



Street Lighting and Road Safety

There are a number of benefits to street lighting. It can be used to promote security in urban areas and to increase the quality of life by artificially extending the hours in which it is light so that activity can take place. Street lighting also improves safety for drivers, riders, and pedestrians.

Driving outside of daylight hours is more dangerous – only a quarter of all travel by car drivers is between the hours of 7pm and 8am, yet this period accounts for 40% of fatal and serious injuries to the same group¹. Pedestrians and vulnerable road users suffer from decreased visibility in the dark too. For these reason, ways of reducing the risk to all road users during the hours of darkness must be found.

A recent study for the Department for Transport² found that road safety was perceived as a key benefit for street lighting improvement. In the study, 73% of respondents agreed that 'better street lighting would improve the safety of children', and 63.8% agreed that 'improved street lighting would lead to less accidents on the roads'.

As well as the public perception that better lighting improves safety, research that compares the quality of road lighting to accident reduction, found that it improves safety.

- A literature review³ of studies relating the presence of lighting to accident reduction concluded that "On urban main roads, with mainly a traffic function, a reduction in accidents involving injuries of approximately 30% can be expected at night following an improvement in the lighting from very bad to good"
- A Japanese report⁴ that looked at the reduction in accidents at junctions, following the provision of lighting, found that there was a 43% reduction in night-time accidents. It also found that the effectiveness of lighting in preventing accidents depended on its illuminance, and that the brighter the lighting, the better it is at preventing accidents. However it did not define an upper limit to brightness beyond which further brightening would have no, or a negative, effect.

¹ Night-time accidents, a scoping study; Report to the AA Trust; H. Ward et al, UCL http://eprints.ucl.ac.uk/archive/00001978/01/2005, 44 pdf

http://eprints.ucl.ac.uk/archive/00001978/01/2005 44.pdf

The value of improved street lighting in rural areas; Ken Willis et al, Centre for Research in Environmental Appraisal & Management, University of Newcastle upon Tyne. October 2003

Management, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, October 2003

The relationship between the level of public lighting and traffic safety; a supplementary literature study, D.A.Schreuder. R-88-10. Leidschendam, SWOV, 1988

⁴ Research on the interrelation between illuminance at intersections and the reduction in traffic accidents; H Oya et al; The Lighting Journal, Vol 68 pp 14-21





- A report by SWOV⁵ found that a 'relatively large proportion' of night-time accidents occur on unlit road sections. It also found that 'there are modest indications that the average injury severity and the proportion of accidents at bends is somewhat greater on unlit road sections'.
- A report conducted by the University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology⁶ found that low illumination is a major contributory factor in the night-time fatality rate.
- A more recent follow up study⁷ by some of the same authors using the same methodology reached the same conclusion and presented up to date figures showing the effect that lighting has on the likelihood of fatal injury.
 - o On motorways, 2.6% of accidents are fatal where street lighting is present, compared to 4.3% of accidents where it is not.
 - On built up roads, 1.3% of fatal accidents are fatal where street lighting are present, compared to 1.9% of accidents where it is not.
 - Similarly, on non-built up roads 3.1% of accidents are fatal in lit conditions, rising to 4.9% in areas without street lights.

Both studies cited an increase in thinking and stopping distances in non-optimal night-time conditions as the reason why the rate increases. They concluded that on average the presence of street lighting reduces the severity of injuries by a factor of 3.

This reduction in accidents is seen despite the danger that drivers have been found to adapt their behaviour and adopt more risky behaviours at night where there is lighting. Examples of more risky behaviour are increased speed and reduced concentration⁸.

This raises the concern about the relationship between the safety that a driver perceives and the actual level of safety, and how drivers behave in both conditions. If a driver perceives a better level of safety due to lighting, and therefore behaves in a more dangerous manner when their vision is not noticeably improved, could this lead to a greater increase in risk than simply reducing the luminance would suggest?

⁵ Street lighting and road safety on motorways; A.A. Vis D-94-18, SWOV 1994

⁶ Road traffic accidents: the impact of lighting; I Murrey et al, The Lighting Journal, Vol 63 pp 42-46

⁷ Road traffic casualties: understanding the night-time death toll; S Planis et al, Injury Prevention Vol 12 Issue 2 pp125-

⁸ Risk compensation - the case of road lighting; T Assum et al, Accident Analysis and Prevention, Vol 31, pp 545-553





Conclusion

The presence of lighting not only reduces the risk of traffic accidents, but also their severity. Surveys have shown that the public are in favour of street lighting as a way of improving road safety and that, if anything, it needs to be improved in some areas.

There are economic and environmental reasons why some organisations may wish to reduce the amount of lighting. However there are safety reasons why lighting needs to be available.

In some locations, a reduction in lighting quality may not increase the risk of an accident. However, there is the danger that an unconsidered removal or reduction in quality could actually increase accidents and their severity.

Therefore, when considering removal or dimming of lights, location based traffic and accident evidence should be assessed. Accident rates should be monitored to ensure that sacrificing the quality of lighting does not unduly increase the risk. Increases in risk may ultimately lead to lives being lost.