part of the allocated area. Either way a phased approach to working would most likely be adopted so that the minimum area of land was worked at any one stage.  Whilst the minerals plan tries to identify suitable sites to meet demand from different areas and therefore minimise the distance minerals are transported, it is not within the remit of the plan to control which markets the minerals industry supplies mineral too. In terms of Cromwell it is well located to serve a number of different markets including Newark, Nottingham and the north of the County, due to its close proximity to the strategic highway network.  Whilst sand and gravel reserves are likely to exist in the Devon valley, the minerals industry didn't promote any sites in this area as part of the call for sites exercise. Due to this lack of	Council's Change to Fran
				interest from the industry it is not possible for the plan to consider this area further as the plan would be 'undeliverable'.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

Respondent Na	ature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2m Barnby Moo	or				
•		The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  The flood risk assessment (FRA) should consider how works can mitigate against the loss of floodplain storage caused by temporary spoil heaps. Location of spoil heaps should also be away from flood conveyance routes so as not to increase flood risk. The FRA must also consider how the site will be restored to ensure that flood risk is managed appropriately.  Ground investigations and a watercourse inspection must be undertaken to ensure that the 9m easement from the minor watercourse is suitable enough to withstand an ingress of water from the watercourse into the quarry.  This potential site allocation lies within Source Protection Zone 3 and is underlain by a Principal Aquifer where groundwater		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the Source Protection Zone designation and aquifer would be required as part of a planning application. However reference to the Source Protection Zone and Principal Aquifer will be included in the site development brief. Further text will also be included regarding the 9 metre easement.	Include information on the Source Protection Zone and the Principal Aquifer in the site development brief for MP2m Barnby Moor. Add further text regarding the 9 metre easement.
23795 - Natural Co England (Consultation Services) [1750]	omment	is sensitive to pollution. Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.  The Habitats Regulation Assessment screening report states that MP2m could have possible indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Forest p SPA via hydrological pathways.		Comments noted. The County Council acknowledges the possible indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Forest p SPA via the hydrological pathways. The issues will be dealt with through the site specific EIA as part of the planning application	Amend site development brief for MP2m Banrby Moor to ensure consideration is given to the potential indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Forest p SPA.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23452 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There are a number of listed buildings within Barnby Moor; we note the development brief requires that the setting of these should be considered. We also note from the SA that the archaeological potential of this site is low to medium		Comments noted. The aim of the development briefs is to highlight the key issues that will need to be addressed at the planning application stage. The planning application would need to be in-line with the policies set out in the plan including DM6: Historic Environment, as well as undertaking detailed assessment work'. If further information comes forward as the plan is progressed this will be included in the development brief	
23762 - Hanson Aggregates North (Mr Ben Ayres) [1021]	Comment	Proposing a extraction limit boundary for the proposed allocation, as shown on Plan ref B173-13 dated Dec 13, following concerns expressed during this preferred approach consultation.		Comments noted. The information submitted will be incorporated into the site development brief.	Include the additional information into the site development brief.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23113 - Ann Carolin Fraser [2729] 23117 - John Crane [2730] 23142 - Mr John Phillips [2732] 23151 - Joyce Doyle [2736] 23163 - Stephen Kenworthy [2745] 23171 - Derek Kitson Architectural Technologist Ltd (Derek Kitson) [2489] 23172 - KN Lane [2754] 23173 - Michael Ing [2756] 23175 - Lyndis Ing	Nature Object	A large number of objections were submitted relating to the following issues:  Proximity to properties Possible contamination of surface and or ground water High risk of flooding Disruption to existing drainage/ sewage systems Number of HGVs and road safety concerns Negative impact on the natural environment Further quarrying and scaring of a landscape that has been heavily worked in the past Permanent loss of high quality agricultural farmland Reduction in air qualityand dust Extensions to existing sites should be	Suggested Change to Plan	Proximity to properties  In light of the comments recieved raising concerns about the proximity of the original Barnby Moor allocation to residential properties, the operator has subsequently submitted a revised scheme to move the boundary of the site further north away from the village. Further information on the extent of extraction within this boundary was also included which would allow for 100m stand offs from properties and enable screening to be incorporated into the design of the site. The revised site has been assessed and the original allocation boundary has been amended. Further work will be undertaken and included in the site brief to provide further information on the stand-off areas and the types of screening to be included. A further consultation on this alongside other sand and	Amend site development brief for MP2m Barnby Moor to include text relating to the potential for non-designated archaeology on the site.
23177 - Samuel Ing 2758] 23200 - Craig Reep 2771]		promoted over new greenfield sites Airport Safeguarding and birdstrike Impact on Archaeology Too Many quarries for the area		gravel issues will be undertaken.  Possible contamination of surface and or ground water	
23221 - Steven Henry Pashley [2779] 23222 - Valerie Anne Pashley [2780] 23226 - Nigel Ward- Stevens [2778]		Exports to Doncaster and South Yorkshire Potential for further extensions in the future Negative impacts on businesses, tourism and jobs Health and safety - areas of deep water a		As part of any planning application, applicants would be required to assess any potential impacts, provide detailed hydrological information and where necessary apply to the Environment Agency for the relevant licences	
23227 - Gill Ward- Stevens [2785] 23229 - Ann Carolin Fraser [2729]		danger to children The Barnby Moor allocation is already a done deal The Sustainability Appraisal score for		to abstract and discharge excess water. Other bodies such as the relevant Internal Drainage board would also be consulted.	
23232 - Peter Doyle [2788] 23308 - Mrs VA Hardy [2808] 23310 - Mr Roy Hardy		Barnby Moor is significantly negative		Information provided by the operator states that early investigations have revealed that due to the depth of the water table only a limited amount of dewatering would be required on the Barnby Moor site.	
[2809] 23312 - Mr Roy Hardy [2809]				High risk of flooding	
23314 - Dukeries Healthcare Limited (Hilary Levack) [2812] 23316 - Hilary Levack [2811] 23332 - Cllr Liz Yates [2827]				National guidance states that minerals development is 'water compatible' and allowed to take place in areas of flood risk. It goes on to state that developments should be well designed and managed and any fixed plant or stockpiles should be located away from the areas of highest flood risk to minimise	

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

## **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Lennon [2897]

23496 - Kate Firth

Doonondon <sup>4</sup>	Notice Comment	Suggested Change to Blan	Councillo Boonana	Council's Pasnansa Council's Change to Pla		
Respondent	Nature Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan		
23335 - Christine			obstructions to flood water. Details regarding			
Sutherby [2835]			the location of any fixed machinery or			
23336 - G Fielding			stockpiles would be identified at the planning			
[2838]			application stage.			
23338 - Christopher						
Thomson [2840]			At times of flood, active sand and gravel sites			
23339 - Bill Harris			are allowed to flood maintaining the role of the			
[2841]			natural flood plain before being pumped out			
23356 - Mr J Tucker			once the flood water has subsided. In many			
[2851]			cases worked out guarries can provide			
23359 - Mrs S Hill			temporary flood storage capacity reducing the			
[2846]			potential for flooding downstream.			
23362 - Joanna			Part of the Barnby Moor allocation is within a			
Everett [2844]			high flood zone, however the mineral			
23363 - Mr Michael			•			
			excavated will be processed off-site which will			
Sendall [2858]			result in minimal equipment or stock piles on			
23365 - Mr R Palmer			the site which could impede flood flows.			
[2849]			Diamentian to a delice design and to a second			
23369 - AM Read			Disruption to existing drainage/sewerage			
[2852]			systems			
23382 - Shirley						
Woodley [2865]			The operator would need to identify the			
23383 - Hetty Burnell			location of any buried infrastructure or services			
[2868]			on the site such as drainage or sewage pipes			
23384 - Mrs Helen			and work with the relevant body to relocate			
Asquith [2834]			them before any working took place.			
23403 - Andy Hook						
[2862]			Number of HGVs and road safety concerns			
23421 - Mr Roy Hardy						
[2809]			Most mineral is transported by road, as this is			
23431 - Mr Ian			often the most economical and flexible way of			
Asquith [2884]			serving a diverse range of markets. As part of			
23471 - Mr Graeme			any planning permission for minerals			
Fee [2878]			development, a detailed Transport			
23472 - Clare Fee			Assessment would be required and measures			
[2886]			would be put in place to minimise the impact of			
23477 - Claire			the HGV traffic. This would include detailed			
Harrison [2902]			designs regarding the location of the site			
23479 - Paul Levack			access, road layout, and any improvements			
[2901]			that were deemed necessary on safety			
23483 - Roslyn Firth			grounds in the vicinity of the site. Conditions			
[2900]			such as lorry routing agreements could be put			
23487 - William			in place if this is relevant to the application.			
Brown [2899]			in place if this is relevant to the application.			
23489 - Thomas			The Barnby Moor proposal would access the			
Jones [2898]						
			A638 which is part of the strategic road			
23492 - Andrew			network supplying unprocessed material to the			
annon izxu/i						

company's existing plant site at Auckley. This will result in the majority of HGV traffic leaving

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

#### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Council's Response Respondent **Nature Summary** Suggested Change to Plan Council's Change to Plan [2896] the site to go north on the A638 avoiding the 23497 - Paul

Derbyshire [2895] 23500 - Elizabeth Bath [2894] 23501 - Chris Jewitt [2892] 23504 - Yvonne Mitchell [2891] 23505 - Victoria Smith [2890]

23508 - Pradeep Kumar [2889] 23509 - Dukeries Healthcare Limited (Hilary Levack) [2812]

23513 - Mike Tomasewski [2905]

23518 - W Theaker [2906]

23520 - J Staniland

[2907] 23523 - Elaine Allen

[2908]

23524 - GR Call [2909] 23527 - Mr E Havnes

[2910]

23528 - Mrs S Haynes

[2911]

23538 - John O'Hagan [2912]

23540 - June Horner

[2913] 23542 - George

Horner [2914]

23544 - Susan Fores

[2915]

23547 - Wendy

Goreham [2916]

23549 - Gerda

O'Hagan [2917] 23554 - Mr John

Phillips [2732] 23568 - Michael Hill

[2845]

23569 - Mrs S Hill

[2846] 23637 - Lawrence

Benson [2930]

village of Barnby Moor.

As part of the assessment work, the highways authority was consulted and pending a detailed site specific Transport Assessment (which would be undertaken at the planning application stage) no significant issues were raised. A strategic transport assessment was also commissioned to assess the wider impacts of the potential HGV movements (on both a site by site and cumulative basis). This didn't raise any significant issues related to the

Negative impact on the natural environment

Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment, however it is one of the few activities that, through restoration, can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration. after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.

The Barnby Moor allocation is currently proposed to restore the site back to a mix of agriculture and nature conservation including wet grassland and shallow wetland. Further work will be undertaken and included in the site development brief as the plan is progressed.

Further quarrying and scaring of a landscape that has been heavily worked in the past

Minerals can only be worked where they are found and in the case of sand and gravel in Nottinghamshire this is along the river valleys of the Trent and Idle. The Idle valley has historically produced a significant amount of sand and gravel to supply the major markets of

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Council's Change to Plan

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

Respondent Nature Summary 23639 - Brian Everett [2931] 23641 - Mr David Webb [2941] 23642 - Miss AJ Webb [2940] 23643 - Callum Webb [2939] 23644 - Mr DR Gee [2938] 23645 - Mrs CS Gee [2937] 23646 - Ms KJ Gee [2936] 23647 - Mr AG Gee [2935] 23648 - J Reeves [2934] 23649 - Michelle Cunningham [2933] 23650 - Jason Cunningham [2932] 23663 - George Jacob [2943] 23701 - Cllr Tracey Taylor [2947] 23706 - Sutton cum Lound PC (Nigel Johnson) [2904] 23893 - Bassetlaw District Council (Tom Bannister) [2955] 23924 - Barnby Moor Parish Council (Mrs Ann Fraser ) [913] 23926 - CL Fraser [2963] 23928 - John Stokes [2965] 23930 - Shirley Stokes [2964] 23932 - Ann Carolin Fraser [2729]

North Nottinghamshire, Doncaster and South Yorkshire. Whilst the sand and gravel in this area has largely been worked out, remaining reserves including the Barnby Moor allocation will contribute to providing important sand and gravel reserves to these areas. Although mineral working has significantly changed the landscape it has also provided significant areas of new habitat. biodiversity and amenity

Council's Response

Suggested Change to Plan

Permanent loss of high quality agricultural farmland

gain that would not otherwise have been

possible.

Whilst it is important to protect our highest quality agricultural land from being lost to other development, minerals such as sand and gravel can only be worked where they are found and provide the basis for construction and manufacturing. Without the raw materials our way of life would be very different. Many things we take for granted such as roads and houses would not be able to be built or maintained. The UK is largely self-sufficient in construction minerals and this industry contributes significantly to the economy as a whole. It is therefore important to manage the resources we have to maintain agricultural land whilst providing adequate mineral resources.

The restoration of quarries can include a return back to agricultural land however this is very much dependent on the availability of overburden or suitable fill at individual sites to return the site back to previous ground levels. At present the Barnby Moor restoration proposal is to return the area back to agriculture and nature conservation.

Reduction in air quality and dust

Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

Respondent

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan

Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies

Preferred Approach document.

Any planning application for a new quarry proposal would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.

In the case of the Barnby Moor allocation, the site will act as a satellite operation to supply unprocessed material to the company's existing plant site at Auckley. This would result in a reduction in the amount of noise and dust created on site due to the lack of machinery and processing plant within the site boundary. The majority of HGV traffic leaving the site would also travel north on the A638 avoiding the village of Barnby Moor.

Extensions to existing sites should be promoted over new greenfield sites.

Policy SP4 of the Preferred Approach document does state that priority should be given to extending existing sites over new green field sites, where economically, socially and environmentally acceptable. This approach has been taken into account when identifying the site allocations resulting in 12 of the 15 sand and gravel allocations being extensions to existing sites. However this is not the only consideration that needs to be taken account of. Minerals can only be worked where they are found and generally supply specific markets due to the cost of transporting the material. The Idle Valley serves the local markets of North Nottinghamshire, Doncaster and South Yorkshire. Reserves of sand and gravel in the Idle valley are limited and extensions to existing sites have been allocated wherever suitable, however further reserves are required in this area. Existing sand and gravel quarries close to Newark could potentially serve this market; however this would lead to greater transport distances and costs as well as increased pollution. The greenfield site at Barnby Moor has therefore been allocated as it is located much closer to the markets it serves is preferable in terms of

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

Respondent

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan

#### Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

sustainability and is deliverable (as required by the National Planning Policy Framework).

Airport safeguarding and bird strike

The operator of any quarry proposal that falls within an airport safeguarding zone would need to consult with the relevant airport to ensure that there would be no adverse impacts from the minerals development. A number of factors such as the distance from the airport and location in relation to standard landing and take-off routes would be considered. A suitable restoration scheme taking into account the above factors can in many cases minimise or remove the impacts of any potential bird strike. Given the location of the Barnby Moor site close to large areas of existing open water it is unlikely to cause a significant problem.

Further information can be found in DM12 - 'Airport safeguarding (bird strike)' of the Preferred Approach. The aviation authorities and local airpoints have all been consulted on the proposals.

Impact on Archaeological issues

The Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach document includes a policy which aims to protect and enhance the historic environment (Policy DM6). As part of the planning application process the operator would be required to consult the Historic Environment Record, submit a detailed archaeological assessment and where appropriate set out measures to preserve or record finds.

No significant issues with the Barnby Moor site were raised at the assessment stage however there is potential for archaeology on site which the operator will need to engage with the at an early stage to provide a mitigation strategy. Further information on this matter will be included in the site development brief.

Too many quarries for the area (Barnby Moor and Botany Bay)

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

Respondent Na

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan

Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

Minerals can only be worked where they are found and the Government requires Minerals Planning Authorities, through the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) to plan for an adequate and steady supply of minerals. The possible cumulative impacts of all the quarries within the area have been assessed and the County Council is of the opinion that there are no unacceptable cumulative impacts on either the environment or on the amenity of the local community.

