

Review of Partnerships Scope

Review Title	Partnerships: Are they Achieving?			
Chairman	Joyce Bosnjak	Vice Chair	Brian Wombwell Martin Wright	
Lead Officer	Matthew Garrard	email <u>Ma</u>	tthew.garrard@nottscc.gov.uk	
Start date	November 2009	Comple	tion date tbc	
Reason for review	Are partnerships the best way to deliver improvements for our communities?			
Objectives	To examine whether partnerships are providing value for money and delivering improvements/outcomes -and if appropriate to consider alternative ways of working. To develop a strategy/purpose for the County Council's			
	 involvement in partnerships: What value should be added by being in a partnership? Process for review/ challenge When should we not be in a partnership To consider whether available funding is delivering outcomes To improve Member involvement and accountability for partnerships and the achievement of local priorities.			
Risks and issues	 Risks Need to avoid alienating partners and recognise that the County Council does not own the partnerships Volume of partnerships - review will focus on the Nottinghamshire Partnership and LSPs. (The Children's and Young Peoples Partnership and the Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership as statutory requirements will be avoided.) 			
	 without partnersh Do partnerships p Concern at lack of involvement in pa Explore barriers to representation and 	ips? rovide value clarity in the rtnerships partnership d inconsister to elected M	e purpose of County Council's os including appropriate at attendance and involvement embers and concern at level	

Background information	List of partnerships/types (Nottinghamshire Improvement Plan suggested 300+) LSP peer reviews Minutes and strategy delivery plans from LSPs. Best practice examples – IDeA? Statutory requirements (Are these subject to change?) How does the funding flow? Cost of officer time at meetings		
Theme	Testing the guiding principles of the Nottinghamshire Community Strategy		
Witnesses	Officers and members of LSPs District Councils & Scrutiny Chairs Partners IDeA?		
Proposed visits	Options include attending partnership meetings in Nottinghamshire and good practice elsewhere		
Public Involvement	Access to local strategic partnerships and their accountability will be considered as part of the review. The public involvement in developing priorities and strategies may also be explored.		
Communications	Raise awareness of review with partners – in particular districts prior to any press release		

How Scrutiny Works

Scoping Scrutiny Reviews

1. Overview and Scrutiny Committee

The County Council Overview and Scrutiny Committee (OSC) manage the programme of scrutiny work, identifying and prioritising issues for review.

2. Chair and vice-chair

The chair and vice-chair will meet with the lead officer to the review and other identified officers to draft a scope for the review using the scoping document template. This process provides the standing committee with an initial outline of the review and will include suggested witnesses and evidence.

3. Service departments

If the review includes consideration of County Council services then the draft scope will be sent to the service directors responsible for those services so that they are aware that the review is taking place. The service director will be invited to comment on the proposed scope of the review. In any review Members will want to ensure that the provision of services is fair. To enable the review to determine this, service directors will be asked to provide all relevant equality service diversity reviews (SDRs) which will be listed on the scope. If no SDRs are available this will also be recorded on the scope. The draft scope will be shared with the Corporate Communications Team to look at how the review will be communicated with the public.

4. Standing Committee

At the first meeting of the review the standing committee Members will usually be provided with an introduction to issue, the draft scope and also a copy of all relevant service diversity reviews. Where no SDRs are available the standing committee should consider whether any SDRs should be completed and provided as part of the review.