## Consultation on Change to Governance Arrangements - Responses

| No.                                 | Respondent                                 | Comment   |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| City, Borough and District Councils |  |   |
| 1                                   | Ashfield DC                                | No comment  |
| 2                                   | Broxtowe BC                                | No comment  |
| 3                                   | Gedling BC                                 | No specific observations. Recognises the potential benefits, especially in relation to involving more elected members in decision-making, but also anticipates potential for slower decision-making.      |
| 4                                   | Nottingham City<br>Council                 | Welcomes the continuation of Joint Health Scrutiny<br>Committee, to enable valuable joint work in scrutinising<br>and holding local NHS services to account   |
| Paris                               | h and Town Councils                        | , Parish Meetings   |
| 5                                   | Brinsley PC                                | Supports  |
| 6                                   | Costock PC                                 | Supports committees in preference to Cabinet system, but not if the change would cost more.   |
| 7                                   | Flintham PC                                | Welcomes, as being most democratic and transparent<br>form of government, more easily understood by the<br>public.  |
| 8                                   | Hawksworth Parish<br>Meeting               | There should be a clear assessment of cost of change-<br>over in the first 12 months.   |
| 9                                   | Shelford & Newton<br>PC                    | Welcomes, as being most democratic and transparent<br>form of government, more easily understood by the<br>public.  |
| 10                                  | Shelton Parish<br>Meeting                  | Supports.   |
| 11                                  | Shireoaks PC                               | Generally in favour of the change and hopes it will help progress issues raised by communities  |
| 12                                  | Walkeringham PC                            | Agrees with proposals   |
| 13                                  | Wysall and Thorpe in the Glebe PC          | Supports: gives members a wider knowledge of the authority's work, and hence a better opportunity to be part of discussions   |
| Health                              |  |   |
| 14                                  | NHS Bassetlaw /<br>Bassetlaw CCG           | Look forward to the continuation of a close working arrangement with the Council.   |
| 15                                  | Rushcliffe CCG                             | No comment  |
| Other partner organisations         |  |   |
| 16                                  | Carers Federation                          | Fully supports. Had been represented on previous<br>Social Services Cttee, which gave transparency to<br>decision making. This was lost with change to Cabinet<br>structure. Offers to be involved again. |
| 17                                  | Derbys and Notts<br>Chamber of<br>Commerce | Supports the proposals.   |

| Trade Unions |        |  |
|--------------|--------|--|
| 18           | NASUWT | <ol> <li>Hopes there is no serious cost implication</li> <li>Will there be TU representatives on certain<br/>committees as was the case in the past?</li> <li>As the consultation with employees via their trades<br/>union through the JCNP and related structures has<br/>only recently been revised we would like an assurance<br/>that there will be no further revision of such structures<br/>alongside these new committees.</li> <li>Which committees are being considered for re-<br/>instatement?</li> <li>Expects to be given a further chance to voice our<br/>views if detailed proposals are approved at the Council<br/>meeting on 29th March.</li> </ol> |
| 19           | UNISON | Supports: gives front line councillors more power,<br>better access to information, ability to feed in<br>constituents' experiences and raise quality of services.<br>Change should be followed by review of council's<br>financial strategy.  |