Exports to Doncaster and South Yorkshire

The National Planning Policy Framework requires Local Authorities to work together to ensure that an adequate supply of minerals is available for their likely use in the construction, industrial and manufacturing processes. Minerals can only be worked where they are found and Doncaster and South Yorkshire have limited sand and gravel reserves. The Idle valley has historically produced a significant amount of sand and gravel to supply these markets along with North Nottinghamshire. Whilst the sand and gravel in this area has largely been worked out, remaining reserves including the Barnby Moor allocation will contribute to providing important sand and gravel reserves to these areas.

Potential for further extensions in the future

Minerals can only be worked where they are found and the Government requires Minerals Planning Authorities, through the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) to plan for an adequate and steady supply of minerals. Potential extensions cannot be ruled out and any future proposals in this respect will need to have regard to the policies contained within the Minerals Local Plan and in particular, the need for the mineral (Policy MP1) and the possible cumulative impacts that an extension could bring (Policy DM8).

Negative impacts on businesses, tourism and jobs

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

Respondent N

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan

#### Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

Throughout the operational phase of the quarry, the site would be screened from the A638 by planting and soil bunds and is likely to have limited visual impact on passing traffic. In the long term the site is currently proposed to be restored to a mix of agriculture and wetland areas, however a detailed restoration plan would be submitted through the planning application process. Depending on the access rights to the land the restored site could also provide additional amenity areas for the public and local communities.

Health and safety - Areas of deep water a danger to children

As part of the planning application process the operator will be required to set out the future restoration proposals and at this stage, issues regarding the most suitable and safe appropriate uses will be assessed. Whilst the site is operational, the operator would have to comply with strict health and safety regulations in terms of restricting public access to the active quarry.

The Barnby Moor allocation is already a done deal

The County Council, as the Minerals Planning Authority for Nottinghamshire, is responsible for ensuring an adequate and steady supply of Minerals through the preparation and adoption of an up to date Minerals Local Plan. The Minerals Local Plan identifies sufficient sites to ensure that the requirements for each Mineral are met for the future. Sites are submitted to the Council for consideration and each one is assessed against sustainability and deliverability criteria before being taken forward through the Local Plan process and examined by an independent inspector appointed by the Government. If the Plan is approved by the inspector, it will then be down to the operator of the allocated site to submit a detailed planning application for the site. The County Council will have an open and transparent process throughout the preparation of the Local Plan and any subsequent planning

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2m Barnby Moor

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				application process.	
				The Sustainability Appraisal score for Barnby Moor is significantly negative	
				The Sustainability Appraisal is a method of assessing sites against a set list of criteria which can then be used in combination with other factors to identify the suitability of sites. Those factors include the location of the mineral and the ability of the mineral to be worked within the plan period. Sand and gravel is worked in three areas within Nottinghamshire, one of which is the Idle Valley. The Idle valley supplies the local markets of North Nottinghamshire, Doncaster and South Yorkshire. The allocation process therefore needs to take this into account, as selecting sites Near Newark or near Nottingham would result in increased transport distances and cost as well as greater pollution.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2n Botany Bay

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2n Botany Ba	ay				
23276 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  Ground investigations and a watercourse inspection must be undertaken to ensure that the 9m easement from the major watercourse is suitable enough to withstand an ingress of water from the watercourse into the quarry.  The site lies within flood zone 1 and is therefore at low risk of flooring from fluvial sources such as our 'main rivers'. There are ordinary watercourses within the sites and a flood risk assessment will be required to establish the potential flood risk from these sources and ensure that surface water runoff is managed effectively on site, and does not lead to flood risk elsewhere.		Comments noted. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment and assessment work in relation to the Source Protection Zone designation and aquifer would be required as part of the planning application process. However reference to the Source Protection Zone and Principal aquifer will be included in the site development brief. Further text will also be added regarding the 9 metre easement.	Add text to the development brief for MP2n to include reference to the Source Protection Zone and principal Aquifer. Add further text regarding the 9 metre easement.
		This potential site allocation lies within Source Protection Zone 3 and is underlain by a Principal Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution. Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.			
23454 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	The site boundary adjoins the Chesterfield Canal which is of historic interest. There may also be implications for designated heritage assets at Ranby Hall (grade II* listed Hall and other grade II listed buildings associated with the Estate) and Babworth Park - grade II registered park and garden and grade II listed buildings associated with the Estate. The development brief mentions these assets but needs to explain how they should be addressed. We also note from the SA that the archaeological potential of this site is medium.		Comments noted. The aim of the development briefs is to highlight the key issues that will need to be addressed at the planning application stage. The planning application would need to be in-line with the policies set out in the plan including DM6: Historic Environment, as well as undertaking detailed assessment work'. If further information comes forward as the plan is progressed this will be included in the development brief	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2n Botany Bay

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23794 - Natural England (Consultation Services) [1750]		The Habitats Regulation Assessment screening report states that MP2n could have possible indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Forest p SPA via hydrological pathways.		Comments noted. The County Council acknowledges the possible indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Foest p SPA via hydrological pathways. This would be dealt with through the site specific EIA as part of the planning application process and further information on this matter will be included in the site development brief.	Update the site development brief for MP2n to ensure that consideration is given to the potential indirect links to the Birklands and Bilhaugh SAC and Sherwood Foest p SPA.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2n Botany Bay

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23202 - Mr Andrew Liney [2772]	Object	(1) The Inspector ruled in 2005 that Botany Bay and Sturton le Steeple should not be included in the same Plan for the detailed reasons given in his report.  (2) Nottinghamshire County Council themselves excluded Botany Bay as a replacement for Lound due to ecological, achaeological, and local impact reasons, as well as previous intensive extraction affecting the landscape, considerations which remain equally valid today.  (3) The Plan shows Botany Bay replacing Misson/Finningley, which was due to be replaced by Sturton le Steeple in the 2005 Plan, so Botany Bay is an unnecessary duplication.  (4) Sturton le Steeple has still not commenced production, and has a twenty- year life, so Botany Bay would not be required in a Plan covering up to 2030  (5) Regardless of recession effects, there has been a long term decline in primary aggregate consumption, and an even greater proportionate decline in the use of sand and gravel, factors which have not been adequately considered in the Plan, leading to an over-estimate of demand.	Omit Botany Bay from the Plan.	At the time of the previous Minerals Local Plan inquiry in 2004 the Botany Bay proposal was put forward for allocation in the plan, but was rejected in favour of other sites in the Idle Valley including Sturton Le Steeple. The mineral operator objected to this but the inspector stated that its allocation would create over provision in the area, he did however note in Para 6.174 of his report that in the future, as further reserves are worked out, its case for allocation would strengthen.  The Sustainability Appraisal that was undertaken on the Botany Bay site in May 2002 highlighted that the impact on Archaeology could make the development unlikely. Landscape, ecology and agricultural concerns were also raised but it stated that these issues may be easily overcome. The outcome of the Sustainability Appraisal was to retain the site on the list of potential allocations although there were some doubts over the potential to develop the site and need for the site (at the time) was questionable. The site was not allocated although as stated in Para 6.173 of the inspectors report the County Council agreed that the issues of possible cumulative impact and archaeology were not insurmountable.  At the time of the current minerals plan production in the early 2000's it was stated that Sturton Le Steeple would replace Misson Finingley. This information was supplied by the operator and was based on how they thought future working would take place. Due to a number of factors since this date such as the recession the operator has now stated that Botany Bay would act as a replacement to Misson Finingley.  The Sturton Le Steeple quarry has planning permission although has yet been worked and is unlikely to start before 2017. The sand and gravel reserves contained in this site have been included in the demand forecast over the	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2n Botany Bay

Respondent

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan

Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

provide further reserves as existing sites are worked out, as set out in the Local Aggregate Assessment (LAA) and Policy MP1 of the preferred approach document.

Aggregate production over the last 30-40 years has slowly declined however output, particularly for sand is gravel is characterised by significant peaks and troughs in production as it is closely linked to periods of economic growth and recession.

Production in Nottinghamshire generally follows national trends, although due to the high sand and gravel production from the county, the peaks and troughs in production tend to be more pronounced. The current recession has seen production fall significantly as house building and construction projects were stopped or cancelled. Production has since increased slightly although the continuing recession is holding back further economic growth.

Given past trends it is highly likely that as the economy improves sand and gravel output will increase returning to pre-recession levels. The future demand forecast in MP1 is based on the last 10 year average production figures identified in the Local Aggregates Assessment which takes account of a period of economic growth and recession and therefore provides a level of flexibility for the future when demand for sand and gravel increases.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2n Botany Bay

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23164 - Stephen Kenworthy [2745] 23174 - Michael Ing [2756] 23176 - Lyndis Ing [2757] 23178 - Samuel Ing [2758] 23195 - Mr Derek Marsh [877] 23203 - Mrs Eileen Bird [2773] 23204 - R Bird [2774] 23230 - Ann Carolin Fraser [2729] 23233 - Peter Doyle [2788] 23307 - Stephen Barlow [2807] 23309 - Mrs VA Hardy [2808] 23311 - Mr Roy Hardy [2809] 23313 - Mr Roy Hardy [2809] 23315 - Dukeries Healthcare Limited (Hilary Levack) [2812] 23324 - Hilary Levack [2811] 23333 - Cllr Liz Yates [2827] 23334 - Babworth Parish Council (Mr Andrew Howard) [2761] 23357 - Mr J Tucker [2851] 23358 - Eleanor Barlow [2850] 23360 - Michael Hill [2845] 23361 - Joanna Everett [2844] 23366 - Mr R Palmer [2849] 23368 - AM Read [2852] 23408 - William		A large number of objections were submitted covering the following issues:  Negative impact on the natural environment Further quarrying and scaring of a landscape that has been heavily worked in the past Disruption to sites of archaeological interest Significant increse in HGV traffic Proximity and impacts to residential properties, the Chesterfield Canal and the railway Impact on local businesses Loss of agricultural land Noise and air pollution Impact on surface and or ground water and potential for flooding from dewatering Disruption to existing buried infrastructure Extensions to existing sites should be promoted over new greenfield sites The Sustainability Appraisal score for Botany Bay is significantly negative Over provision of sand and gravel in the Idle Valley		Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment, however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.  The Botany Bay allocation is currently proposed to be restored back to a mix of agricultural land and wetland. Further work will be undertaken and included in the site development brief as the plan is progressed.  Further quarrying and scaring of a landscape that has been heavily worked in the past  Minerals can only be worked where they are found and in the case of sand and gravel in Nottinghamshire this is along the river valleys of the Trent and Idle. The Idle valley has historically produced a significant amount of sand and gravel to supply the major markets of North Nottinghamshire, Doncaster and South Yorkshire. Whilst the sand and gravel in this area has largely been worked out, remaining reserves including the Botany Bay allocation will contribute to providing important sand and gravel reserves to these areas. Although mineral working has significantly changed the landscape it has also provided significant areas of new habitat, biodiversity and amenity gain that would not otherwise have been possible.  Disruption to sites of archaeological interest  The Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach document includes a policy which aims to protect and enhance the historic environment	Amend site development brief for MP2n Botany Bay to ensure consideration is given to the potential for archaeology on the site.

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2n Botany Bay

#### Council's Response Respondent Nature Summary Suggested Change to Plan Council's Change to Plan Barker [2875] (Policy DM6). As part of the planning 23422 - Mr Rov Hardy application process the operator would be required to consult the Historic Environment [2809] 23432 - Mr Ian Record, submit a detailed archaeological assessment and where appropriate set out Asquith [2884] 23473 - Stella Barlow measures to preserve or record finds. [2887] 23478 - Claire The Botany Bay allocation has the potential for Harrison [2902] archaeology and as such information relating 23480 - Paul Levack to this will be included in the site development [2901] brief. 23484 - Roslyn Firth [2900] Significant increase in HGV traffic 23488 - William Brown [2899] Most mineral is transported by road, as this is 23490 - Thomas often the cheapest and most flexible way of Jones [2898] serving a diverse range of markets. As part of 23493 - Andrew any planning permission for minerals Lennon [2897] development, a Transport Assessment would 23495 - Kate Firth be required and measures would be put in [2896] place to minimise the impact of the HGV 23498 - Paul traffic. This would include detailed designs Derbyshire [2895] regarding the location of the site access, road 23499 - Elizabeth layout, and any improvements that were deemed necessary on safety grounds in the Bath [2894] 23502 - Chris Jewitt vicinity of the site. Conditions such as lorry [2892] routing agreements could be put in place if this 23503 - Yvonne is relevant to the application. Mitchell [2891] 23506 - Victoria Smith As part of the assessment work, the highways [2890] authority was consulted and pending a detailed 23507 - Pradeep site specific Transport Assessment (which Kumar [2889] would be undertaken at the planning 23510 - Dukeries application stage) no significant issues were raised. A strategic transport assessment was Healthcare Limited (Hilary Levack) [2812] also commissioned to assess the wider impacts of the potential HGV movements (both 23512 - Mike Tomasewski [2905] on a site by site and cumulative basis). This 23514 - Mrs K Locke didn't raise any significant issues related to the [2725] site. 23515 - Martin Sherman [2867] Proximity and impacts to residential properties, 23519 - W Theaker the Chesterfield Canal and the railway [2906] 23521 - J Staniland The allocation area shows the extent of the [2907] ownership of the mineral rights rather than the 23522 - Elaine Allen area to be worked. The extraction area would [2908] be smaller to provide adequate stand offs and 23525 - GR Call [2909] screening from sensitive locations. As part of

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2n Botany Bay

Respondent Na

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan

Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

23526 - Mr E Haynes [2910] 23529 - Mrs S Havnes [2911] 23539 - John O'Hagan [2912] 23541 - June Horner [2913] 23543 - George Horner [2914] 23545 - Susan Fores [2915] 23548 - Wendy Goreham [2916] 23550 - Gerda O'Hagan [2917] 23551 - VA Houtby [2918] 23552 - Mr SJ Houtby [2920] 23638 - Lawrence Benson [2930] 23640 - Brian Everett [2931] 23664 - George Jacob [2943] 23702 - Cllr Tracey Taylor [2947] 23707 - Sutton cum Lound PC (Nigel Johnson) [2904] 23768 - Mr ARW Payne [2951] 23894 - Bassetlaw District Council (Tom Bannister) [2955] 23925 - Barnby Moor Parish Council (Mrs Ann Fraser ) [913] 23927 - CL Fraser [2963] 23929 - John Stokes [2965] 23931 - Shirley Stokes [2964] 23933 - Ann Carolin Fraser [2729]

the planning application process a full hydrological assessment would be undertaken by the operator to establish the acceptability of dewatering the site in the context of nearby receptors. The site development brief will contain more information on stand offs and screening as the plan is developed however the final extraction area would not be confirmed until the planning application stage.

Throughout the operational phase of the quarry, the site would be screened from the A638 and the Chesterfield Canal by planting and soil bunds limiting the impact of the development. In the long term, the site is currently proposed to be restored to a mix of agricultural land and wetland; however a detailed restoration plan would be submitted through the planning application process. Depending on the access rights to the land the restored site could also provide amenity areas for the public.

#### Impact on local businesses

Throughout the operational phase of the quarry, the site would be screened from the A638 by planting and soil bunds and is likely to have limited visual impact on passing traffic. In the long term the site is currently proposed to be restored to a mix of agriculture and wetland areas, however a detailed restoration plan would be submitted through the planning application process. Depending on the access rights to the land the restored site could also provide additional amenity areas for the public and local communities.

#### Loss of agricultural land

Whilst it is important to protect our highest quality agricultural land from being lost to other development, minerals such as sand and gravel can only be worked where they are found and provide the basis for construction and manufacturing. Without the raw materials our way of life would be very different. Many things we take for granted such as roads and houses would not be able to be built or

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2n Botany Bay

Respondent

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan

Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

maintained. The UK is largely self-sufficient in construction minerals and this industry contributes significantly to the economy as a whole. It is therefore important to manage the resources we have to maintain agricultural land whilst providing adequate mineral resources.

The restoration of quarries can include a return back to agricultural land however this is very much dependent on the availability of overburden or suitable fill at individual sites to return the site back to previous ground levels.

At present approximately half of the Botany Bay site is proposed to be returned to agricultural land with the remaining areas to wetland.

Noise and air pollution

Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.

Any planning application for a new quarry proposal would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.

Impact on surface and or ground water and potential for flooding from dewatering

As part of the planning application process, applicants would be required to assess any potential impacts, provide detailed hydrological information and where necessary apply to the Environment Agency for the relevant licences to abstract water and to discharge water off site. The relevant Internal Drainage Board would also be consulted. This would ensure that the impact on existing water courses would be minimised.

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2n Botany Bay

Respondent

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan

#### Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

Disruption to existing buried infrastructure

The operator would need to identify the location of any buried infrastructure or services on the site such as a gas main and work with the relevant body to relocate them before any working took place.

Extensions to existing sites should be promoted over new greenfield sites.

Policy SP4 of the Preferred Approach document does state that priority should be given to extending existing sites over new green field sites, where economically, socially and environmentally acceptable and this approach has been taken into account when identifying the site allocations. However this is not the only consideration that needs to be taken account of. Minerals can only be worked where they are found and generally supply specific markets due to the cost of transporting the material. The Idle Valley serves the local markets of North Nottinghamshire, Doncaster and South Yorkshire. Reserves of sand and gravel in the Idle valley are limited and extensions to existing sites have been allocated wherever suitable, however further reserves are required in this area. Existing sand and gravel quarries close to Newark could potentially serve this market; however this would lead to greater transport distances and costs as well as increased pollution. The greenfield site at Botany Bay has therefore been allocated as it is located much closer to the markets it serves, is preferable in terms of sustainability and is deliverable (as required by the National Planning Policy Framework).

The Sustainability Appraisal score for Botany Bay is significantly negative

The Sustainability Appraisal is a method of assessing sites against a set list of criteria which can then be used in combination with other factors to identify the suitability of sites. Those factors include the location of the mineral and the ability of the mineral to be worked within the plan period. Sand and gravel

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2n Botany Bay

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				is worked in three areas within Nottinghamshire, one of which is the Idle Valley. The Idle valley supplies the local markets of North Nottinghamshire, Doncaster and South Yorkshire. The allocation process therefore needs to take this into account, as selecting sites Near Newark or near Nottingham would result in increased transport distances and cost as well as greater pollution.	
				Over provision of sand and gravel in the Idle Valley	
				At the time of the previous Minerals Local Plan inquiry in 2004 the Botany Bay proposal was put forward for allocation in the plan, but was rejected in favour of other sites in the Idle Valley. The mineral operator objected to this but the inspector stated that its allocation would create over provision in the area, he did however note in Para 6.174 of his report that in the future as further reserves are worked out its case for allocation would strengthen.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Council's Response Council's Change to Plan Respondent Nature Summary Suggested Change to Plan MP2o Coddington 23730 - Newark and Comment The proposed allocation of Coddington is A Strategic Transport Assessment has been Amend the site development brief for a key concern of the District Council and undertaken for all the proposed allocations Sherwood District MP2o Coddinton to include Council (Matthew Councillor Mrs Dobson has questioned across the county and no significant issues Beckingham Road as a route to be have been raised regarding the Coddington Tubb) [2950] the overall appropriateness of the avoided by HGV traffic. allocation. As part of the planning application proposed allocation. process a more detailed Transport It is considered that the proposed access Assessment would also be required and arrangements would significantly impact measures would be put in place to minimise on the amenity of local residents. It is the impact of the HGV traffic. This would considered that a routeing agreement to include detailed designs regarding the location avoid the stretch of Beckingham Road of the site access, road layout, and any that runs through the village is necessary. improvements that were deemed necessary on Importantly for this site, the impact of safety grounds in the vicinity of the site. growth on the A1/A46/A17 junctions has Conditions such as lorry routing agreements been highlighted as a particular issue in would also be put in place to ensure that HGVs the transport evidence base for the from the development avoid unsuitable roads District's Core Strategy and Allocations & such as those through Coddington village. Development Management DPDs, with The site development states that HGV access junction improvements being identified in on to Stapleford Lane and Drove lane should response. be avoided however this will be amended to include Beckingham Road. The Transport Study for the Minerals Local Plan should not be carried out in isolation and should take account of the work already carried out as part of the Newark & Sherwood Local Development Framework to establish the cumulative impact of committed and allocated development.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23277 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	The Environment Agency has no concerns regarding this allocation subject to the following mitigation measures being implemented:  As identified in the site Development Brief, a Flood Risk Assessment is required that confirms that there will be no storage of plant, equipment or storage of aggregate or over burden on the northern parts of this site that lie within flood zone 3. There are ordinary watercourses within the sites the flood risk assessment should also establish the potential flood risk from these sources and ensure that surface water runoff can be effectively managed on site, and does not lead to flood risk elsewhere.  Ground investigations and a watercourse inspection must be undertaken to ensure that the 9m easement from the minor watercourse is suitable enough to withstand an ingress of water from the watercourse into the quarry.  This potential site allocation is underlain by a Secondary Aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution.  Working of this site has the potential to cause pollution to the groundwater resource will require careful consideration and an environmental assessment.		Comments noted. Detailed flood assessments will be required as part of a planning application. Reference to the secondary aquifer will be included in the site development brief.	Amend site developmet brief for MP2o Coddington to include reference to the secondary aquifer and consideration required in respect of potential pollution.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23245 - National Grid Plc [370]	Comment	The proposed site is crossed by National Grid's high voltage overhead electricity transmission line (4VK route).  National Grid does not object to the proposals outlined, however the following points should be taken into consideration:  - National Grid does not own the land over which the overhead lines cross, and it obtains the rights from individual landowners to place our equipment on their land. Potential operators of the sites should be aware that it is National Grid policy to seek to retain our existing overhead lines in-situ because of the strategic nature of our national network. We advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning a development.  - Statutory electrical safety clearances must be maintained at all times. These distances are outlined at the following webpage: http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/LandandDe velopment/DDC/devnearohl_final/appendix III/appIIIpart2  Should any of these sites be taken forward as a minerals site within these areas, the operators should be made aware of the above issues.		Comments noted. The information provided will be included in the site development brief.	Amend site development brief for MP2o Coddington to include information on High Voltage overhead electricity transmission line.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

#### Council's Response Respondent Nature Summary Suggested Change to Plan Council's Change to Plan 23413 - Mr Graham The allocation area shows the extent of the Amend site development brief for Comment I live close to the proposed extraction site. Collyer [2879] My main concerns are about the ownership of the mineral rights rather than the MP2o to include screening and environmental impact. Inevitably there area to be worked. The extraction area would 'stand-off' areas. would be an increase in the volume of be smaller to provide adequate stand offs and traffic. On the tonnage figures guoted this screening from sensitive receptors such as could involve an additional 200 vehicle residential properties and Stapleford Woods. movements daily travelling on the A17 & The site development brief will contain further A46 which are already very busy roads. In information on the location of stand offs and addition events at Newark Showground screening as the plan is developed. As part of the planning application process and the already cause congestion. Air & noise pollution from extra traffic is Environmental Impact Assessment detailed inevitable, additionally blow away sand in information would be submitted that included a dry windy weather in this open area could final layout of the site setting out the extraction cause problems. Screen planting plans area, location of the processing plant, are inadequate on the east boundary of screening and standoff areas. the site. Most mineral is transported by road, as this is often the most economical and flexible way of serving a diverse range of markets. As part of any planning permission for minerals development, a Transport Assessment would be required and measures would be put in place to minimise the impact of the HGV traffic. This would include detailed designs regarding the location of the site access, road layout, and any improvements that were deemed necessary on safety grounds in the vicinity of the site. Conditions such as lorry routing agreements could be put in place if this is relevant to the application. As part of the assessment work, the highways authority was consulted and pending a detailed site specific Transport Assessment (which would be undertaken at the planning application stage) no significant issues were raised. A strategic transport assessment was also commissioned to assess the wider impacts of the potential HGV movements (both on a site by site and cumulative basis). This didn't raise any significant issues related to the site. Access to the Coddington allocation is currently proposed off the A17 although this will be subject to a site specific Transport Assessment. Access from Stapleford lane is not currently proposed.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.	
				Any planning application for a new quarry proposal would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.	
23220 - Mrs Jeanne Allen [2776]	Comment	I have no objection to the proposed extraction of gravel on the site near our home and business on the strict understanding that whoever extracts the gravel will have to take measures to minimise disruption to our lives, e.g. landscaping, working hours, traffic management, noise, dust, etc.		As part of the planning application process and the site specific Environmental Impact Assessment detailed information and assessment work would have to be undertaken and provided by the applicant and where appropriate suitable mitigation measures put in place. This would cover issues such as site restoration, working hours, traffic management and noise and dust. The site development brief contained within the Local Plan will provide further details on some of these matters.	
23455 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	There is high potential for the site to contain non-designated archaeology as noted by the SA. Development may also affect the setting of Coddington Conservation Area. The development brief does not refer to either issue, which is an omission.		Comments noted. The aim of the development briefs is to highlight the key issues that will need to be addressed at the planning application stage. The planning application would need to be in-line with the policies set out in the plan including DM6: Historic Environment, as well as undertaking detailed assessment work'. If further information comes forward as the plan is progressed this will be included in the development brief	

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

<b>D</b>			4
ROS	$\boldsymbol{n}$	na	$\alpha n \tau$
1100	$\sim$	Hu	CIIL

#### **Nature Summary**

23337 - Coddington Parish Council (Mrs Yvette Wellard) [2837]

Object

These submissions set out why this allocation is unacceptable, namely:

- a. Noise and disturbance to the residents of Coddington. Noise from activities at the Newark Showground, which lies to the north-west of the allocation, is already experienced in the village. The allocation lies much nearer to the settlement.
- b. Light and air pollution adversely affecting the village.
- c. The potentially disastrous impact on Stapleford Woods.
- d. Noise, disturbance, congestion and potential hazards arising from the use by HGVs of an inadequate road network.

#### Suggested Change to Plan

For the reasons set out in this submission, Coddington Parish Council requests that the allocation at Coddington be deleted. If the County Council is minded to retain the allocation, the following constraints should be imposed:

- a. Prior to the commencement of works on the site, modifications should be made to the A17/A46 and A17/A46/A1 iunctions to increase their capacity and to make substantial improvements to their design. This should be complemented by the dualling of at least that section of the A17 eastwards from the Drove Lane junctions to the Stapleford Lane/C208 roundabout. The A46 dual carriage-way between Newark and Lincoln is commended for comparison. Movement to and from the Norton Disney sand and gravel guarry is at a generously constructed intersection (SK 4850 3598), whilst traffic from that at Swinderby joins the A46 at a roundabout.
- b. The eastern boundary of the allocation should be moved to the west to give greater protection to Stapleford Woods, and substantial landscaping provided to screen the workings from the woods and to provide some noise attenuation.
- c. Before any work is commenced on site, earth moulding and landscaping on a substantial scale be provided along the site frontages to the A17 and Drove Lane, to screen the development and to provide noise attenuation.
- d. The processing plant should be relocated to the north-west corner of the site to reduce noise and disturbance to the residents of Coddington. An on-site service road would be required to link the plant to the A17 which would be the only means of vehicular access to the

#### Council's Response

The allocation area shows the extent of the ownership of the mineral rights rather than the area to be worked. The extraction area would be smaller to provide adequate stand offs and screening from sensitive receptors such as residential properties and Stapleford Woods. The site development brief will contain further information on the location of stand offs and screening as the plan is developed. As part of the planning application process and the Environmental Impact Assessment detailed information would be submitted that included a final layout of the site setting out the extraction area, location of the processing plant, screening and standoff areas.

As part of the planning application process, applicants would be required to provide detailed hydrological information and where necessary assess any potential impacts of dewatering the area and provide mitigation measures to limit the potential impacts on sensitive receptors such as Stapleford Woods.

Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment; however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.

The Coddington allocation is currently proposed to be restored back to a mix of water and nature conservation uses and could be linked to the adjacent Stapleford Woods increasing public access.

Policy SP5 'Sustainable transport' states that minerals proposals should maximise alternative forms of transport such barge

#### Council's Change to Plan

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies

Amend site development brief for MP2o to include screening and 'stand-off' areas.

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Summary of represe	entations recieved	and Council's	response, April 2014

Nature Summary

Respondent

#### Suggested Change to Plan

#### Council's Response

#### Council's Change to Plan

site.

- e. An early environmental impact study should be undertaken to assess the potential effects of airborne dust on the residents and buildings of Coddington, and on traffic using the immediately adjacent A17.
- f. No vehicular access to the site be permitted from Drove Lane or Stapleford Lane.
- g. No working be permitted on-site during evenings and weekends, including all site access, HGV transport and machinery operations.

The permission would then require the restoration, after-use and after-care provisions referred to in Appendix 3.

transport where ever possible, however this can only be achieved where sites are located close to a suitable river. Most mineral is transported by road, as this is often the most economical and flexible way of serving a diverse range of markets. The Coddington allocation is well located close to the County's main highway network and would avoid residential areas. The site would serve a number of markets including replacing lost capacity in the Idle Valley as well as Nottingham and Newark.

As part of any planning permission for minerals development, a Transport Assessment would be required and measures would be put in place to minimise the impact of the HGV traffic. This would include detailed designs regarding the location of the site access, road layout, and any improvements that were deemed necessary on safety grounds in the vicinity of the site. Conditions such as lorry routing agreements could be put in place if this is relevant to the application.

As part of the assessment work, the highways authority was consulted and pending a detailed site specific Transport Assessment (which would be undertaken at the planning application stage) no significant issues were raised. A strategic transport assessment was also commissioned to assess the wider impacts of the increase in HGV movements. This didn't raise any significant issues related to the site.

Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.

As part of the planning application process the applicant would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent Nature Summary Suggested Change to Plan Council's Response Council's Change to Plan nearby sensitive locations.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
378 - Robert Reed 871]	Object	I object to the Coddington site for the following reasons:  - Unbearable noise from plant and lorries disturbing near properties on Stapleford/Coddington Lane - Dust impacting the village and Stapleford Lane - Increase of heavy good vehicles. Stapleford Lane is unsuitable for HGVs - Impacts on the landscape and wildlife/woodlands (including through the lowering of the water table) - Possibility of landfilling after the sand and gravel extraction or if water-based recreation, it will generate noise traffic - Possibility of future extensions - I am told there is plenty of land approved in Nottinghamshire/Lincolnshire for the next 20 years.		Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.  As part of the planning application process the applicant would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.  Most mineral is transported by road, as this is often the most economical and flexible way of serving a diverse range of markets. As part of any planning permission for minerals development, a Transport Assessment would be required and measures would be put in place to minimise the impact of the HGV traffic. This would include detailed designs regarding the location of the site access, road layout, and any improvements that were deemed necessary on safety grounds in the vicinity of the site. Conditions such as lorry routing agreements could be put in place if this is relevant to the application.  As part of the assessment work, the highways authority was consulted and pending a detailed site specific Transport Assessment (which would be undertaken at the planning application stage) no significant issues were raised. A strategic transport assessment was also commissioned to assess the wider impacts of the increase in HGV movements. This didn't raise any significant issues related to the site.  Access to the Coddington allocation is currently proposed off the A17 although this will be subject to a site specific Transport Assessment. Access from Stapleford lane is not currently proposed.	

environment, however it is one of the few

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies

MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent Nature Summary

Suggested Change to Plan

Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.

The Coddington allocation is currently proposed to be restored back to water areas and nature conservation. As part of the planning application process detailed restoration proposals would be submitted in line with the policies in the plan. No landfilling of the site is proposed.

One of the key aims of the plan is to allocate an adequate amount of mineral to provide a steady and adequate supply of minerals over the plan period and for sand and gravel maintain a 7 year landbank of reserves. Extensions to quarries cannot be ruled out in the long term however any if an operator wished to put forward an unallocated site they would need to prove a need for the mineral. Each application would be assessed on its individual merits.

National guidance states that Minerals Planning Authorities should plan for a steady and adequate supply of minerals to meet demand over the plan period. Policy MP1 of the Preferred Approach sets out the total requirement for sand and gravel over the plan period to 2030 which stands at 49 million tonnes. This is based on the average of the last 10 years production as set out in the Local Aggregates Assessment. Once permitted reserves have been removed from the total requirement, there is a shortfall of 30 million tonnes which the plan needs to make provision for through site allocations.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23414 - Mrs Donna Payne [2880]	Object	I strongly object to the proposed plans to extract sand and gravel from the site at Coddington as it is too near my property and others and will have a detrimental on the quality of our life's.  It is inevitable that the development of a quarry will result in a depreciation of the value of properties and create an unacceptable increase in pollution and noise levels.  It will have a negative impact on congestion, increase traffic noise, encourage drivers to divert through the village to avoid delays.  There will be a unacceptable impact on the landscape and wildlife, especially woodland.	For the plan to be rejected	The allocation area shows the extent of the ownership of the mineral rights rather than the area to be worked. The extraction area would be a smaller to provide adequate stand offs and screening from sensitive receptors such as residential properties and Stapleford Woods. The site development brief will contain more information on stand offs and screening as the plan is developed. As part of the planning application process and the Environmental Impact Process detailed assessments would be completed and a final layout of the site including extraction area and screening.  Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.  As part of the planning application process the applicant would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.  Most mineral is transported by road, as this is often the most economical and flexible way of serving a diverse range of markets. As part of any planning permission for minerals development, a Transport Assessment would be required and measures would be put in place to minimise the impact of the HGV traffic. This would include detailed designs regarding the location of the site access, road layout, and any improvements that were deemed necessary on safety grounds in the vicinity of the site. Conditions such as lorry routing agreements could be put in place if this is relevant to the application.  As part of the assessment work, the highways authority was consulted and pending a detailed site specific Transport Assessment (which would be undertaken at the planning	Amend site development brief for MP2o to include screening and 'stand-off' areas.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan

Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

application stage) no significant issues were raised. A strategic transport assessment was also commissioned to assess the wider impacts of the increase in HGV movements. This didn't raise any significant issues related to the site.

Access to the Coddington allocation is currently proposed off the A17 although this will be subject to a site specific Transport Assessment. Access from Stapleford lane is not currently proposed.

Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment; however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.

The Coddington allocation is currently proposed to be restored back to water areas and nature conservation. As part of the planning application process detailed restoration proposals would be submitted in line with the policies in the plan.

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014			MP2: Sand a	and gravel provision, MP2o Coddingto	
Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23516 - Mrs Celia Smallwood [2893]	Object	I strongly object to the proposed minerals plan as it is too close to the village and would have a detrimental effect on people living close by. The continual noise and dust pollution caused by increased traffic and the machinery working continually would be intolerable. It would have a devastating effect on wildlife and the neighbouring conservation area.	This proposed area should be removed from the plans because of the impact of the dangerous road conditions and the devastating impact on the environment.	The allocation area shows the extent of the ownership of the mineral rights rather than the area to be worked. The extraction area would be smaller to provide adequate stand offs and screening from sensitive receptors such as residential properties and Stapleford Woods. The site development brief will contain more information on stand offs and screening as the plan is developed. As part of the planning application process and the Environmental Impact Process detailed assessments would be completed and a final layout of the site including extraction area and screening.	Amend site development brief for MP2o to include screening and 'stand-off' areas.
				Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.	
				As part of the planning application process the applicant would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.	
				Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment, however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.  The Coddington allocation is currently proposed to be restored back to water areas and nature conservation. As part of the planning application process detailed	

restoration proposals would be submitted in

line with the policies in the plan

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Pla
23379 - Stuart Arkwell [2870]		As a resident of Coddington for 34 years, I strongly object to the proposed plan to extract sand and gravel from the site at Coddington.  The additional traffic, noise and detriment to the visual amenity of our small village is both unwanted and unnecessary.  I fully support our Parish Council's objection to this plan, and would urge you to reject this application.		The allocation area shows the extent of the ownership of the mineral rights rather than the area to be worked. The extraction area would be smaller to provide adequate stand offs and screening from sensitive receptors such as residential properties and Stapleford Woods. The site development brief will contain further information on the location of stand offs and screening as the plan is developed. As part of the planning application process and the Environmental Impact Assessment detailed information would be submitted that included a final layout of the site setting out the extraction area, location of the processing plant, screening and standoff areas.  As part of the planning application process, applicants would be required to provide detailed hydrological information and where necessary assess any potential impacts of dewatering the area and provide mitigation measures to limit the potential impacts on sensitive receptors such as Stapleford Woods.	Amend site development brief for MP2o to include screening and 'stand-off' areas.
				Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment; however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration, after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.	
				The Coddington allocation is currently proposed to be restored back to a mix of water and nature conservation uses and could be linked to the adjacent Stapleford Woods increasing public access.	
				Policy SP5 'Sustainable transport' states that minerals proposals should maximise	

alternative forms of transport such barge

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan

Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

transport where ever possible, however this can only be achieved where sites are located close to a suitable river. Most mineral is transported by road, as this is often the most economical and flexible way of serving a diverse range of markets. The Coddington allocation is well located close to the County's main highway network and would avoid residential areas. The site would serve a number of markets including replacing lost capacity in the Idle Valley as well as Nottingham and Newark.

As part of any planning permission for minerals development, a Transport Assessment would be required and measures would be put in place to minimise the impact of the HGV traffic. This would include detailed designs regarding the location of the site access, road layout, and any improvements that were deemed necessary on safety grounds in the vicinity of the site. Conditions such as lorry routing agreements could be put in place if this is relevant to the application.

As part of the assessment work, the highways authority was consulted and pending a detailed site specific Transport Assessment (which would be undertaken at the planning application stage) no significant issues were raised. A strategic transport assessment was also commissioned to assess the wider impacts of the increase in HGV movements. This didn't raise any significant issues related to the site.

Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.

As part of the planning application process the applicant would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				nearby sensitive locations.	
23469 - Fred Reed [2885]	Object	We, the undersigned, object to the proposed minerals extraction quarry to the north-east of Coddington, which will have an unwelcome effect on the village, and the surrounding environment.  1. Location of site Close to village centre (1/2 mile) and housing (1/4 mile)  2. Noise a) Continual rumble from static machinery 24hrs, 7 days b) High pitched bleepers from loading equipment and HGVs will be a considerable irritant c) High pitched bleepers, from HGVs when reversing (NB. We can hear the Showground loudspeaker. With the proposed site being much closer to the village, the overall noise level will be unacceptable.)  3. Environment a) Dust b) Lowering of water table  4. General Reduction in property values		The allocation area shows the extent of the ownership of the mineral rights rather than the area to be worked. The extraction area would be smaller to provide adequate stand offs and screening from sensitive receptors such as residential properties and Stapleford Woods. The site development brief will contain more information on stand offs and screening as the plan is developed. As part of the planning application process and the Environmental Impact Process detailed assessments would be completed and a final layout of the site including extraction area and screening.  Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.  As part of the planning application process the applicant would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.  As part of the planning application process, applicants would be required to provide detailed hydrological information and where necessary assess any potential impacts of dewatering the area and provide mitigation measures to limit the potential impacts on sensitive receptors such as Stapleford Woods.	Amend site development brief for MP2o to include screening and 'stand-off' areas.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23194 - Mr KB Tomlinson [2765]	Object	The proposed site in Coddington is totally inappropriate for several reasons:  1. It is too near to my and several other properties with the associated noise, dust and increased traffic.  2. It is inevitable that such a nearby development will result in a depreciation of our property values.  3. I think that the choice of site is due to the small number of nearby residencies so as usual, it's easier to steamroller through such a proposal.  4. Earth movement, vibration, traffic and water drainage may contribute to possible subsidence in the surrounding area.  5. Local wildlife will inevitably suffer.  6. Stapleford Woods is a popular local amenity which people enjoy and such an eyesore on the landscape plus the environmental consequences would ruin another rural area.		The allocation area shows the extent of the ownership of the mineral rights rather than the area to be worked. The extraction area would be smaller to provide adequate stand offs and screening from sensitive receptors such as residential properties and Stapleford Woods. The site development brief will contain more information on stand offs and screening as the plan is developed. As part of the planning application process and the Environmental Impact Process detailed assessments would be completed and a final layout of the site including extraction area and screening.  Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document.  As part of the planning application process the applicant would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.	Amend site development brief for MP2o to include screening and 'stand-off' areas.
				As part of the planning application process, applicants would be required to provide detailed hydrological information and where necessary assess any potential impacts of dewatering the area and provide mitigation measures to limit the potential impacts on sensitive receptors such as Stapleford Woods.	
				Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment; however it is one of the few activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. The overarching aim of the Minerals Local Plan as set out in policy SP2 is biodiversity led restoration. Detailed restoration plans for any quarry proposal would be required at the planning application stage and this is set out in Policy DM11. "Pestoration	

this is set out in Policy DM11 - 'Restoration,

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				after-use and after care' of the Preferred Approach document.	
				The Coddington allocation is currently proposed to be restored back to a mix of water and nature conservation uses. There is also potential for public access and to link the site to the adjacent Stapleford Woods. Further work will be undertaken and included in the site development brief as the plan is progressed.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

#### Respondent 23416 - Mrs Jackie

Armstrong [2881]

#### Nature Summary

Object

Concern about the size, scale and timescale of a quarry on a green-field site where large areas of water are alien to Coddington, and against landscape policies. Negative impacts of increased traffic flows through the Village. particularly on the closest properties with high proportions of elderly residents, and on noise, dust pollution, and pedestrian safety. The negative impact on house prices in Coddington and its desirability as a place to live, work or visit for the foreseeable future. Detrimental to the character of the neighbouring Conservation Area and its landscape context, to employment in adjacent businesses, and to designated SINCs.

#### Suggested Change to Plan

The proposed area should be removed from the plan due to the traffic impacts on a busy main road and nearby minor roads, and the detrimental impact on the neighbouring Conservation Area.

Southbound access onto Drove Lane off the A17 should be restricted to prevent large traffic volumes driving through the centre of the Village. This could be achieved either by gated access (for agricultural vehicles only) or by blocking off the access to / from Drove Lane south of the A17

#### Council's Response

The allocation area shows the extent of the

ownership of the mineral rights rather than the area to be worked. The extraction area would be smaller to provide adequate stand offs and screening from sensitive receptors such as residential properties and Stapleford Woods. The site would also be worked in stages and progressively restored to ensure that the minimum area was worked at any one time. The site development briefs in appendix 2 will contain more information on stand offs and screening as the plan is developed. Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document. As part of the planning application process the applicant would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations. A Strategic Transport Assessment has been undertaken for all the proposed allocations across the county and doesn't raise any significant issues regarding the Coddington allocation. As part of the planning application process a more detailed Transport Assessment would also be required and measures would be put in place to minimise the impact of the HGV traffic. This would include detailed designs regarding the location of the site access, road layout, and any improvements that were deemed necessary on safety grounds in the vicinity of the site. Conditions such as lorry routing agreements would also be put in place to ensure that HGVs from the development avoid unsuitable roads such as those through Coddington village. The suggestion of limiting traffic movements from the A17 south on to Drove Lane will be highlighted in the site brief for consideration as part of any future quarry development. Minerals development will inevitably have short term impacts on the existing natural environment: however it is one of the few

#### Council's Change to Plan

Amend site development brief for MP2o Coddingto to include screening and 'stand-off' areas and suggestion of limiting traffic movements from the A17 south on to Drove Lane.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				activities that through restoration can result in the creation of significant areas of important habitats to meet Local Biodiversity Action Plan targets. Detailed restoration proposals would be submitted as part of any planning application and would have to be in line with the plans biodiversity led restoration approach as set out in policy SP2 and policy DM11: Restoration, after-use and after-care.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Pla
23146 - Mr JE Payne [2734]	Object	Our property is on the proposed boundary which we consider to be far too close and unsuitable. The detrimental effects of noise, pollution, lower water table and landscape change is not conducive to the natural beauty of Stapleford Woods and surrounding area and could have a detrimental effect on the wildlife/forests.  Embankments to shield the view of the quarry will obscure the view of the open countryside, leading to blighted views and making the property harder to sell.  The A17 gets congested at the round-a-bout at Stapleford Lane end and will be even worse if the quarry access has to have traffic lights/round-a-bout.		The allocation area shows the extent of the ownership of the mineral rights rather than the area to be worked. The extraction area would be smaller to provide adequate stand offs and screening from sensitive receptors such as residential properties and Stapleford Woods. The site development brief will contain more information on stand offs and screening as the plan is developed. As part of the planning application process and the Environmental Impact Process detailed assessments would be completed and a final layout of the site including extraction area and screening.  Detailed guidance on noise and dust is set out in the technical annex of the National Planning Policy Framework. Its overarching aim is to ensure that unavoidable noise and dust emissions are controlled, mitigated or removed at source. This approach is reflected in Policy DM1: 'Protecting Local Amenity' of the Preferred Approach document. As part of the planning application process the applicant would have to include a detailed noise and dust assessment which would include measures to limit dust and noise at nearby sensitive locations.	Amend site development brief for MP2o to include screening and 'stand-off' areas.
				As part of the planning application process, applicants would be required to provide detailed hydrological information and where necessary assess any potential impacts of dewatering the area and provide mitigation measures to limit the potential impacts on sensitive receptors such as Stapleford Woods.  Most mineral is transported by road, as this is often the most economical and flexible way of serving a diverse range of markets. As part of any planning permission for minerals development, a Transport Assessment would be required and measures would be put in place to minimise the impact of the HGV traffic. This would include detailed designs regarding the location of the site access, road	

layout, and any improvements that were deemed necessary on safety grounds in the vicinity of the site. Conditions such as lorry

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Chapter 4: Minerals Provision Policies
MP2: Sand and gravel provision, MP2o Coddington

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				routing agreements could be put in place if this is relevant to the application. As part of the assessment work, the highways authority was consulted and pending a detailed site specific Transport Assessment (which would be undertaken at the planning application stage) no significant issues were raised. A strategic transport assessment was also commissioned to assess the wider impacts of the increase in HGV movements. This didn't raise any significant issues related to the site.	
23934 - Hanson Aggregates North (Mr Ben Ayres) [1021]	Support	Hanson supports the inclusion of the land at Coddington as a future sand and gravel site in the review of the Mineral Local Plan.  In line with the timeframes indicated in the delivery schedule set out in Appendix 2 of the Minerals Local plan documents, Hanson would prepare a planning application and environmental impact assessment which would seek to consider the potential impacts on the local amenity from the development and design within the scheme ways to mitigate those impacts.  As part of this process Hanson would carry out full consultation with the local community, statutory bodies and other interested parties in order to develop a scheme that has long term benefits to nature and the local community.		Comments noted.	
MP2 Justification	7				
23143 - Cromwell Parish Meeting (Mr D R Swift) [738]	Comment	There is a minor error on page 52 describing Cromwell Quarry as 'northwest' of the village whereas it is located east of the village. This may seem minor but 'east' puts it on the opposite side of the A1, which is acceptable whereas 'west' puts it on the same side of the A1 which would cause alarm.	Minor wording alteration to correct factual error: Page 52, Cromwell Quarry should read 'is located to the east of Cromwell village'	Comment accepted. The error on page 52 regarding the location of the Cromwell south allocation will be amended in line with the suggestion put forward.	Amend text on Page 52 which refers to the location of Cromwell to: 'is located to the east of Cromwell village'

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23561 - Kelham Estate [2921] 23562 - Trustees of Home Farm, Kelham [2922]	Object		Increase the quantity of mineral allocated through the inclusion of additional sites to avoid a shortfall.	The initial allocations set out in the Preferred Approach consultation document provided adequate sand and gravel provision to meet the requirement over the plan period based on the information available at the time. However as a result of feedback from the consultation further reserves were needed to make up the shortfall identified.  In order to meet the shortfall, previously submitted sites and any new sites put forward as part of the consultation were assessed through the Sustainability Appraisal process and further allocations have been made. Home Farm is not being taken forward as it is not considered deliverable by the mineral operator within the current plan period.	
23847 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT does not object in principle to the proposed allocations, subject to information on any important habitats and species that may come forward through surveys. The proposed allocations in the Besthorpe, Langford and Cromwell areas, however, would benefit from a coherent approach to planning restoration to ensure that opportunities for the creation of prioritybiodiversity habitats are maximised and also that all suitable opportunities for floodplain reconnection are delivered. (detailed amendments provided)	For accuracy (and to be consistent with the reference in the Langford Lowfields section) the text for Besthorpe Quarry (SGh) should note that "this site is predominantly being restored to wetland habitats and is being (and will be managed in the future) by the Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust".	Accepted. The suggested amendment put forward will be incorporated to ensure consistency in the text.	Amend the Besthorpe quarry text in MP2 Justification to include the following text: 'this site is predominantly being restored to wetland habitats and is being (and will be managed in the future) by Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust.'
23825 - Brett Aggregates Limited (Mr Richard Ford) [2290]	Object	The total requirement over the plan period should be 84.5mt based on a revised apportionment figure. Given the current allocations this results in a shortfall of 24mt over the plan period. It is therefore proposed that both Shelford sites should be allocated in provide additional reserves.	Increase sand and gravel provision. Allocate both Shelford sites to provide additional reserves.	Not accepted. Policy MP1: Aggregate Provision sets out the sand and gravel requirement over the plan period. This is based on the figures included in the Nottinghamshire Local Aggregates Assessment (LAA) which is in line with national policy and was agreed through the East Midlands Aggregate Working Party in 2013.  As discussed in the LAA, the 10 year average figure is considered appropriate as a basis for future demand as it takes into account a period of economic growth and recession and provides flexability for any future increase in output. The LAA will be produced on an annual basis and will enable the plan to be monitored to ensure that adequate reserves are maintained over the plan period.	

Site Information

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
Site Information					
23827 - Brett Aggregates Limited (Mr Richard Ford) [2290]	Comment	A revised scheme for Shelford West is being submitted. Key features include:  - Reduced extraction area to minimise impact on historic environment, habitats and Shelford Village. (reduction from 9.5mt - 6.5mt)  - Improved restorationwith empahsis on wetland habitat.  - Removal of marina  - Improvements to Shelford Hill in particular to junction with Shelford Road.  - New Footpaths to give greater access to the river and links to Trent Valley Way.  Because of the changes put forward this significantly improves the outcome of the SA compared to the original scheme.	The revised Shelford West proposal should be considered for inclusion in the plan.	Comment noted. In order to keep the evidence base and sustainability appraisal work upto date this site has been re-appraised which will be part of the additional consultation for the sand and gravel provision policy.	
23672 - Newark PAGE [2390]	Comment	Cromwell  The supporting text is confusing and needs to separate out the existing quarry, the permitted extension and the proposed allocation. There is an error in the supporting text (see 'Changes to Plan').	Change factual error: 'North-west' should be 'East'  Separate out reference to existing quarry, permitted extension and proposed allocation.	Comment noted.	Amend text refering to the location of Cromwell in the MP2 Site Information seciton to say east rather than north west.
23828 - Brett Aggregates Limited (Mr Richard Ford) [2290]	Comment	A revised proposal for Shelford East is being put forward. The key features of the revised site are as follows:  Reduced extraction area to minimise impact on the historic environment, habitats and Shelford Village.  Reduction in reserves from 5.7mt to 3.48mt.  Access to be via a short stretch of Main Road and thereafter directly onto the A6097 via improved junction.  Improved restoration with empahisis on wetland habitat creation, grazing marsh and reinstating the historic landscape.  New footpaths to give grater access to the river and links to Trent Valley Way.  Because of the changes put forward this significantly improves the outcome in the SA compared to the original site put forward.	The revised Shelford East proposal should be considered for inclusion in the plan.	Comment noted. In order to keep the evidence base and sustainability appraisal work upto date this site has been re-appraised which will be part of the additional consultation for the sand and gravel provision policy.	

Site Information

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23810 - Lafarge Tarmac [2795]	Object	We are concerned by discrepancies in the site information and how these are translated to Appendix 2: Delivery Schedule. There are also no lead in times for production commencing at sites and therefore some of the assumptions made are unrealistic (details supplied). It may not be possible to work all of the Langford South allocation and the Western Extension should therefore also be allocated in order to maintain production capacity at Langford. Home Farm provides a more sustainable option to Coddington. There is no clear justification within the Sustainability Appraisal for excluding these sites (alternative asessment supplied).	Allocate West Extension to exisitng site at Langford.  Allocate land at Home Farm instead of Coddington.	The delivery schedule in Appendix 2 of the Preferred Approach was based on the most upto date information available at the time of writing. The amendments that were put forward by Lafarge Tarmac have where appropriate been incorporated into the revised plan and this has led to a shortfall in sand and gravel provision over the plan period.  Previously submitted sites (including Langford West and Home Farm) and any new sites put forward as part of the consultation were assessed through the Sustainability Appraisal and further allocations have been made and are subject to an additional consultation.  The Langford West extension is now proposed as an allocation due to the additional reserves and potential for a high quality biodiversity led restoration scheme as supported by the RSPB.  Home Farm is not being allocated despite the outcome of the Sustainability Appraisal as it is not considered deliverable within the current plan period due to Lafarge Tarmacs large existing permitted reserves and future proposed allocations within the County.	
MP3: Sherwood	Sandsto	ne provision			
23417 - Gedling Borough Council (Mr Graeme Foster) [2120	Comment	Policy DM4 of the Minerals Preferred Options balances the loss of biodiversity against the need for the resource and where this is unavoidable requires compensatory action. The County Council would need to demonstrate that the loss of the SINC (Longdale Plantation) could be outweighed by the need for the development and that the restoration scheme would establish high quality habitat at least equal to that being lost which should include some replacement birch and oak planting to replace woodland loss in the Longdale plantation.		Comments noted. As part of the planning application process detailed mitigation measures would have to be included to address the loss of the SINC as set out in Policy DM4: Protection and enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity. The restoration of the quarry would provide the opportunity to create new and or increase the amount of existing important habitats as identified in the Local Biodiversity Action Plan.	
23746 - Rotherham Sand and Gravel Ltd [496]	Support	Rotherham Sand and Gravel Co. Ltd supports the inclusion of MP3c Scrooby Top North within Draft Policy MP3.		Support for the Plan.	

#### Chapter 5: Development Management Policies

DM15: Irrigation lagoons

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
DM15: Irrigation	lagoons				
23257 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	Care needs to be taken as in certain parts of Nottinghamshire, particularly in the Idle & Torne catchment, no new water abstraction is allowed. Within the policy, one of the stipulations could say "and an abstraction licence has been authorised by the Environment Agency".	Add a criteria to the policy that reads:  'and an abstraction licence has been authorised by the Environment Agency'	It is recognised that the issuing of planning permissions and Environment Agency licences often run in parallel and that in the case of irrigation lagoons both planning permission and an abstraction licence may be required.  The Council is aware that there are concerns from the minerals industry that poorly justified (in agricultural terms) irrigation lagoons are producing considerable levels of mineral, distorting landbanks and affecting the market (see rep no. 23592). Absence of, or an inability to secure, a water abstraction licence would indicate a lack of agricultural purpose and could aid in the identification of unjustified irrigation lagoon proposals (and would thus help in assessing part a) of DM15).  It is not considered appropriate to make the existence of a licence a condition of securing planning permission, as suggested. The planning and licencing system operate separately and linking them could prejudice either one. However, it is considered that text could be added to the justification section to highlight the role that abstraction licences play and how they could relate to evidencing a genuine significant benefit to agricultural productivity.	Add the following paragraph to the end of DM15 justification section: 'It should be noted that irrigation lagoons will usually require a water abstraction licence from the Environment Agency. In certain parts of Nottinghamshire, particularly in the River Idle and River Torne catchment areas, no new water abstraction is allowed. Whether abstraction is allowed in the proposed area (and similarly whether the applicant has started to pursue the securing of a licence) could be an indication of a genuine agricultural purpose for the lagoon and thus could be used as evidence refered to in part a) of the policy.'

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent

#### Nature Summary

Object

23592 - Mineral **Products Association** (Malcolm Ratcliff) [1517] 23842 - Lafarge

Tarmac [2795]

The Mineral Product Association, representing the minerals industry, raise concern that there is a temptation by some operators to circumvent the development plans system by proposing a series of agricultural reservoirs particularly in the drier parts of eastern England and particularly in areas which are off limits to the rest of the industry which can amount to a migrating quarry operation. Their members have experience elsewhere of developments permitted for such reservoirs which have only a tenuous justification in agricultural terms, whilst the material generated floods the open market, distorting both the landbank and the market and may make it more difficult for other operators to maintain continuity of supply.

It is suggested that that the policy is tightened up to make it much more difficult for reservoir material to find its way on to the general market and to avoid the 'migrating quarry' scenario. In particular, the policy should make clear that off site sales of aggregates will only be considered in exceptional circumstances.

Lafarge Tarmac also allude to this issue and make reference to an approach they have promoted within the Cambridgeshire Minerals Core Strategy, to ensure that the development of irrigation lagoons is not a back door for securing the extraction of significant volumes of aggregate through the inclusion of a requirement for proposals to demonstrate that they will not impact on permitted or allocated mineral extraction sites.

#### Suggested Change to Plan

The Mineral Product Association suggest a replacement DM15 and an additional policy DM15a:

REPLACMENT POLICY DM15: IRRIGATION LAGOONS Proposals for new or extensions to existing agricultural reservoirs, and potable water reservoirs, involving the incidental extraction and exportation of mineral, will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances where it can be clearly demonstrated that:

- There is a proven need for the proposal and genuine agricultural benefits.
- The development cannot be undertaken without the extraction and exportation of mineral.
- The reservoir has maximised its volume by depth and minimised its surface area.
- The extraction of mineral has been kept to a minimum and is therefore of a limited nature and short duration.
- The release of mineral on the open market or to a dedicated user will not by its quantity or timescale adversely effect supply from existing quarries, or prejudice the steady supply of construction material for the local market.
- It will not cause unacceptable harm to the environment or communities, including by virtue of HGV traffic and routeina.
- Any mineral extracted will be used in a sustainable manner.

POLICY DM15A: NEW POLICY Where it is proposed that extracted mineral be exported off site the Applicant will be required to demonstrate that every opportunity has been taken to ensure that the exported mineral is taken to an existing processing plant with planning permission to import material for processing. Only where the Applicant

#### Council's Response

The concerns regarding unjustified irrigation lagoons are noted and are a valuable consideration in reviewing this policy.

The approach suggested by the Mineral Product Association has a number of valid point that could be encorporated into a new policy. However, it is considered that taken as a whole could place too much burden on applicants (contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework). The reference to new or extended lagoons is noted and will be taken forward into a revised policy.

The suggestion by Lafarge Tarmac is considered to be more pragmatic and enforceable/measurable. It will cover to a certain degree a number of the elements raised by the Minerals Product Association in their suggested policy amendments in relation

- volume vs surface area
- limited nature and short duration
- affect on supply from existing quarries
- prioritising use of existing processing plant before direct release to open market

#### Council's Change to Plan

DM15: Irrigation lagoons

Re-word opening sentence of DM15 and add additional criteria to read: 'DM15: Irrigation lagoons

- 1. Proposals for mineral extraction to create or extend irrigation lagoons will be supported where:
- a) There is satisfactory evidence that they will provide significant benefits to agricultural productivity:
- b) They can be worked and reclaimed without any unacceptable environmental impacts:
- c) The irrigation lagoon is landscaped and treated to maximise its poential for enhancing the landscape character and/or biodiversity:
- d) The irrigation lagoon is of a scale or degree that does not impact on the development of permitted or allocated mineral extraction sites.'

DM15: Irrigation lagoons

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
			has demonstrated, to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority, that it is not feasible to export extracted mineral for processing at an existing facility will the direct exportation of mineral to the open market be permitted.		
			Lafarge Tarmac suggest an additional criteria be added to the existing policy: 'd) The irrigation lagoon is of a scale or degree that does not impact on the development of permitted or allocated sites.'		
DM16: Borrow	pits				
23468 - English Heritage (Mr Tom Gilbert-Wooldridge) [1962]	Comment	Unlike most of the other development management policies, there is no reference to borrow pits needing to avoid unacceptable environmental impacts. The policy only refers to overriding environmental benefits of using borrow pits compared to obtaining minerals from other sources, and yet the borrow pit itself could be very harmful to environmental assets.		It is accepted that unlike the other similar development management policies (i.e. DM14: Incidental mineral extraction and DM15: Irrigation lagoons), the policy does not make explicit reference to the avoidance of unacceptable environmental impacts.  All policies in the Plan are to be read in conjunction and so environmental protection of borrow pit development would be covered specifically through the relevant development management policies (e.g. DM6 Historic environment). However, it is agreed that there would be a benefit in removing the identified inconsistency between DM16 and DM14/15. Therefore an additional criteria will be added to DM16.	Add following additional criteria to DM16 as new point c): 'c) They can be worked and reclaimed without any unacceptable environmental impacts;' and re-number subsequent bullet points accordingly.

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan				
DM18: Mineral e	DM18: Mineral exploration								
23559 - Ibstock Brick Ltd (Mr Simon Ingram) [1584]	Comment	DM18 should not prevent the free use of rights set out in the General Permitted Development Orders (as amended) and both the policy and justification text should higlight to the reader that rights of exploration do exist without resort to development consent.		Comments accepted. Although the introduction and justification text for this section do make some references to the fact that planning permission is not needed in all instances of mineral exploration, it is accepted that this fact could be made clearer. It is therefore proposed to add reference to the provisions of the General Permitted Development Order in the introduction text.  It is not considered appropriate however, add a reference in Policy DM18 to the General Permitted Development Order as it is national legislation and as such its inclusion in local planning policy is unnecessary.	Replace last sentence of DM18 introduction text with: 'Mineral exploration is a temporary activity and certain types and scales of development of this nature are classed as 'permitted development' under the General Permitted Development Order (meaning that planning permission is not required). However, where the mineral exploration is not classed as 'permitted' and planning permission is sought, it is important for safeguards to be in place to minimise the environmental, amenity and long term impacts of the development.'				
23198 - Miss Lisa Lazaro [2769]	Comment	I find water contamination and methane leaks an unacceptable impact of unconventional gas exploration.		The National Planning Policy Framework requires all Minerals Planning Authorities to identify and include policies for extraction of mineral resource of local and national importance in their area (which include both conventional and unconventional hydrocarbons). Part of the process of mineral extraction is the identification of the presence of the mineral, which requires exploration.					
				The purpose of policy DM18 is to ensure that where this mineral exploration is not classed as 'permitted development', there are sufficient safeguards in place to ensure that this phase of the development does not have an unacceptable impact on the environment or amenity and that the long term impact is minimised (i.e. through appropriate restoration). Water contamination and potential leaks of methane are impacts that would be considered through these criteria.					
				It should be noted that in relation to exploration for hydrocarbons, additional criteria are set out in Policy MP12: Hydrocarbon minerals. Again, the reference in MP12, part 1 to 'unacceptable impacts on the environment or residential amenity' would include water contamination and methane leakage.					

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23197 - Ms Katherine Webborn [2768]		I find water contamination and methane leaks unacceptable, and ask that this mineral and unconventional gas exploration be stopped. It is unsustainable and damaging to the area	Stop this gas exploration and the damage to the water in Nottinghamshire	The National Planning Policy Framework requires all Minerals Planning Authorities to identify and include policies for extraction of mineral resource of local and national importance in their area (which include both conventional and unconventional hydrocarbons). Part of the process of mineral extraction is the identification of the presence of the mineral, which requires exploration.  The purpose of policy DM18 is to ensure that where this mineral exploration is not classed as 'permitted development', there are sufficient safeguards in place to ensure that this phase of the development does not have an unacceptable impact on the environment or amenity and that the long term impact is minimised (i.e. through appropriate restoration). Water contamination and potential leaks of methane are impacts that would be considered through these criteria.  It should be noted that in relation to exploration for hydrocarbons, additional criteria are set out in Policy MP12: Hydrocarbon minerals. Again, the reference in MP12, part 1 to 'unacceptable impacts on the environment or residential amenity' would include water contamination and methane leakage.  The suggested change to the plan for gas exploration and its damage to water in Nottinghamshire should be stopped is noted. However, the Council does not consider it justified, or in line with national policy, to place a presumption against gas exploration in planning policy. Instead, the suggested policy ensures that all the necessary environmental, amenity and restoration safeguards are in place to prevent any unacceptable impacts from development (such as damage to water).	

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23196 - Parisa Eliyon [2767]	Object	I consider the risk of water contamination through exploration, and potential leaks of methane into the atmosphere UNACCEPTABLE impacts that we simply cannot ignore.	More research and investment into renewable, long term, and sustainable alternatives before it's too late for the human race and the planet.	The National Planning Policy Framework requires all Minerals Planning Authorities to identify and include policies for extraction of mineral resource of local and national importance in their area (which include both conventional and unconventional hydrocarbons). Part of the process of mineral extraction is the identification of the presence of the mineral, which requires exploration.  The purpose of policy DM18 is to ensure that where this mineral exploration is not classed as 'permitted development', there are sufficient safeguards in place to ensure that this phase of the development does not have an unacceptable impact on the environment or amenity and that the long term impact is minimised (i.e. through appropriate restoration). Water contamination and potential leaks of methane are impacts that would be considered through these criteria.  It should be noted that in relation to exploration for hydrocarbons, additional criteria are set out in Policy MP12: Hydrocarbon minerals. Again, the reference in MP12, part 1 to 'unacceptable impacts on the environment or residential amenity' would include water contamination and methane leakage.  The suggested change to the plan for more research and investment in renewable, long term and sustainable alternatives (to unconventional hydrocarbons) is not within the scope of planning policy at the local level. The purpose of local planning policies is to provide the criteria against which planning applications for minerals development that are submitted to the Council are assessed.	

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23215 - Miss Gemma Taylor [2775]	Object	Both water contamination and methane leaks strongly deter me from seeing any positives that fracking may have to offer. The problem we have created with regards to energy consumption is for US as humans to solve. We need to ressort back to local produce, local talent and local events; all of which will be beneficial to our economy. We need to educate people about self-sufficiency e.g. growing our own vegetables, rearing our own animals, LEARN NOT TO BE SO DEPENDENT. We need to prove to people that we can enjoy ourselves without what has become our triviality; televisions, laptops etc. WE NEED CHANGE and not in the form of fracking.	FRACKING SHOULD BE ABOLISHED.	The National Planning Policy Framework requires all Minerals Planning Authorities to identify and include policies for extraction of mineral resource of local and national importance in their area (which include both conventional and unconventional hydrocarbons). Part of the process of mineral extraction is the identification of the presence of the mineral, which requires exploration.  The purpose of policy DM18 is to ensure that where this mineral exploration is not classed as 'permitted development', there are sufficient safeguards in place to ensure that this phase of the development does not have an unacceptable impact on the environment or amenity and that the long term impact is minimised (i.e. through appropriate restoration). Water contamination and potential leaks of methane are impacts that would be considered through these criteria.  It should be noted that in relation to exploration for hydrocarbons, additional criteria are set out in Policy MP12: Hydrocarbon minerals. Again, the reference in MP12, part 1 to 'unacceptable impacts on the environment or residential amenity' would include water contamination and methane leakage.  The discussion of the need for change in attitude and behaviour is noted. However, this is not something that can be addressed through mineral planning policy. The purpose of local planning policies is to provide the criteria against which planning applications for minerals development that are submitted to the Council are assessed.  The suggested change to the plan for fracking to be abolished is also noted. However, the Council does not consider it justified, or in line with national policy, to place a presumption against fracking in planning policy. Instead, the suggested policy ensures that all the necessary environmental, amenity and restoration safeguards are in place to prevent any unacceptable impacts from development.	

# Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plar
23199 - Mr Javid Omoomian [2770]	Object	I find water contamination and methane leaks an unacceptable impact of unconventional gas exploration	Stop all Fracking related research.	The National Planning Policy Framework requires all Minerals Planning Authorities to identify and include policies for extraction of mineral resource of local and national importance in their area (which include both conventional and unconventional hydrocarbons). Part of the process of mineral extraction is the identification of the presence of the mineral, which requires exploration.	
				The purpose of policy DM18 is to ensure that where this mineral exploration is not classed as 'permitted development', there are sufficient safeguards in place to ensure that this phase of the development does not have an unacceptable impact on the environment or amenity and that the long term impact is minimised (i.e. through appropriate restoration). Water contamination and potential leaks of methane are impacts that would be considered through these criteria.	
				It should be noted that in relation to exploration for hydrocarbons, additional criteria are set out in Policy MP12: Hydrocarbon minerals. Again, the reference in MP12, part 1 to 'unacceptable impacts on the environment or residential amenity' would include water contamination and methane leakage.	
				The suggested change to the plan to stop all fracking related research is noted. If this change relates to research by the energy mineral industry into the potential for fracking, it is not within the scope of planning policy at the local level to do this. The purpose of local planning policies is to provide the criteria against which planning applications for minerals development that are submitted to the Council are assessed.	
				If the suggested change intends for the plan to prevent all fracking activity, the Council does not consider it justified, or in line with national policy, to place a presumption against gas exploration through fracking in planning policy. Instead, the suggested policy ensures that all the necessary environmental, amenity and restoration safeguards are in place to prevent	

#### Chapter 5: Development Management Policies

DM18: Mineral exploration

# Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach

Respondent N	Vature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
				any unacceptable impacts from development (such as damage to water or methane leakage).	
Glossary					
Glossary					
23662 - Derbyshire C County Council (David Dale) [2925]	comment	The following should be added to the glossary: - Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping - Environmental Sensitivity Mapping	Add definitions for 'Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping' and 'Environmental Sensitivity Mapping'	Comment partially accepted. It is recognised that definitions covering the Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping and Areas of Multiple Environmental Sensitivity work would be beneficial. However, as the document makes no reference to the term 'Environmental Sensitivity Mapping', this will not be included in the glossary, instead 'Areas of Multiple Environmental Sensitivity Study' will be used.	The following will be added to the glossary: - Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping - Areas of Multiple Environmental Sensitivity Study
Appendix 1: In	nforma	ation required in support	of planning applications		
Appendix 1: Inform	nation r	required in support of planning a	pplications		
23395 - Holme Parish O Meeting [288]	Object	We consider that planning applications should also evidence how the proposal will contribute to the Minerals Local Plan Strategic Objectives SO1 - SO8 and that such a requirement is sufficiently important to be included in the list.	"contribution to Strategic Objectives SO1 - SO8" should be added to the list at Appendix 1.	All planning applications submitted to the LPA are required to demonstrate how they accord with the policies in relevant plans, this includes Strategic Objectives - it is considered that this requirement already exists, as such no changes to the Plan will be made.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs

Respondent

Nature Summary

Suggested Change to Plan

Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

#### Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs

#### Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs

23903 -Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]

Comment Many of the proposed sites lie within our NWT Living Landscape Areas. These are target areas for landscape-scale conservation in the County, where a range of partner organisations are working together to achieve linked habitat restoration and creation. A map of the Notts LLAs is attached and would be a relevant reference within the site allocation briefs, perhaps within the "environmental and cultural designations" section.

> It should be noted that LLAs are more than areas for large-scale habitat creation, they are also intended to deliver positive social and economic benefits, through the creation of high quality landscapes that will be attractive for sustainable tourism.

Comment noted. However, it is not considered appropriate or necessary to include reference to the NWT Living Landscape Area in every site development brief. Instead, it is proposed to amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals. This will include reference to the NWT Living Landscape Area.

Amend Policy SP2 and its iustification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals (including reference to NWT Living Landscape Areas).

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs
Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23661 - Derbyshire County Council (David Dale) [2925]	Comment	This section aids understanding of quarry restoration and priority habitats in relation to environmental sensitivity, as well as raising heritage and landscape issues. Helpfully, it also highlights environmental and cultural designations, access, amenity, and water and flooding issues.  The avoidance of habitat packing, where small areas of lots of habitats are packed into one site, is supported.		Comments and support noted. It is agreed that the current text regarding the high multiple environmentally sensitive areas could be misleading however, the Local Plan should be read as a whole and as such the County Council is of the opinion that the protection and retention of important heritage, landscape and habitat features is adequately considered. The site briefs also draw attention to the local environmental and cultural designations which are relevant to each individual site.	
		The text repeatedly states that, 'the area is also subject to a multiple environmental sensitivity hotspot for ecology, heritage and landscape (as per the Trent Valley Areas of Multiple Environmental Sensitivity Project) and so the impact of restoration on these features is particularly important in this area'. Generally, it is impossible to restore heritage features, landscape character, and habitats, so the emphasis should be on the need to consider the retention of features in the first place, especially those that cannot be restored, or where the impact on removal may be unacceptable.			
23891 - Bassetlaw District Council (Tom Bannister) [2955]	Comment	The Site Allocation Development Briefs Set are out in Appendix 3. Reference to these briefs (especially the restoration requirements) is mentioned in the introduction to Chapter 4 on the Minerals Provision Policies. However, for these development briefs to be a binding part of the sites allocation, reference should be made to the briefs in the minerals provision policies. It is suggested that a standard sentence could added to each minerals provision policy (see 'Changes to Plan').	Include standard sentence in all mineral provision policies to read: "the operation and restoration of the mineral sites named in this policy must be carried out in accordance with the site's development brief as set out in appendix 3 of this Minerals Local Plan".	Comments noted. It is agreed that a reference should be made to each of the site briefs within the relevant provision policies.	Amend Policies MP2, MP3, MP6 and MP9 to include the following sentence at the end of the policy:  "The operation and restoration of the minerals sites contained within this policy will need to take account of the relevant site development brief contained within Appendix 3 of this Minerals Local Plan."

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23721 - Newark and Sherwood District Council (Matthew Tubb) [2950]	Comment	The Collingham Conservation Area Character Appraisal (CACA) identifies important open areas to the west of the settlement and views out of the Conservation Area to the northwest and southwest which should be retained. Consideration should therefore be given to including reference to the CACA within the Development Briefs to inform how impacts upon the Conservation Area are assessed and addressed.	Add reference to Collingham Conservation Area Character Appraisal in site development briefs (where relevant)	Comment accepted - The Collingham Conservation Area Character Appraisal (CACA) identifies important open areas to the west of the settlement and views out of the Conservation Area to the northwest and southwest which should be retained. Therefore a reference to the CACA will be included within the relevant site development briefs to inform how impacts upon the Conservation are are to be assessed and addressed.	Add reference to the Collingham Conservation Area Character Appraisal to the site development briefs for MP2i Langford North, MP2e Besthorpe East and MP2f Besthorpe South.
23239 - Highways Agency (Susan Chambers) [2790]	Support	At this stage, the HA has limited comments in relation to the proposed sites put forward for consultation in the Local Plan. The HA welcomes the fact that the sites have been selected on the back of a rigorous site assessment process which considered the deliverability of the sites and which has resulted in a number of sites being removed from consideration before reaching the Local Plan Preferred Approach stage.		Support noted.	
		In relation to the information in support of the sites proposed, the HA welcomes the fact that transport and accessibility issues have been included as part of the site assessment process, as previously suggested by the HA.			
23610 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006]	Support	Across the briefs as a whole, the RSPB supports: -the inclusion of biodiversity-led elements, the creation of priority habitat and the -identification of appropriate priority habitat; -the statements in several development briefs that that: Restoration should seek to maximise the extent of target habitat(s) and avoid habitat packing, where small areas of lots of habitats are packed into the site. Priority should be given to wetland/open habitats rather than woodland; -increased provision of public access on restored mineral sites, as long as this does not have a detrimental impact on biodiversity.		Support noted.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs
Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23254 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Support	We find the advice given in Appendix 3, with regard to the types of habitat which could be created during the restoration phase to be extremely useful and will be an important tool for quarry operators when developing their restoration plans. Where allocations are located adjacent to a watercourse, such as the River Trent, we'd advise that opportunities to naturalise the channel and reconnect the river with its natural floodplain are fully considered. Opportunities for providing fish passage should also be fully explored at sites where there is a particular blockage to fish movement.		Support noted. The only allocation where is was considered appropriate to identify the potential for fish passage was Cromwell South (MP2I) and the promotion of this is already included in the site site development brief. However, two additional allocations; Langford West and Barton-in-Fabis (that will be consulted on) have potential for channel naturalisation and floodplain reconnection and so reference to these opportunities will be included in their site development briefs.	Add the following text within the site development briefs for Barton in Fabis and Langford West: 'Consideration should be given to the opportunities to naturalise the channel and reconnect the river with its natural floodplain.'
23723 - Newark and Sherwood District Council (Matthew Tubb) [2950]	Support	All of the Besthorpe and Langford sites are either totally or partially within Flood Zone 3 and so it is crucial that they do not lead to increased flood risk individually or cumulatively. This is reflected within the proposed Development Briefs which require Flood Risk Assessments and the inclusion of flood mitigation measures as part of the proposed restoration requirements. This approach to flood risk is supported and has the potential to reduce flood risk to communities over the longterm through well designed restoration schemes.		Support noted.	

MP2a - Finningley Extension

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2a - Finningle	ey Extens	sion			
23612 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006		The Finningley Extension is located within the Isle of Axholme and Idle Farmland Advice Focus Area (FAFA), for which breeding waders are a target species, and the Humberhead Levels Futurescape. It is also adjacent to the Humberhead Levels Nature Improvement Area (NIA) and the Trent & Tame Futurescape.  Restoration of the site should reflect the aspirations of these landscape-scale conservation initiatives. In particular, the RSPB recommends that the site is restored to wet grassland, which is still an agricultural after-use. Wet grassland would be a better option for preserving best and most versatile land and for carbon sequestration than a 'dry' agricultural after-use.		Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 and the justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  The site development brief will be amended to highlight the importance of wet grassland restoration on this site.	Amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  Revise the quarry restoration text for MP2a Finningley Extension to highlight the importance of wet grassland in the restoration of this site.
23292 - Lafarge Tarmac (David Atkinson) [2797]	Comment	The supporting text for the allocation also needs to be updated to reflect a small increase in the mineral resource calculated by Lafarge Tarmac. 725, 000 tonnes needs to be increased to 731,000 tonnes.  We would also request that the mineral resource for the extension area within Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council's administrative boundary is identified under MP2a as it will be a cross-boundary development.	We propose that the text "Total mineral resource: 725,000 tonnes" is amended to "Total mineral resource: 731,000 (plus 760,000 tonnes within the administrative boundary of Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council)".	Comments accepted. Brief will be updated to reflect the changes in capacity and to include reference to reserves in Doncaster. However, since this comment was made, more up to date information has been received from the operator regarding resource and output and so these new figures will be used.	MP2a Finningley Development Brief - alter Total Mineral Resource to read: 'Total Mineral Resource: 768,000 tonnes (plus 752,000 tonnes within the administrative boundary of Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council)'  Also, the Devliery Schedule (Appendix 2) will be amended to reflect these new figures and show no output in 2016 and 2017 (when output will be from Doncaster).
23904 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT strongly welcome the guidance within the restoration briefs on suitable habitat types, however the development brief for this site does not give primacy to biodiversity.	Amend development brief to focus primarily on biodiversity.	Comments accepted. It is proposed that the site development brief will be amended to ensure that the County Council's approach to biodiversity led restoration is explicit.	Amend the first sentence of the restoration text in the site development brief for MP2a Finningley Extension to read: Restoration of the site should be primarily biodiversity-led, however the high quality agricultural soils found on the site should be taken into account in the final restoration proposal reflecting policy DM3 Agricultural land and soil quality.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent

Nature Summary

Suggested Change to Plan

Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

MP2b - Bawtry Road North

#### MP2b - Bawtry Road North

23613 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006]

Comment Bawtry Road North is located within the Isle of Axholme and Idle Farmland Advice Focus Area (FAFA), for which breeding waders are a target species, and the Humberhead Levels Futurescape. It is also adjacent to the Humberhead Levels NIA, the Trent & Tame Futurescape and the Idle Valley Living Landscape.

> Restoration of the site should reflect the aspirations of these landscape-scale conservation initiatives. In particular, the, the RSPB recommends that the site is restored to wet grassland, which is still an agricultural after-use. Wet grassland would be a better option for preserving best and most versatile land and for carbon sequestration than a 'dry' agricultural after-use. Wet grassland would also enhance the Idle Washlands SSSI by providing additional habitat and would complement the Newington North and South restorations.

Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 and the justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.

Comments regarding wet grassland are noted. however the Rugged Butts SINC formed from previous quarrying lies adjacent to the proposed allocation and now forms a significant area of acid grassland. It is therefore likely that creating similar habitats within the Bawtry Road North allocation will be beneficial. The site development brief doesn't exclude the potential for wet grassland and could be developed if suitable conditions are available of onsite.

Amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.

#### MP2c - Scrooby North

23614 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006]

Comment Scrooby North is in the Idle Valley Living Landscape and on the boundary of the Trent &

> Tame Futurescape. Restoration of the site should reflect the aspirations of these landscape scale conservation initiatives. The site should be required to deliver a significant net-gain in biodiversity, for example, through the creation of wet grassland, rather than just ensuring 'no overall loss', as currently specified.

Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 and the justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.

The text regarding 'no overall loss' was intended to highlight the need to avoid impacts on designated sites in the local area rather than just ensuring that no overall loss on biodiversity from the restoration was achieved. However, for the purpose of clarity, it is proposed that the text be amended.

Amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.

In the site development brief for MP2c Scrooby North, amend the first bullet point under Environmental and cultural designations to: 'Working should avoid impacts on designated sites in the local area'.

MP2c - Scrooby North

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23905 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT strongly welcome the guidance within the restoration briefs on suitable habitat types, however the development brief for this site does not give primacy to biodiversity.	Amend development brief to focus primarily on biodiversity.	Comments accepted. It is proposed that the site development brief will be amended to ensure that the County Council's approach to biodiversity led restoration is explicit.	Amend the first sentence of the restoration text in the site development brief for MP2c Scrooby North to read: Restoration of the site should be primarily biodiversity-led, however the high quality agricultural soils found on the site should be taken into account in the final restoration proposal reflecting policy DM3 Agricultural land and soil quality.
MP2d - Scrooby	South				
23615 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006	Comment	Scrooby South is in the Idle Valley Living Landscape and on the boundary of the Trent & Tame Futurescape. Restoration of the site should reflect the aspirations of these landscape scale conservation initiatives. The site should be required to deliver a significant net-gain in biodiversity, for example, through the creation of wet grassland, rather than just ensuring 'no overall loss', as currently specified.		Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 and the justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  The text regarding 'no overall loss' was intended to highlight the need to avoid impacts on designated sites in the local area rather than just ensuring that no overall loss on biodiversity from the restoration was achieved. However, for the purpose of clarity, it is proposed that the text be amended.	Amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  In the site development brief for MP2d Scrooby South, amend first bullet point under Environmental and cultural designations to: 'Working should avoid impacts on designated sites in the local area'.
23906 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT strongly welcome the guidance within the restoration briefs on suitable habitat types, however the development brief for this site does not give primacy to biodiversity.	Amend development brief to focus primarily on biodiversity.	Comments accepted. It is proposed that the site development brief will be amended to ensure that the County Council's approach to biodiversity led restoration is explicit.	Amend the first sentence of the restoration text in the site development brief for MP2d Scrooby South to read: Restoration of the site should be primarily biodiversity-led, however the high quality agricultural soils found on the site should be taken into account in the final restoration proposal reflecting policy DM3 Agricultural land and soil quality.

MP2e - Besthorpe East

### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2e - Besthor	pe East				
23616 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006	Comment	Besthorpe East lies within the Trent & Tame Futurescape and the Trent Vale and Trent Holmes Living Landscapes.  The RSPB supports the emphasis on reedbeds, given the close proximity of reedbeds at Langford and Meering. Some restoration to MG4 grassland would also be appropriate, as  Besthorpe Meadow SSSI is drying out because of its height above the water table.  The RSPB supports the requirement to take into account indirect impacts on the mature hedgerows in the area, as these are important for priority species such as turtle dove and willow tit  Besthorpe East will form part of the larger Besthorpe / Langford / Cromwell complex, which will cover an area of over 600ha (including current sites and allocations). Given the scale of mineral extraction and		Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 and the justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  It is not considered appropriate for the Minerals Local Plan to develop a 'master plan' for the Langford Lowfields, Besthorpe and Cromwell quarries however further text will be added to the development brief to identify the need to complement the existing and proposed restoration schemes in this area when developing restoration proposals for the site.	Amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  Add the following text to the quarry restoration section of the site development brief for MP2e Besthorpe East: 'Given the proximity of the site to Langford Lowfields, Besthorpe and Cromwell quarries, the restoration plan should aim to complement existing and proposed schemes to maximise biodiversity gain in the area'.
		restoration in this complex, it would be appropriate to develop a delivery across all of these sites.			
23720 - Newark and Sherwood District Council (Matthew Tubb) [2950]	Comment	A number of the proposed extensions (Besthorpe East, South and Langford North) will bring the sites closer to the Collingham Conservation Area than the existing workings. Whilst a level of separation would remain it is considered that the Development Brief for the Besthorpe East site should also refer to the need for the protection of the nearby Collingham Conservation Area and its listed buildings as with the Briefs for the Besthorpe South and Langford North extensions.	Add reference to the need for the protection of the nearby Collingham Conservation Area and its listed	Comments accepted. Reference to Collingham Conservation Area and its listed building to be added to Brief.	MP2e Besthorpe East and MP2f Besthorpe South Development Briefs.  Add following text to Environmental and cultural designations: 'Impact on the nearby Collingham Conservation Area and its listed buildings should be considered'

MP2e - Besthorpe East

### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23916 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT strongly welcome the guidance within the restoration briefs on suitable habitat types, however given the proximity of the MP2e to the species-rich wet grassland SSSI at Besthorpe Meadow, floodplain grazing marsh/ MG4 wet grassland may be a more suitable dominant restoration habitat than reedbed.	Amend the development brief to better reflect the surrounding habitats.	Comments noted. As a result of other comments put forward, the site development brief has been amended to highlight the need for site restoration plans to take account of existing and proposed restoration in the wider Besthorpe, Langford and Cromwell quarry areas as well as existing areas of habitat to maximise biodiversity gain in the area. The site devlopment brief identifies priority habitas including Lowland Netural Grassland, wet grassland and Reedbed which could result in the development of both wet grassland and reedbed.	
MP2f - Besthorpe	e South				
23617 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006]		Besthorpe South lies within the Trent & Tame Futurescape and the Trent Vale and Trent Holmes Living Landscapes, adjacent to Langford Lowfield RSPB Reserve. Restoration of the site should reflect the aspirations of these landscape-scale conservation initiatives.  The RSPB supports the emphasis on reedbeds, given the close proximity of reedbeds at Langford and Meering. Fen and wet grassland would also be appropriate habitats. The RSPB also supports prioritisation of 'the opportunity for floodplain reconnection and channel rebraiding which could bring both ecological and sustainable flood management benefits'. The possibility of 'managed retreat' of flood defences should also be explored.  Besthorpe South will form part of the larger Besthorpe / Langford / Cromwell complex, which will cover an area of over 600ha (including current sites and allocations). Given the scale of mineral extraction and restoration in this complex, it would be appropriate to develop a 'master plan' for the restoration of this whole complex on order to maximise biodiversity delivery across all of these sites.		Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 and the justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  It is not considered appropriate for the Minerals Local Plan to develop a 'master plan' for the Langford Lowfields, Besthorpe and Cromwell quarries, however further text will be added to the development brief to identify the need to complement the existing and proposed restoration schemes in this area when developing restoration proposals for the site.	Amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  Add the following text to the quarry restoration section of the site development brief for MP2f Besthorpe South: 'Given the proximity of the site to Langford Lowfields, Besthorpe and Cromwell quarries the restoration plan should aim to complement existing and proposed schemes to maximise biodiversity gain in the area'.

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23917 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT strongly welcome the guidance within the restoration briefs on suitable habitat types, however given the proximity of the MP2e to the species-rich wet grassland SSSI at Besthorpe Meadow, floodplain grazing marsh/ MG4 wet grassland may be a more suitable dominant restoration habitat than reedbed.	Amend the development brief to better reflect the surrounding habitats.	Comments noted. As a result of other comments put forward, the site development brief has been amended to highlight the need for site restoration plans to take account of existing and proposed restoration in the wider Besthorpe, Langford and Cromwell quarry areas as well as existing areas of habitat to maximise biodiversity gain in the area. The site devlopment brief identifies priority habitas including Lowland Netural Grassland, wet grassland and Reedbed which could result in the development of both wet grassland and reedbed.	
MP2g - Girton W	/est				
23618 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006		Girton West lies within the Trent & Tame Futurescape and the Trent Vale and Trent Holmes Living Landscapes. Restoration of the site should reflect the aspirations of these landscapescale conservation initiatives. Wet grassland would be particularly appropriate at this site because of the proximity of the Trent Holmes (traditional grazing areas).  The RSPB supports the prioritisation of 'the opportunity for floodplain reconnection and channel rebraiding which could bring both ecological and sustainable flood management benefits'. The possibility of 'managed retreat' of flood defences should also be explored.		Due to the revised information put forward by Lafarge Tarmac as part of the consultation, the Girton West site will no longer be proposed for allocation in this plan period as the existing permitted reserves are expected to be adequate for the plan period.	Delete the site development brief for MP2g Girton West.
23728 - Newark and Sherwood District Council (Matthew Tubb) [2950]	Support	The site is located within Flood Zone 3 and adjacent to the River Trent so the references within the Development Brief to mitigation of potential flooding and requirement for a Flood Risk Assessment is supported as is the inclusion of flood alleviation measures as part of the restoration scheme.		Support noted.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

MP2h - Langford South

23396 - Holme Parish Comment Meeting [288] Our comments relate to MP2h and MP2i (Langford South and Langford North) but could equally relate to all other sites. These development briefs include numerous presumptions about these sites particularly in respect of quarry restoration. The detail suggests that minds have already been made up in favour of wetlands with no allowance for any other options eg restoration to agriculture. Who has made these assumptions / taken these decisions, even before planning applications have been made? They make a mockery of the planning process.

All the sections on "quarry restoration" contained within the allocation briefs in the Plan should be removed.

National policy requires Local Planning Authorities to ensure that worked land is reclaimed at the earliest opportunity and that high quality restoration and aftercare takes place.

Although mineral working is a temporary land use, worked sites which are not appropriately restored can result in permanent adverse impacts on the environment. It is essential that detailed restoration proposals for minerals development are properly considered at an early stage to minimise impacts and ensure long term benefits are secured. Most minerals workings coincide with agricultural land. In general where the best and most versatile land is taken for minerals extraction, it is imperative that the land should be to be restored to an agricultural after-use through appropriate land form and soil profiles and this is set out in policy DM3: Agricultural land and soil quality.

However in many cases full restoration to agricultural land is not a viable option as large amounts of material (such as construction and demolition waste) would be required. This is often not available since the introduction and application of the Landfill tax has reduced the amount of inert material available. In addition, the government encourages the recycling and use of this material as an alternative to primary aggregates. Low level wetland restoration is often the only viable means of suitable restoration.

In order to maximise the benefit from worked out quarries, the Minerals Local Plan includes a biodiversity led restoration strategy. This seeks to identify which habitats can be created and where in order to create new habitats and link existing areas along the Trent valley. The site development briefs include this information in order to inform and influence the future restoration schemes of each proposed allocation.

All new quarry proposals would be required to submit a full restoration plan and this would be assessed through the planning application process.

# Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs MP2h - Langford South

# **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
(Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006]	Langford South lies within the Trent & Tame Futurescape and the Trent Vale and Trent Holmes Living Landscapes, adjacent to Langford Lowfield RSPB Reserve. Restoration of the site should reflect the aspirations of these landscape-scale conservation initiatives. The RSPB supports the proposal to create extensive reedbed habitat, given the proximity of Langford Lowfields.  Langford South will form part of the larger Besthorpe / Langford / Cromwell complex, which will cover an area of over 600ha (including current sites and allocations). Given the scale of mineral extraction and restoration in this complex, it would be appropriate to develop a 'master plan' for the restoration of this whole complex on order to maximise biodiversity delivery across all of these sites.		Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 and the justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  It is not considered appropriate for the Minerals Local Plan to develop a 'master plan' for the Langford Lowfields, Besthorpe and Cromwell quarries however further text will be added to the development brief to identify the need to complement the existing and proposed restoration schemes in this area when developing restoration proposals for the site.	Amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  Add the following text to the quarry restoration section of the site development brief for MP2h Langford South: 'Given the proximity of the site to Langford Lowfields, Besthorpe and Cromwell quarries the restoration plan should aim to complement existing and proposed schemes to maximise biodiversity gain in the area'.

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Respondent N

**Nature Summary** 

Suggested Change to Plan Council's Response

Council's Change to Plan

MP2i - Langford North

23397 - Holme Parish Comment Meeting [288]

Our comments relate to MP2h and MP2i (Langford South and Langford North) but could equally relate to all other sites. These development briefs include numerous presumptions about these sites particularly in respect of quarry restoration. The detail suggests that minds have already been made up in favour of wetlands with no allowance for any other options eg restoration to agriculture. Who has made these assumptions / taken these decisions, even before planning applications have been made? They make a mockery of the planning process.

All the sections on "quarry restoration" contained within the allocation briefs in the Plan should be removed.

National policy requires Local Planning Authorities to ensure that worked land is reclaimed at the earliest opportunity and that high quality restoration and aftercare takes place.

Although mineral working is a temporary land use, worked sites which are not appropriately restored can result in permanent adverse impacts on the environment. It is essential that detailed restoration proposals for minerals development are properly considered at an early stage to minimise impacts and ensure long term benefits are secured. Most minerals workings coincide with agricultural land. In general where the best and most versatile land is taken for minerals extraction, it is imperative that the land should be to be restored to an agricultural after-use through appropriate land form and soil profiles and this is set out in policy DM3: Agricultural land and soil quality.

However in many cases full restoration to agricultural land is not a viable option as large amounts of material (such as construction and demolition waste) would be required. This is often not available since the introduction and application of the Landfill tax has reduced the amount of inert material available. In addition, the government encourages the recycling and use of this material as an alternative to primary aggregates. Low level wetland restoration is often the only viable means of suitable restoration.

In order to maximise the benefit from worked out quarries, the Minerals Local Plan includes a biodiversity led restoration strategy. This seeks to identify which habitats can be created and where in order to create new habitats and link existing areas along the Trent valley. The site development briefs include this information in order to inform and influence the future restoration schemes of each proposed allocation.

All new quarry proposals would be required to submit a full restoration plan and this would be assessed through the planning application process.

MP2i - Langford North

### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23620 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006]	Comment	Langford North lies within the Trent & Tame Futurescape and the Trent Vale and Trent Holmes Living Landscapes, adjacent to Langford Lowfield RSPB Reserve. Restoration of the site should reflect the aspirations of these landscape-scale conservation initiatives. The RSPB supports the proposal to create extensive reedbed habitat, given the proximity of Langford Lowfields.  Langford North will form part of the larger Besthorpe / Langford / Cromwell complex, which will cover an area of over 600ha (including current sites and allocations). Given the scale of mineral extraction and restoration in this complex, it would be appropriate to develop a 'master plan' for the restoration of this whole complex on order to maximise biodiversity delivery across all of these sites.		Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 and the justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  It is not considered appropriate for the Minerals Local Plan to develop a 'master plan' for the Langford Lowfields, Besthorpe and Cromwell quarries however further text will be added to the development brief to identify the need to complement the existing and proposed restoration schemes in this area when developing restoration proposals for the site.	Amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  Add the following text to the quarry restoration section of site development brief for MP2i Langford North: 'Given the proximity of the site to Langford Lowfields, Besthorpe and Cromwell quarries the restoration plan should aim to complement existing and proposed schemes to maximise biodiversity gain in the area'.
MP2j - East Leak	ke North				
23907 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT strongly welcome the guidance within the restoration briefs on suitable habitat types, however incremental development of extensions of East Leake Quarry over the last 5 years have lead to a higher proportion of agricultural restoration than there should be. Hence it is particularly important that the primary restoration type for these extensions should be to biodiversity.	Amend development brief to focus primarily on biodiversity.	Comments accepted. It is proposed that the site development brief will be amended to ensure that the County Council's approach to biodiversity led restoration is explicit.	Amend the first sentence of the restoration text in the site development brief for MP2j East Leake North to read: Restoration of the site should be primarily biodiversity-led, however the high quality agricultural soils found on the site should be taken into account in the final restoration proposal reflecting policy DM3 Agricultural land and soil quality.

#### Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs

### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

MP2k - East Leake East

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2k - East Lea	ake East				
23908 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT strongly welcome the guidance within the restoration briefs on suitable habitat types, however incremental development of extensions of East Leake Quarry over the last 5 years have lead to a higher proportion of agricultural restoration than there should be. Hence it is particularly important that the primary restoration type for these extensions should be to biodiversity.	Amend development brief to focus primarily on biodiversity.	Comments accepted. It is proposed that the site development brief will be amended to ensure that the County Council's approach to biodiversity led restoration is explicit.	Amend the first sentence of the restoration text in the site development brief for MP2k East Leake East to read: Restoration of the site should be primarily biodiversity-led, however the high quality agricultural soils found on the site should be taken into account in the final restoration proposal reflecting policy DM3 Agricultural land and soil quality.
23560 - Trustees of Rempstone Hall Estate [2923]	Support	We support the proposed allocation of East Leake East.		Support noted	

#### Appendix 3: Site Allocation Development Briefs

MP2I - Cromwell South

#### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

Council's Response Council's Change to Plan Respondent Nature Summary Suggested Change to Plan MP2I - Cromwell South 23621 - R.S.P.B. Comment Cromwell South lies within the Trent & Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 Add the following text to the guarry (Central Region) (Mr Tame Futurescape and the Trent Vale and the justification text to highlight the restoration section of the site Colin Wilkinson) [1006] and Trent importance of landscape scale conservation development brief for MP2I Cromwell initiatives when considering site restoration Holmes Living Landscapes, on the South: 'Given the proximity of the opposite bank of the River Trent from site to Langford Lowfields, Besthorpe proposals. Langford Lowfield RSPB Reserve. and Cromwell quarries the Restoration of the site should reflect the It is not considered appropriate for the Minerals restoration plan should aim to aspirations of these landscapescale Local Plan to develop a 'master plan' for the complement existing and proposed conservation initiatives. The RSPB Langford Lowfields, Besthorpe and Cromwell schemes to maximise biodiversity supports the proposal to create extensive quarries however further text will be added to gain in the area'. reedbed habitat, given the proximity of the development brief to identify the need to Langford Lowfields. complement the existing and proposed restoration schemes in this area when As with Besthorpe South and Girton developing restoration proposals for the site. West, consideration should be given to 'the opportunity for floodplain reconnection and channel rebraiding which could bring both ecological and sustainable flood management benefits'. The possibility of by-passing the weir to allow for passage of fish should also be explored. Cromwell South will form part of the larger Besthorpe / Langford / Cromwell complex, which will cover an area of over 600ha (including current sites and allocations). Given the scale of mineral extraction and restoration in this complex, it would be appropriate to develop 'master plan' for the restoration of this whole complex on order to maximise biodiversity delivery across all of these sites.

MP2I - Cromwell South

### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23144 - Cromwell Parish Meeting (Mr D R Swift) [738]	Comment	Regarding 'Access and Transport': When the first quarry opened in Cromwell a separate entrance to the village was made to service the lorries coming northwards. This was to remove any necessity to pass through the village itself. The entrance is located just north of the village and remains fully signed and means that quarry traffic avoids the main village area which now has a 7 1/2 tonne EWL. Any traffic using the southern slip road would have to transit the village and this would be certain to cause conflict and generate very strong complaints.  If this access issue is firmly addressed, then the proposals, although unwelcome will be regarded as acceptable.	It is essential that the proposed access is explicitly restricted to the northern access, as per the original site, thus making use of the dedicated route mandatory upon all quarry traffic. This can be done simply and at no cost whatsoever to Notts County Council.	Comments noted. The development brief states that the site access should remain as per the existing site. Further text will be added to ensure that this is clear.	Add further text to the 'access and transport section' of the site development brief for MP2I Cromwell South to set out the existing agreed access routes should continue to be used for this extension.
23255 - Environment Agency (Mr Andrew Pitts) [2714]	Comment	Where allocations are located adjacent to a watercourse, such as the River Trent, we'd advise that opportunities to naturalise the channel and reconnect the river with its natural floodplain are fully considered. Opportunities for providing fish passage should also be fully explored at sites where there is a particular blockage to fish movement.  One such site would be Cromwell South, (MP2I), and any opportunities to install a fish pass to bypass Cromwell should be fully explored with the Environment Agency.		Comments noted. Given the proximity of the Cromwell south boundary to the hard engineering along the river bank associated with Cromwell Weir it is not considered that naturalising the channel is appropriate. However the existing development brief for MP2I highlights the opportunity to install a fish pass in this area.	

Summary of representations recieved and Council's response, April 2014

MP2I - Cromwell South

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23567 - Cemex UK operations (Kirsten Hannaford-Hill) [1314]	Object	Restoration - As the site is BMV Grade 3a agricultural land it is considered that agriculture be considered in addition to the priority habitats	Include agriculture within the restoration criteria	Comments noted. The biodiversity-led restoration strategy does not preclude the restoration of sites to agricultural after-use as the two can be compatible. It is accepted however that this is not made clear either in Policy SP2 or in the site development brief for Cromwell South. It is therefore proposed that amendments be made to both of these parts of the plan for clarification.	Amend the justification text of SP2 to highlight that agricultural after use and the biodiversity-led restoration strategy are compatible and highlight the relevant habitats to acheive both.  Amend the first sentence of the restoration text in the site development brief for MP2I Cromwell South to read: Restoration of the site should be primarily biodiversity-led, however the high quality agricultural soils found on the site should be taken into account in the final restoration proposal reflecting policy DM3 Agricultural land and soil quality.
MP2m - Barnby					
23622 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006		Barnby Moor is on the boundary of both the Trent & Tame Futurescape and the Sherwood Futurescape and lies within the Idle Valley Living Landscape. Restoration of the site should reflect the aspirations of these landscape-scale conservation initiatives. Reedbed, fen and / or wet woodland habitat would compliment the nearby Idle Valley Nature Reserve.		Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 and the justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals. Further text will be included in the brief to highlight the need for the restoration scheme to complement the Idle Valley Nature Reserve.	Amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  Include additional text in the site development brief for MP2m Barnby Moor which highlights the need for the restoration scheme to complement the Idle Valley Nature Reserve.
23909 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT strongly welcome the guidance within the restoration briefs on suitable habitat types, however the development brief for this site does not give primacy to biodiversity.	Amend development brief to focus primarily on biodiversity.	Comments accepted. It is proposed that the site development brief will be amended to ensure that the County Council's approach to biodiversity led restoration is explicit.	Amend the first sentence of the restoration text in the site development brief for MP2m Barnby Moor to read: Restoration of the site should be primarily biodiversity-led, however the high quality agricultural soils found on the site should be taken into account in the final restoration proposal reflecting policy DM3 Agricultural land and soil quality.

MP2n - Botany Bay

### **Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan Preferred Approach**

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
MP2n - Botany E	Вау				
23216 - Mr Andrew Liney [2772]	Comment	1 No mention is made of protection of the high pressure natural gas line which crosses the site underground. 2 No mention is made of screening for the benefit of my house, which is an isolated property on the opposite side of the Chesterfield Canal and which has an uninterrupted view of 90% of the proposed extraction site. 3 The proposals appear to be predicated in favour of a central location for the processing plant, which would place it unnecessarily close to my property. 4 Any processing plant should be screened from all sides including from the west.		Comments noted. Additional information will be included with regard to the location and screening to the plant site and screening along the length of the Chesterfield Canal. Reference will also be made to the existing pipeline that runs through the site.	Include additional information in the site development brief for MP2n Botany Bay regarding the location and screeing to all sides of the plant site and screening along the length of the Chesterfield Canal. Make reference to the pipeline that runs through the site.
23623 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006	Comment	Botany Bay is on the boundary of the Trent & Tame Futurescape, the Sherwood Futurescape and the Idle Valley Living Landscape. Reedbed, fen and / or wet woodland habitat would complement the nearby Idle Valley Nature Reserve.		Amendments are being made to Policy SP2 and the justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals. Further text will be included in the brief to highlight the need for the restoration scheme to complement the Idle Valley Nature Reserve.	Amend Policy SP2 and its justification text to highlight the importance of landscape scale conservation initiatives when considering site restoration proposals.  Include additional text in the site development brief for MP2n Botany Bay which highlights the need for the restoration scheme to complement the Idle Valley Nature Reserve.
23910 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT strongly welcome the guidance within the restoration briefs on suitable habitat types, however the development brief for this site does not give primacy to biodiversity.	Amend development brief to focus primarily on biodiversity.	Comments accepted. It is proposed that the site development brief will be amended to ensure that the County Council's approach to biodiversity led restoration is explicit.	Amend the first sentence of the restoration text in the site development brief for MP2n Botany Bay to read: Restoration of the site should be primarily biodiversity-led, however the high quality agricultural soils found on the site should be taken into account in the final restoration proposal reflecting policy DM3 Agricultural land and soil quality
MP2o - Codding	ton				
23624 - R.S.P.B. (Central Region) (Mr Colin Wilkinson) [1006		The RSPB supports the provision of new areas of heathland and acid grassland in its eastern appendage, as per the Trent Valley BOM.		Comments and support for heathland and acid grassland in the eastern appendage noted.	

	Vature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23731 - Newark and Sherwood District Council (Matthew Tubb) [2950]	Comment	With the proximity of the site to Stapleford Wood this offers the opportunity for the restoration scheme to increase access to the piece of green infrastructure.  Where possible trees subject to Tree Preservation Orders (lots on the site boundaries and the Moors Brat Drain SINC) should be sought to be retained and included within the proposed screening of the site. The augmentation of screening to the south of the site along the A17 and of the processing plant is supported and will assist in limiting the visual impact of the allocation from the road itself and from Coddington village.  The reference to protection of the SINC within the site and of the ancient woodland, Moor Brats and Stapleford Woods (also a SINC) which adjoin the site is welcomed. It is important that the impact on these areas is minimised and mitigated where necessary.  The priority of wetland open habitats as part of the restoration scheme may also	Add reference to protection of trees with Tree Preservation Orders	Comments noted. The site development brief will be ammened to make reference to the retention of trees along the site boundaries that have Tree Preservation Orders and that could be incorpoated into site screening.	Add text with the site development brief for MP2o regarding the retention of trees with Tree Preservation Orders along the site boundaries.
		provide flood risk alleviation benefits and so is supported.			

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23412 - Mr David Armstrong [2806]	Object	Negative impacts of increased traffic flows through the centre of the Village including safety of pedestrians. Negative impacts, particularly on the closest properties, of noise, dust and pollution. The impact on house prices in Coddington and its desirability as a place to live, work or visit for the foreseeable future. Detrimental to the character of the neighbouring Conservation Area, its landscape context, and to employment prospects in adjacent leisure businesses. Detrimental to important wildlife habitats designated as SINCs.	The proposed area should be removed from the plan due to the traffic impacts on a busy main road and nearby minor roads, and the detrimental impact on the neighbouring Conservation Area.  If the area is included in the plan, it should be conditional on southbound access onto Drove Lane off the A17 should be restricted to prevent large traffic volumes driving through the centre of the Village. This could be achieved either by gated access (for agricultural vehicles only) or by blocking off the access to / from Drove Lane south of the A17.	The aim of the site development briefs are to identify key issues which will inform and influence the planning application process, including nearby environmental and cultural designations, access and transport and suitable restoration proposals in line with the plans biodiversity led restoration approach. The briefs are at an early stage of development and will be developed further as the plan progresses.  As part of the planning application process detailed work would be undertaken to identify, amongst other things, the site layout, suitable stand offs and screening from sensitive areas and measures to limit impacts from noise and dust.  A detailed Transport Assessment would also be required and measures would be put in place to minimise the impact of the HGV traffic. This would include detailed designs regarding the location of the site access, road layout, and any improvements that were deemed necessary on safety grounds in the vicinity of the site. Conditions such as lorry routing agreements would also be put in place to ensure that HGVs from the development avoid unsuitable roads such as those through Coddington village.  The suggestion of limiting traffic movements from the A17 onto the southern section of Drove Lane will be highlighted in the site brief for consideration as part of any future quarry development.	Include proposal to limit traffic movements from the A17 on to the southern section of Drove Lane in the site development brief for MP2o Coddington.

Respondent	Nature	Summary	Suggested Change to Plan	Council's Response	Council's Change to Plan
23556 - Kelham Estate [2921] 23557 - Trustees of Home Farm, Kelham [2922]	Object		Remove Coddington as a proposed allocated site.	The aim of the site development briefs are to identify key issues which will inform and influence the development details of future allocations at an early stage of the process, including nearby environmental and cultural designations, access and transport and suitable restoration proposals in line with the plans biodiversity led restoration approach.	
				The site brief makes reference to a number of the issues raised including; the need for flood mitigation measures to be considered through the completion of a Flood Risk Assessment, the key environmental designations that would need to be addressed and the key habitats that should be incorporated as part of the detailed restoration proposals. The consideration of the potential impacts and mitigation measures required would be completed at the planning application stage, when all of the relevant policies of the plan would be taken into account.	
				In terms of flooding, sand and gravel extraction is flood compatible and so although the site may lie in Flood Zone 3, this does not preclude it from being worked for sand and gravel.	
				A Strategic Transport Assessment has been completed which doesn't raise any site specific issues, however a site specific transport assessment would be required through the planning application process.	
23911 - Nottinghamshire Wildlife Trust (Janice Bradley) [1495]	Object	NWT strongly welcome the guidance within the restoration briefs on suitable habitat types, however the development brief for this site does not give primacy to biodiversity.	Amend development brief to focus primarily on biodiversity.	Comments accepted. It is proposed that the site development brief will be amended to ensure that the County Council's approach to biodiversity led restoration is explicit.	Amend the first sentence of the restoration text in the site development brief for MP2o Coddington to read: Restoration of the site should be primarily biodiversity-led, however the high quality agricultural soils found on the site should be taken into account in the final restoration proposal reflecting policy DM3 Agricultural land and soil quality.